KLAYMAN v. OBAMA et al Doc. 33

IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

LARRY KLAYMAN, et. al

Plaintiffs,

v.

BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II, et. al

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 13-CV-851

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, Larry Klayman, Charles Strange, and Mary Ann Strange, hereby move this honorable Court to file an Amended Complaint (Attached as Exhibit 1) through amendment and as grounds therefore would show:

Subsection (a)(2) of Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that leave to amend shall be freely given when justice requires. "Leave to amend a complaint should be freely given in the absence of undue delay, bad faith, undue prejudice to the opposing party, repeated failure to cure deficiencies, or futility." See *Richardson v. United States*, 193 F.3d 545, 548-549 (D.C. Cir. 1999) citing *Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182, 9 L. Ed. 2d 222, 83 S. Ct. 227 (1962).

In addition, under Rule 15(b)(2), "[a] party may move—at any time, even after judgment—to amend the pleadings to conform them to the evidence and to raise an unpleaded issue. But failure to amend does not affect the result of the trial of that issue." Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(b)(2). *See also Brown v R. & R Engineering Co.*, F Supp. 315 (D. Del.1958) reversed on other grounds 264 F.2d 219 (3d Cir.1959); *Hemmer–Miller Dev. Co. v. Hudson Ins. Co.*, 63 S.D. 109, 256 N.W. 798 (1934)."Trial of [an] issue without objection normally is enough to satisfy

the Rule 15(b) requirement." Kirkland v. Dist. of Columbia, 70 F.3d 629, 633, 315 U.S. App.

D.C. 68 (D.C. Cir. 1995).

There was an implied and inherent cause of action under the Administrative Procedure

Act ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. §§ 701 et seq. within Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint by virtue of the

nature of the allegations. The Plaintiffs wish to amend the complaint simply to clarify and make

it explicit that there is a remedy under the APA.

The Plaintiffs contacted Defendants' counsel to seek consent for this motion. The

Defendants' counsel have not indicated whether they consent to this motion as of the time of this

filing, which is being made in anticipation of the hearing on November 18, 2013.

Dated: November 17, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Larry Klayman

Larry Klayman, Esq.

Attorney at Law

D.C. Bar No. 334581

2020 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 800

Washington, DC 20006

Tel: (310) 595-0800

Email: leklayman@gmail.com

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 1st day of November 17, 2013 a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion For Leave To Amend the Complaint (Civil Action No. 13-cv- 851) was submitted electronically to the District Court for the District of Columbia and served via CM/ECF upon the following:

James J. Gilligan
Special Litigation Counsel
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 883
Washington, D.C. 20044
(202) 514-3358
Email: James.Gilligan@usdoj.gov

James R. Whitman U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE P.O. Box 7146 Washington, DC 20044 (202) 616-4169

Fax: 202-616-4314

Email: james.whitman@usdoj.gov

Randolph D. Moss WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE & DORR LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006 (202) 663-6640

Fax: (202) 663-6363

Email: randolph.moss@wilmerhale.com

Attorneys for Defendants.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Larry Klayman
Larry Klayman, Esq.
D.C. Bar No. 334581
Klayman Law Firm
2020 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 345
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (310) 595-0800