UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED

MAY 13 2013

Clerk II.S. District and

Xavier Flores,)		Bankruptcy Courts
Plaintiff,)		
V.)	Civil Action No.	13-690
Metropolitan Police Department,)		
Defendant.))		

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on review of plaintiff's pro se complaint and application to proceed in forma pauperis. The application will be granted and the case will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) (requiring dismissal of a case upon a determination that the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted).

Plaintiff, a homeless individual who submitted more than 30 mostly cryptic complaints within the first two weeks of March alone, sues the Metropolitan Police Department ("MPD") purportedly under the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq. He alleges only that "MPD arrived at the Guest House of G.U. and did not provide report as requested." Compl. at 1. Plaintiff seeks \$1 million in damages. Id. at 2.

A plaintiff's "allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level" Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (citations omitted); see Aktieselskabet AF 21. Nov. 2001 v. Fame Jeans, Inc., 525 F.3d 8, 16 n.4 (D.C. Cir. 2008) ("We have never accepted 'legal conclusions cast in the form of factual allegations' because a

complaint needs some information about the circumstances giving rise to the claims.") (quoting Kowal v. MCI Commc'ns Corp., 16 F.3d 1271, 1276 (D.C. Cir. 1994)). Plaintiff does not allege that he has a disability and that defendant's actions were because of his disability. He therefore has stated no facts to support an ADA claim. In addition, "it is well settled that the MPD is non sui juris and, therefore, cannot sue or be sued." Heenan v. Leo, 525 F. Supp. 2d 110, 112 (D.D.C. 2007) (citations omitted). A separate Order of dismissal accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

2