## **EXHIBIT 3**

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	
3		
	Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01215-TSC	
4		
	AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR )	
5	TESTING AND MATERIALS, )	
6	d/b/a ASTM INTERNATIONAL; )	
7	NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION )	
8	ASSOCIATION, INC.; and )	
9	AMERICAN SOCIETY OF )	
10	HEATING, REFRIGERATION AND )	
11	AIR CONDITIONING ENGINEERS, )	
12	Plaintiffs and )	
	Counter-Defendants, )	
13	vs.	
14	<pre>PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG,INC.,</pre>	
15	Defendant and )	
16	Counter-Plaintiff.	
17		
	VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION	
18	OF DONALD P. BLISS, taken before Jeanette	
19	N. Maracas, Registered Professional Reporter	
20	and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth	
21	of Massachusetts, at 42 Chauncy Street, Boston,	
22	Massachusetts, on Tuesday, March 3, 2015,	
23	commencing at 9:10 a.m.	
24		
25	PAGES 1 - 244	
	Page 1	

1 A. That's the standard for criteria for 12:30:10	1 and standards and not documents that are 12:33:58
2 conducting an origin and cause investigation 12:30:17	2 considered guidelines or recommended 12:34:00
3 at a fire scene, guidance on the preservation 12:30:21	3 practices, then no. 12:34:02
4 of evidence, preparation of reports, 12:30:23	4 Q. What guidelines or recommended practice 12:34:03
5 determining the source or origin of a fire. 12:30:28	5 documents have not been incorporated into 12:34:26
6 Q. It set out some method for them to bring 12:30:37	6 law or adopted into law? 12:34:29
7 to their investigation of the fire? 12:30:39	7 MR. REHN: Objection as to form, 12:34:32
8 A. Yes. 12:30:44	8 may call for a legal conclusion. 12:34:33
9 Q. Can you explain to me with some examples 12:30:44	9 A. To my knowledge, none of them because 12:34:37
10 perhaps how NFPA 921 contributed to the 12:31:00	10 they're not in written, specifically not 12:34:39
11 activities of the fire investigation? 12:31:15	11 written for the purposes of being adopted 12:34:45
MR. REHN: Object as to form. It's 12:31:21	12 as a regulation or a law. 12:34:48
13 pretty vague. 12:31:24	13 Q. Whereas the other parts of the standards 12:34:51
14 A. One of the things that it provided was 12:31:30	14 or codes are written for the purposes of 12:34:59
15 consistency with how all of our fire 12:31:37	15 being adopted as a regulation or a law? 12:35:01
16 investigations were performed because it's 12:31:41	16 MR. REHN: Objection as to form. 12:35:05
17 a standard or best practice to ensure that 12:31:45	17 A. The standards are written in such a fashion 12:35:07
18 the key elements of an investigation were 12:31:55	18 that they may be adopted as a regulation 12:35:11
19 adhered to consistent with their training, 12:31:58	19 or a law. 12:35:15
20 their education, their knowledge. 12:32:02	20 Q. Your previous answer referred to purposes. 12:35:17
21 Q. So it provided a consistent process for 12:32:04	21 It sounded as though you were distinguishing 12:35:22
22 the fire investigators to follow in their 12:32:07	between guidelines and recommended practices, 12:35:26
23 investigations? 12:32:09	23 on the one hand, and some other parts of 12:35:43
24 A. Yes. 12:32:11	24 codes and standards, correct? 12:35:52
25 MR. REHN: Object as to form. 12:32:11	25 A. Yes. 12:35:55
Page 106	Page 108
1 Q. Do you know how many standards or codes 12:32:15	1 Q. What would you call the parts of standards 12:35:55
2 NFPA publishes that have not been adopted 12:32:29	2 that are not guidelines or recommended 12:35:58
3 into law? 12:32:34	3 practices? 12:36:00
4 A. No. 12:32:38	4 MR. REHN: Objection as to form, 12:36:03
5 Q. Do you know how many codes or standards 12:32:39	5 vague. 12:36:08
6 NFPA has published that are now what you 12:32:43	6 A. I was just trying to clarify that a 12:36:08
7 would consider to be current versions? By 12:32:51	7 recommended practice or a guideline, even 12:36:13
8 that question I want you to exclude earlier 12:32:55	8 though it has a NFPA number, is not a 12:36:13
9 versions. 12:32:57	9 standard. 12:36:17
10 A. I don't know. 12:33:01	
	10 O. I understand your statement on that, but 12:36:22
111 O. Call you give all estimate:	10 Q. I understand your statement on that, but 12:36:22 11 vou were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26
	11 you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26
12 A. No. 12:33:05	you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28
12 A. No. 12:33:05 13 Q. Are you aware of any NFA (sic) codes or 12:33:05	you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 12 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28 13 guidelines and recommended practices from 12:36:31
12 A. No. 12:33:05 13 Q. Are you aware of any NFA (sic) codes or 12:33:05 14 standards that have not been adopted into 12:33:10	you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28 guidelines and recommended practices from 12:36:31 the purpose of other parts of these 12:36:35
