WILLIAMSON v. CLINTON

FILED

OCT 2 3 2012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia

RAYNEKA WILLIAMSON,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	Civil Action No.	12 1728
HILLARY CLINTON,	.)		
Defendant.)		

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter comes before the court on review of plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma* pauperis and pro se civil complaint. The Court will grant the application, and dismiss the complaint.

The Court has reviewed plaintiff's complaint, keeping in mind that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Even *pro se* litigants, however, must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jarrell v. Tisch*, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that a complaint contain a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends, a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). The purpose of the minimum standard of Rule 8 is to give fair notice to the defendants of the claim being asserted, sufficient to prepare a responsive answer, to prepare an adequate defense and to determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

The complaint is so incoherently written that the Court discerns no viable claim against the named defendant within this Court's subject matter jurisdiction and no clear statement showing plaintiff's entitlement to the relief she seeks. Accordingly, the Court will dismiss the complaint. An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

United States District Judge

DATE: October 15, 2012