UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED

MAY - 5 2011

Clerk,	U.S. Di	strict &	Bankrı	iptcy
Courts	for the	District	of Col	umbia

Barbara M. Bush,)
Plaintiff,)
v.	Civil Action No. 11 1839
Headquarters for U.S. Attorney General Gonzalez <i>et al.</i> ,)
Defendants.))

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on its review of the plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The application will be granted and the complaint will be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3) (requiring dismissal of an action "at any time" the Court determines that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction).

The plaintiff is a resident of Hyattsville, Maryland, suing the United States for allegedly detaining her without due process of law. *See* Complaint at 2. Although the complaint lacks clarity and cohesion, the plaintiff seems to allege that while confined at a federal prison for a mental competency evaluation, she was interrogated and tortured. She seeks \$50,000 in damages "for the imprisonment and torture." *Id.* at 7.

A claim for monetary damages against the United States is cognizable under the Federal Tort Claims Act ("FTCA"), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2671 et seq. Such a claim is maintainable, however, only after the plaintiff has exhausted administrative remedies by "first present[ing] the claim to the appropriate Federal agency. . . . " 28 U.S.C. § 2675. This exhaustion requirement is jurisdictional. See GAF Corp. v. United States, 818 F.2d 901, 917-20 (D.C. Cir. 1987); Jackson v. United States, 730 F.2d 808, 809 (D.C. Cir. 1984); Stokes v. U.S. Postal Service, 937 F. Supp.



11, 14 (D.D.C. 1996). The plaintiff has not indicated that she exhausted her administrative remedies under the FTCA. Therefore, the complaint will be dismissed. *See Abdurrahman v. Engstrom*, 168 Fed.Appx. 445, 445 (D.C. Cir. 2005) (per curiam) ("[T]he district court properly dismissed case [based on unexhausted FTCA claim] for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.").¹

United States District Judge

Date: April <u>30</u>, 2011

¹ A separate Order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.