12 A. No. 12:33:05 13 Q. Are you aware of any NFA (sic) codes or 12:33:05 14 standards that have not been adopted into 12:33:10 15 law? 12:33:13	you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 12 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28 13 guidelines and recommended practices from 12:36:31 14 the purpose of other parts of these 12:36:35 15 documents, is that correct? 12:36:39
12 A. No. 12:33:05 13 Q. Are you aware of any NFA (sic) codes or 12:33:05 14 standards that have not been adopted into 12:33:10 15 law? 12:33:13 16 A. I couldn't speculate one way or the other, 12:33:13	you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 12 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28 13 guidelines and recommended practices from 12:36:31 14 the purpose of other parts of these 12:36:35 15 documents, is that correct? 12:36:39 16 MR. REHN: I believe that 12:36:40
12 A. No. 12:33:05 13 Q. Are you aware of any NFA (sic) codes or 12:33:05 14 standards that have not been adopted into 12:33:10 15 law? 12:33:13 16 A. I couldn't speculate one way or the other, 12:33:13 17 so the answer, no. 12:33:35	you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 12 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28 13 guidelines and recommended practices from 12:36:31 14 the purpose of other parts of these 12:36:35 15 documents, is that correct? 12:36:39 16 MR. REHN: I believe that 12:36:40 17 mischaracterizes the testimony. I object 12:36:41
12 A. No.       12:33:05         13 Q. Are you aware of any NFA (sic) codes or       12:33:05         14 standards that have not been adopted into       12:33:10         15 law?       12:33:13         16 A. I couldn't speculate one way or the other,       12:33:35         17 so the answer, no.       12:33:35         18 Q. I think I misspoke in my earlier question.       12:33:38	you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28 guidelines and recommended practices from 12:36:31 the purpose of other parts of these 12:36:35 documents, is that correct? 12:36:39 MR. REHN: I believe that 12:36:40 mischaracterizes the testimony. I object 12:36:41 on that basis. 12:36:44
12 A. No. 12:33:05 13 Q. Are you aware of any NFA (sic) codes or 12:33:05 14 standards that have not been adopted into 12:33:10 15 law? 12:33:13 16 A. I couldn't speculate one way or the other, 12:33:13 17 so the answer, no. 12:33:35 18 Q. I think I misspoke in my earlier question. 12:33:38 19 I said NFA, but you understood that question 12:33:42	you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 12 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28 13 guidelines and recommended practices from 12:36:31 14 the purpose of other parts of these 12:36:35 15 documents, is that correct? 12:36:39 16 MR. REHN: I believe that 12:36:40 17 mischaracterizes the testimony. I object 12:36:41 18 on that basis. 12:36:44 19 MR. BRIDGES: I'm happy for him 12:36:46
12 A. No. 12:33:05 13 Q. Are you aware of any NFA (sic) codes or 12:33:05 14 standards that have not been adopted into 12:33:10 15 law? 12:33:13 16 A. I couldn't speculate one way or the other, 12:33:13 17 so the answer, no. 12:33:35 18 Q. I think I misspoke in my earlier question. 12:33:38 19 I said NFA, but you understood that question 12:33:42 20 to be NFPA? 12:33:45	you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 12 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28 13 guidelines and recommended practices from 12:36:31 14 the purpose of other parts of these 12:36:35 15 documents, is that correct? 12:36:39 16 MR. REHN: I believe that 12:36:40 17 mischaracterizes the testimony. I object 12:36:41 18 on that basis. 12:36:44 19 MR. BRIDGES: I'm happy for him 12:36:46 20 to straighten me out because I want to 12:36:49
12 A. No. 12:33:05 13 Q. Are you aware of any NFA (sic) codes or 12:33:05 14 standards that have not been adopted into 12:33:10 15 law? 12:33:13 16 A. I couldn't speculate one way or the other, 12:33:13 17 so the answer, no. 12:33:35 18 Q. I think I misspoke in my earlier question. 12:33:38 19 I said NFA, but you understood that question 12:33:42 20 to be NFPA? 12:33:45 21 A. Yes. 12:33:46	you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 12 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28 13 guidelines and recommended practices from 12:36:31 14 the purpose of other parts of these 12:36:35 15 documents, is that correct? 12:36:39 16 MR. REHN: I believe that 12:36:40 17 mischaracterizes the testimony. I object 12:36:41 18 on that basis. 12:36:44 19 MR. BRIDGES: I'm happy for him 12:36:46 20 to straighten me out because I want to 12:36:49 21 understand the distinction. 12:36:51
