

Exhibit 9



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/010,967	04/28/2010	5315703	2607.271REX0/RGS/RDC	8070
26111	7590	02/08/2011	EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT
				PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2011

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

Brent Intellectual Property Law LLC
2300 Lakeview Parkway
Suite 700
Alpharetta, GA 30009

MAILED

FEB 08 2011
CENTRAL REEXAMINATION UNIT

EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/010,967.

PATENT NO. 5315703.

ART UNIT 3992.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified *ex parte* reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).

Ex Parte Reexamination Interview Summary	Control No.	Patent Under Reexamination	
	90/010,967	5315703	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RACHNA S. DESAI	3992	

All participants (USPTO personnel, patent owner, patent owner's representative):

- (1) Rachna Desai (3) David Wilson, Rob Sterne, Glenn Perry,
 (2) Fred Ferris, Jessica Harrison (4) Rich Collier, Sal Bezos

Date of Interview: 08 February 2010

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal (copy given to: 1) patent owner 2) patent owner's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Patent Owner's representative presented a slide show presentation (see attachment)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Any other agreement(s) are set forth below under "Description of the general nature of what was agreed to..."

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cohen of record.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Patent Owner's representative provided an overview of the invention and discussed differences between the Cohen reference and the instant invention. Particularly, Patent Owner's representative argued Cohen failed to teach a notification receiver object (e.g. "receiving the notification by the at least one of the plurality of objects") and a connection object. Examiner agreed to reconsider Cohen in light of Patent Owner's arguments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 2281). IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO PROVIDE THE MANDATORY STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (37 CFR 1.560(b)). THE REQUIREMENT FOR PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT CAN NOT BE WAIVED. EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).

/Rachna S Desai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3992		
cc: Requester (if third party requester)		