EXHIBIT 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS D/B/A ASTM INTERNATIONAL; NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION, INC.; AND AMERICAN SOCIETY OF HEATING, REFRIGERATING, AND AIR-CONDITIONING ENGINEERS, INC.

> Plaintiffs,/ Counter-Defendants, Case No.:

vs.

1:13-cv-01215-EGS

1

PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG, INC.

Defendant/ Counter-Plaintiff

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF THE 30 b) 6) OF PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG

DATE: Thursday, February 26, 2015

10:07 TIME:

LOCATION: 1 Market Street, Spear Tower, Suite 2000, San Francisco, California

Reported by: Ashley Soevyn Certified Shorthand Reporter License Number 12019

		1		
	126			128
1	low has no commight in the United States and if a	1	hypothetical and implicitly calls for a legal	
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	law has no copyright in the United States, and if a standard is incorporated by reference into law, it	1 2	opinion, vague and ambiguous.	
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	is the law.	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	You can answer.	
4	THE REPORTER: Next one is 35.	4	THE WITNESS: Some states are are	
5	(Exhibit 35 marked for identification.)	5	sloppy and nonspecific, and again, we look for a	
6	BY MR. FEE:	6	very specific and deliberate incorporation by	
7	Q I'm going to hand you what has been marked	7	reference of a specific standard into the law.	
8	as Exhibit 35. Appears to be a chain of e-mails	8	BY MR. FEE:	
9	between you and Joseph Mornin; Bates-labeled	9	Q So when you're talking about five listed	
10	PRO 167245 through -47.	10	standards there, are you referring to five versions	
11	A I recall this exchange.	11	of one standard? Is that what you're saying?	
12	Q So Exhibit 35 is an e-mail between or a	12	MR. BRIDGES: Objection, misstates the	
12	series of e-mails between and Mr. Mornin; is that	12	document, lacks foundation, vague and ambiguous.	
14	right?	14	THE WITNESS: I was giving Mr. Mornin an	
15	A That's correct.	15	example of something that was not a specific	
16	Q I want to turn your attention to the	16	reference, a a specific incorporation of a	
17	second page of Exhibit 35. Towards the bottom you	17	specific year of a standard into law, and it was a	
18	see it says, "on 5/1/12 at 11:06 a.m. Carl Malamud	18	hypothetical example.	
19	wrote." Do you see that?	19	BY MR. FEE:	
20	A Yes, I do.	20	Q Who is Joseph Mornin?	
20	Q Okay. Second paragraph in in that	20	A Mr. Mornin was a Harvard undergrad that	
$21 \\ 22$	e-mail starts with the open task, we have are to	$\frac{21}{22}$	was then going to go to Berkeley law school and	
23	pick an appropriate state. Do you see that part?	23	was then going to go to beneficity haw sendor and wanted a job for the summer, and so we gave him a	
24	A Yes, I do.	24	little bit of money it was like \$1,500 and he	
25	Q Okay. The second sentence in that	25	became a fellow.	
	127			129
		1	MR_BRIDGES: I'll ask you to answer the	129
1	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit	1 2	MR. BRIDGES: I'll ask you to answer the question	129
2	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it	2	question.	129
2 3	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be,			129
2 3 4	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for	2 3 4	question. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. FEE:	129
2 3 4 5	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards.	2 3 4 5	question. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. FEE: Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been any	129
2 3 4 5 6	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the	2 3 4	question. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. FEE: Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been any other fellows of Public Resource since 2007?	129
2 3 4 5 6	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate	2 3 4 5	 question. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. FEE: Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been any other fellows of Public Resource since 2007? A No. 	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required	2 3 4 5 6 7	 question. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. FEE: Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been any other fellows of Public Resource since 2007? A No. Q Can you describe how Public Resource 	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 question. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. FEE: Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been any other fellows of Public Resource since 2007? A No. Q Can you describe how Public Resource decided which standards that were incorporated by 	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 question. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. FEE: Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been any other fellows of Public Resource since 2007? A No. Q Can you describe how Public Resource decided which standards that were incorporated by reference that it would post on its website? 	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 question. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. FEE: Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been any other fellows of Public Resource since 2007? A No. Q Can you describe how Public Resource decided which standards that were incorporated by reference that it would post on its website? A Looked for these specific incorporation of 	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q Can you explain what you meant there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	question.THE WITNESS: Okay.BY MR. FEE:Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been anyother fellows of Public Resource since 2007?A No.Q Can you describe how Public Resourcedecided which standards that were incorporated byreference that it would post on its website?A Looked for these specific incorporation ofa specific standard, the deliberate and and	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q Can you explain what you meant there? MR. BRIDGES: Objection to the extent it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	question.THE WITNESS: Okay.BY MR. FEE:QBesides Mr. Mornin, have there been anyother fellows of Public Resource since 2007?ANo.QCan you describe how Public Resourcedecided which standards that were incorporated byreference that it would post on its website?ALooked for these specific incorporation ofa specific standard, the deliberate and andexplicit incorporation of a specific year of a	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q Can you explain what you meant there? MR. BRIDGES: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	question.THE WITNESS: Okay.BY MR. FEE:QBesides Mr. Mornin, have there been anyother fellows of Public Resource since 2007?ANo.QCan you describe how Public Resourcedecided which standards that were incorporated byreference that it would post on its website?ALooked for these specific incorporation ofa specific standard, the deliberate and andexplicit incorporation of a specific year of aspecific standard by a governmental authority into	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q Can you explain what you meant there? MR. BRIDGES: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: I meant exactly what I I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 question. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. FEE: Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been any other fellows of Public Resource since 2007? A No. Q Can you describe how Public Resource decided which standards that were incorporated by reference that it would post on its website? A Looked for these specific incorporation of a specific standard, the deliberate and and explicit incorporation of a specific year of a specific standard by a governmental authority into law. 	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q Can you explain what you meant there? MR. BRIDGES: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: I meant exactly what I I have been been telling you, that in order for us	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 question. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. FEE: Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been any other fellows of Public Resource since 2007? A No. Q Can you describe how Public Resource decided which standards that were incorporated by reference that it would post on its website? A Looked for these specific incorporation of a specific standard, the deliberate and and explicit incorporation of a specific year of a specific standard by a governmental authority into law. Q How did you decide which of those 	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q Can you explain what you meant there? MR. BRIDGES: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: I meant exactly what I I have been been telling you, that in order for us to post a standard, it must be explicitly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 question. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. FEE: Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been any other fellows of Public Resource since 2007? A No. Q Can you describe how Public Resource decided which standards that were incorporated by reference that it would post on its website? A Looked for these specific incorporation of a specific standard, the deliberate and and explicit incorporation of a specific year of a specific standard by a governmental authority into law. Q How did you decide which of those standards that were specifically incorporated would 	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q Can you explain what you meant there? MR. BRIDGES: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: I meant exactly what I I have been been telling you, that in order for us	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question.THE WITNESS: Okay.BY MR. FEE:Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been any other fellows of Public Resource since 2007?A No.Q Can you describe how Public Resource decided which standards that were incorporated by reference that it would post on its website?A Looked for these specific incorporation of a specific standard, the deliberate and and explicit incorporation of a specific year of a specific standard by a governmental authority into law.Q How did you decide which of those standards that were specifically incorporated would be first put on the Public Resource website?	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q Can you explain what you meant there? MR. BRIDGES: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: I meant exactly what I I have been been telling you, that in order for us to post a standard, it must be explicitly incorporated by law and must be a specific version 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	question.THE WITNESS: Okay.BY MR. FEE:Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been any other fellows of Public Resource since 2007?A No.Q Can you describe how Public Resource decided which standards that were incorporated by reference that it would post on its website?A Looked for these specific incorporation of a specific standard, the deliberate and and explicit incorporation of a specific year of a specific standard by a governmental authority into law.Q How did you decide which of those standards that were specifically incorporated would be first put on the Public Resource website?A I looked for compelling examples.	