12 A. No. 12:33:05 13 Q. Are you aware of any NFA (sic) codes or 12:33:05 14 standards that have not been adopted into 12:33:10 15 law? 12:33:13 16 A. I couldn't speculate one way or the other, 12:33:13 17 so the answer, no. 12:33:35 18 Q. I think I misspoke in my earlier question. 12:33:38 19 I said NFA, but you understood that question 12:33:42 20 to be NFPA? 12:33:45 21 A. Yes. 12:33:46 22 Q. So you're not aware, as you sit here, of 12:33:46	11 you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 12 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28 13 guidelines and recommended practices from 12:36:31 14 the purpose of other parts of these 12:36:35 15 documents, is that correct? 12:36:39 16 MR. REHN: I believe that 12:36:40 17 mischaracterizes the testimony. I object 12:36:41 18 on that basis. 12:36:44 19 MR. BRIDGES: I'm happy for him 12:36:46 20 to straighten me out because I want to 12:36:49 21 understand the distinction. 12:36:51 22 A. The recommended practice or the guideline 12:36:55
12 A. No. 12:33:05 13 Q. Are you aware of any NFA (sic) codes or 12:33:05 14 standards that have not been adopted into 12:33:10 15 law? 12:33:13 16 A. I couldn't speculate one way or the other, 12:33:13 17 so the answer, no. 12:33:35 18 Q. I think I misspoke in my earlier question. 12:33:38 19 I said NFA, but you understood that question 12:33:42 20 to be NFPA? 12:33:45 21 A. Yes. 12:33:46 22 Q. So you're not aware, as you sit here, of 12:33:49	11 you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 12 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28 13 guidelines and recommended practices from 12:36:31 14 the purpose of other parts of these 12:36:35 15 documents, is that correct? 12:36:39 16 MR. REHN: I believe that 12:36:40 17 mischaracterizes the testimony. I object 12:36:41 18 on that basis. 12:36:44 19 MR. BRIDGES: I'm happy for him 12:36:46 20 to straighten me out because I want to 12:36:49 21 understand the distinction. 12:36:51 22 A. The recommended practice or the guideline 12:36:55 23 is exactly what the category designation 12:36:57
12 A. No. 12:33:05 13 Q. Are you aware of any NFA (sic) codes or 12:33:05 14 standards that have not been adopted into 12:33:10 15 law? 12:33:13 16 A. I couldn't speculate one way or the other, 12:33:13 17 so the answer, no. 12:33:35 18 Q. I think I misspoke in my earlier question. 12:33:38 19 I said NFA, but you understood that question 12:33:42 20 to be NFPA? 12:33:45 21 A. Yes. 12:33:46 22 Q. So you're not aware, as you sit here, of 12:33:46 23 any NFPA codes or standards that have not 12:33:49 24 been adopted into law? 12:33:52	11 you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 12 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28 13 guidelines and recommended practices from 12:36:31 14 the purpose of other parts of these 12:36:35 15 documents, is that correct? 12:36:39 16 MR. REHN: I believe that 12:36:40 17 mischaracterizes the testimony. I object 12:36:41 18 on that basis. 12:36:44 19 MR. BRIDGES: I'm happy for him 12:36:46 20 to straighten me out because I want to 12:36:49 21 understand the distinction. 12:36:51 22 A. The recommended practice or the guideline 12:36:55 23 is exactly what the category designation 12:36:57 24 implies, that it's a guidance document, not 12:37:02
12       A. No.       12:33:05         13       Q. Are you aware of any NFA (sic) codes or       12:33:05         14       standards that have not been adopted into       12:33:10         15       law?       12:33:13         16       A. I couldn't speculate one way or the other,       12:33:13         17       so the answer, no.       12:33:35         18       Q. I think I misspoke in my earlier question.       12:33:38         19       I said NFA, but you understood that question       12:33:42         20       to be NFPA?       12:33:45         21       A. Yes.       12:33:46         22       Q. So you're not aware, as you sit here, of       12:33:46         23       any NFPA codes or standards that have not       12:33:49	11 you were distinguishing the purpose of the 12:36:26 12 recommended guidelines sorry, of the 12:36:28 13 guidelines and recommended practices from 12:36:31 14 the purpose of other parts of these 12:36:35 15 documents, is that correct? 12:36:39 16 MR. REHN: I believe that 12:36:40 17 mischaracterizes the testimony. I object 12:36:41 18 on that basis. 12:36:44 19 MR. BRIDGES: I'm happy for him 12:36:46 20 to straighten me out because I want to 12:36:49 21 understand the distinction. 12:36:51 22 A. The recommended practice or the guideline 12:36:55 23 is exactly what the category designation 12:36:57

1 this is the end of Tape No. 4. 06:01:55 2 MR. REHN: 6:02. 06:01:57 3 VIDEOGRAPHER: 6:02, excuse me. We 06:01:57 4 are now off the record. 06:02:00 5 (Whereupon the deposition was 06:02:03 6 concluded at 6:02 p.m.) 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 242	I, Jeanette Maracas, Registered In Marachusetts, do In with show was by me duly sure duly sworm to testify to a ment on the standard park the person here in the action. In witness whereof of the testimony given by the witness. If I further certify that I am neither In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 9th day of March, 2015. In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 9th day of March, 2015. In Wotary Public My commission expires 8/14/20 In Notary Public My commission expires 8/14/20 Page 244
2 under the laws that the foregoing is 3 true and correct. 4 5 Executed on	
23 24 25 Page 243	