DADNIELL GEANILES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DARNELL STANLEY,)
Petitioner,)
v.) Civil Action No. 13-0063 (RC)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA <i>et al</i> .,))))
Respondents.)

MEMORANDUM

This matter is before the Court on Order from the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, holding the appeal in abeyance pending this Court's resolution of whether a certificate of appealability ("COA") is warranted. No. 13-5132 (D.C. Cir. May 21, 2013). A COA may issue only if the petitioner "has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). A "substantial showing" includes "showing that reasonable jurists could debate whether . . . the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were 'adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.' " *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000) (quoting *Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 & n.4 (1983)). If the certificate is granted, the court must specify which issues raise such a substantial showing. *United States v. Weaver*, 195 F.3d 52, 53 (D.C. Cir. 1999).

For the reasons stated in the memorandum opinion supporting the order from which petitioner appeals, the Court finds that petitioner has not "made a substantial showing of the

denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). Hence, no basis exists for issuing a COA. The Clerk is directed to transmit this memorandum promptly to the appellate court.

____/s/__ RUDOLPH CONTRERAS United States District Judge

Date: May 22, 2013