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q Can you explain what you meant there? MR. BRIDGES: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: I meant exactly what I I have been been telling you, that in order for us to post a standard, it must be explicitly incorporated by law and must be a specific version of that standard. BY MR. FEE: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	question.THE WITNESS: Okay.BY MR. FEE:QBesides Mr. Mornin, have there been anyother fellows of Public Resource since 2007?ANo.QCan you describe how Public Resourcedecided which standards that were incorporated byreference that it would post on its website?ALooked for these specific incorporation ofa specific standard, the deliberate and andexplicit incorporation of a specific year of aspecific standard by a governmental authority intolaw.QHow did you decide which of thosestandards that were specifically incorporated wouldbe first put on the Public Resource website?AI looked for compelling examples.QHow did you go about doing that?	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q Can you explain what you meant there? MR. BRIDGES: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: I meant exactly what I I have been been telling you, that in order for us to post a standard, it must be explicitly incorporated by law and must be a specific version of that standard. BY MR. FEE: Q And I want to get a your explanation</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	question.THE WITNESS: Okay.BY MR. FEE:QBesides Mr. Mornin, have there been anyother fellows of Public Resource since 2007?ANo.QCan you describe how Public Resourcedecided which standards that were incorporated byreference that it would post on its website?ALooked for these specific incorporation ofa specific standard, the deliberate and andexplicit incorporation of a specific year of aspecific standard by a governmental authority intolaw.QHow did you decide which of thosestandards that were specifically incorporated wouldbe first put on the Public Resource website?AI looked for compelling examples.QHow did you go about doing that?AI read through the law and looked at what	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q Can you explain what you meant there? MR. BRIDGES: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: I meant exactly what I I have been been telling you, that in order for us to post a standard, it must be explicitly incorporated by law and must be a specific version of that standard. BY MR. FEE: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	question.THE WITNESS: Okay.BY MR. FEE:QBesides Mr. Mornin, have there been any other fellows of Public Resource since 2007?ANo.QCan you describe how Public Resource decided which standards that were incorporated by reference that it would post on its website?ALooked for these specific incorporation of a specific standard, the deliberate and and explicit incorporation of a specific year of a specific standard by a governmental authority into law.QHow did you decide which of those standards that were specifically incorporated would be first put on the Public Resource website?AI looked for compelling examples.QHow did you go about doing that?AI read through the law and looked at what was incorporated by reference.	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q Can you explain what you meant there? MR. BRIDGES: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: I meant exactly what I I have been been telling you, that in order for us to post a standard, it must be explicitly incorporated by law and must be a specific version of that standard. BY MR. FEE: Q And I want to get a your explanation for the part of that quote that we just read where</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question.THE WITNESS: Okay.BY MR. FEE:QBesides Mr. Mornin, have there been anyother fellows of Public Resource since 2007?ANo.QCan you describe how Public Resourcedecided which standards that were incorporated byreference that it would post on its website?ALooked for these specific incorporation ofa specific standard, the deliberate and andexplicit incorporation of a specific year of aspecific standard by a governmental authority intolaw.QHow did you decide which of thosestandards that were specifically incorporated wouldbe first put on the Public Resource website?AI looked for compelling examples.QHow did you go about doing that?AI read through the law and looked at what	129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>paragraph says, "It has to be an explicit incorporation of a specific standard. Then it falls, under the Veeck decision, e.g., it can't be, quote, you can meet this legal requirement by, for example, using one of these five listed standards. Any version will do. It has to be, quote, the Secretary of State here does hereby incorporate the 1962 version of this standard and it is required by law." Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q Can you explain what you meant there? MR. BRIDGES: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: I meant exactly what I I have been been telling you, that in order for us to post a standard, it must be explicitly incorporated by law and must be a specific version of that standard. BY MR. FEE: Q And I want to get a your explanation for the part of that quote that we just read where it says, "using one of these five listed standards."</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 question. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. FEE: Q Besides Mr. Mornin, have there been any other fellows of Public Resource since 2007? A No. Q Can you describe how Public Resource decided which standards that were incorporated by reference that it would post on its website? A Looked for these specific incorporation of a specific standard, the deliberate and and explicit incorporation of a specific year of a specific standard by a governmental authority into law. Q How did you decide which of those standards that were specifically incorporated would be first put on the Public Resource website? A I looked for compelling examples. Q How did you go about doing that? A I read through the law and looked at what was incorporated by reference. Q Did you start with the CFR or some other 	129

