FILED

MAY - 2 2013

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia

MUHAMMAD ABDULLAH,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 13-0371
)	
CHARLIE LYONS, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter comes before the Court on review of the plaintiff's application to proceed *in* forma pauperis and pro se civil complaint. The application will be granted, and the complaint will be dismissed.

The Court is mindful that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). This complaint is so incoherently written that the Court cannot identify factual allegations which might underlie a viable legal claim. At most, this complaint appears to either "recit[e] bare legal conclusions with no suggestion of supporting facts, or postulate[] events and circumstances of a wholly fanciful kind." *Crisafi v. Holland*, 655 F.2d 1305, 1307-08 (D.C. Cir. 1981). Furthermore, the Court is "without power to entertain claims otherwise within [its] jurisdiction if they are so attenuated and unsubstantial as to be absolutely devoid of merit, . . . wholly insubstantial, [or] obviously frivolous." *Hagans v. Lavine*, 415 U.S. 528, 536-37 (1974) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

This complaint is frivolous and it must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(1)(B)(i), 1915A(b)(1). An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE: