## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JOHNSON WELDED PRODUCTS, INC., et al. )	
Plaintiffs,	
<b>v.</b>	Civil Action No. 13-00609 (ESH)
SYLVIA M. BURWELL, et al.	
Defendants. )	

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

The Court has carefully considered Defendants' Objections to the Court's Proposed Order [ECF No. 8] and Plaintiffs' Response [ECF No. 9]. Defendants request, and plaintiffs object to, the inclusion in the injunction of the following paragraph:

ORDERED that this injunction and judgment does not apply with respect to any changes in statute or regulation that are enacted or promulgated after this date, and nothing herein prevents plaintiffs from filing a new civil action to challenge any such future changes.

In similar cases brought by for-profit corporations challenging the contraceptive coverage requirement, several courts have entered orders containing the above-quoted language, with both parties' consent. *E.g.*, *Midwest Fastener Corp. v. Burwell*, No. 1:13-cv-1337 (D.D.C. Oct. 24, 2014); *Gilardi v. HHS*, No. 1:13-cv-104 (D.D.C. Oct. 20, 2014); *see also* Joint Mot. for Entry of Inj. & J., *Lindsay v. Burwell*, No. 1:13-cv-1210 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 15, 2014); Joint Mot. for Entry of Inj. & J., *Am. Pulverizer Co. v. HHS*, No. 6:12-cv-3459 (W.D. Mo. Oct. 15, 2014). The Court does not believe that this language "adds ambiguity and confusion" to the injunction, which, with the parties' consent, already is limited to "the statute and regulations in effect on

June 30, 2014." (Pls.' Resp. to Defs.' Objection to the Court's Proposed Order [ECF No. 9].) The Court, therefore, will include defendants' proposed paragraph in its order.

/s/ Ellen Segal Huwelle
ELLEN SEGAL HUVELLE
United States District Judge

Date: October 24, 2014