Т

	130			132
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 24 of the California Code of Regulations. Q What made a particular standard a compelling standard for purposes of posting it on your website? A I looked for standards that I thought were compelling and were critical to the public safety. Q How did you make an assessment as to whether or not a standard was critical for public safety? A Well, that was simply my opinion. I read the standard. Q After you dealt with Title 24 of the California Code, how did you next go about identifying standards that were incorporated by reference that you would post on the Public Resource website? MR. BRIDGES: Objection, vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I did a survey of state regulations looking for similar public safety codes. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 ambiguous, lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: And I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question? BY MR. FEE: Q When you were doing your review of the state regulatory materials and statutes, did you encounter any state statutes or regulatory or regulations that incorporated by reference anything other than a standard? MR. BRIDGES: Objection, vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: "Standard" is a very broad term, sir. BY MR. FEE: Q Okay. Well, maybe we should start with that, then. The what is your understanding as to what would be a standard that could be incorporated by reference? MR. BRIDGES: Objection, may call for a legal opinion, vague and ambiguous, competence. THE WITNESS: I I think it's up to the government to decide what can be incorporated by reference, not me. BY MR. FEE: 	
24 25	Q Did you do all that work yourself?A I did.	24 25	BY MR. FEE: Q Okay. What do you understand a standard	
	131			133
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q When you're identifying standards to post on Public Resource's website, do you search for a particular standard development organization's standards? MR. BRIDGES: Objection, vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I I look for particular areas of public safety, like building codes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to be? MR. BRIDGES: Objection, vague and ambiguous, competence, lacks foundation, calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: A standard is a document that establishes norms in a particular area of application. BY MR. FEE:	133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q When you're identifying standards to post on Public Resource's website, do you search for a particular standard development organization's standards? MR. BRIDGES: Objection, vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I I look for particular areas of public safety, like building codes. BY MR. FEE: Q Any other particular areas that you were looking for? A I I think there are a number of critical areas of public safety in in U.S. law, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BRIDGES: Objection, vague and ambiguous, competence, lacks foundation, calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: A standard is a document that establishes norms in a particular area of application. BY MR. FEE: Q Now, is it Public Resource's public position that it can only publish standards incorporated by reference when they are a specific standard in a specific year? MR. BRIDGES: Objection, calls for a legal	133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q When you're identifying standards to post on Public Resource's website, do you search for a particular standard development organization's standards? MR. BRIDGES: Objection, vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I I look for particular areas of public safety, like building codes. BY MR. FEE: Q Any other particular areas that you were looking for? A I I think there are a number of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BRIDGES: Objection, vague and ambiguous, competence, lacks foundation, calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: A standard is a document that establishes norms in a particular area of application. BY MR. FEE: Q Now, is it Public Resource's public position that it can only publish standards incorporated by reference when they are a specific standard in a specific year?	133

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2015

Т

	318	320
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 deposition of Carl Malamud MR. BRIDGES: I'm sorry, Carl. Is there anything we should designate as confidential? THE WITNESS: No. MR. BRIDGES: Okay. Sorry. You may proceed. MR. BRIDGES: We reserve the right I believe we have 30 days well, if you can let's go off the record for a second to determine whether we'll go back on the record to make a confidentiality request. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 6:37 p.m. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is 6:38 p.m. MR. BRIDGES: Okay. We can now determine that the deposition is concluded. We're not making any confidentiality designations. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. This concludes today's deposition of Carl Malamud. Master discs of today's deposition will remain in the custody of Capital Reporting. The time is 6:38 p.m. MR. BRIDGES: I just want to say it's the 	1 CERTIFICATION OF DEPOSITION OFFICER 2 I, Ashley Soevyn, CSR, duly authorized to 3 administer oaths pursuant to Section 2093(b) of the 4 California Code of Civil Procedure, hereby certify 5 that the witness in the foregoing deposition was by 6 me sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth 7 and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled 8 cause; that said deposition was taken at the time 9 and place therein stated; that the testimony of the 10 said witness was thereafter transcribed by means of 11 computer-aided transcription; that the foregoing is 12 a full complete and true record of said testimony; 13 and that the witness was given an opportunity to 14 read and correct said deposition and to subscribe 15 the same. 16 I further certify that I am not of counsel or 17 attorney for either or any of the parties in the 18 foregoing deposition and caption named or in any 19 way interested in the outcome of this cause named 20 in said caption. 21 22 23 24
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	319 deposition of Public.Resource.Org, not of Carl Malamud. He was the designated witness of the deponent and the deponent was Public.Resource.Org. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:38 p.m. Whereupon, at 6:38 p.m., the deposition of THE 30(b)(6) OF PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG was concluded.) ****	321 1 FENWICK & WEST CARL MALAMUD 2 c/o ANDREW BRIDGES 555 California Street 3 12th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104 4 IN RE: ASTM V. PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG 5 Dear Mr. Malamud: 6 Please be advised that, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2025.520 or Federal 7 Rule of Civil Procedure 30, the original transcript of your deposition, taken Thursday, February 26, 2 2014, in the above-referenced matter, has been completed and is not ready for your reading, 9 correcting, and signing. 10 Pursuant to the applicable rles, the transcript will be available for 30 days. Any errata changes 11 must be signed by the deponent within the 30-day 12 The official transcript for the noticing 13 counsel, with exhibits, will be mailed in accordance with said rules, depending on the action 14 of the deponent. 15 Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions. 1 16 cs: Original Transcript 21 All Counsel<

_