## **EXHIBIT 36**

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
3	
4	AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR
	TESTING AND MATERIALS
5	d/b/a ASTM INTERNATIONAL;
6	NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION
	ASSOCIATION, INC. and CASE NO.
7	AMERICAN SOCIETY OF 1:13-cv-01215-TSC-DAR
8	HEATING, REFRIGERATING,
	AND AIR CONDITIONING
9	ENGINEERS,
10	Plaintiffs-
11	Counterdefendants,
12	VS.
13	PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG, INC.,
14	Defendant-Counterclaimant.
15	
16	
17	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MIA D. MARVELLI
18	Sacramento, California
19	Monday, August 19, 2019
20	Volume I
21	Reported by:
22	Carrie Pederson
23	CSR No. 4373, RMR, CRR
24	Job No. 3465980
25	Pages 1 - 202
	Page 1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	2
3	3 For Plaintiff American Society of Testing and
4 AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR	4 Materials d/b/a ASTM International:
TESTING AND MATERIALS	
5 d/b/a ASTM INTERNATIONAL;,	5 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
6 NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION	6 BY: J. KEVIN FEE
ASSOCIATION, INC. and CASE NO.	7 Attorney at Law
7 AMERICAN SOCIETY OF 1:13-cv-01215-TSC-DAR	8 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
8 HEATING, REFRIGERATING,	9 Washington, D.C. 20004-2541
AND AIR CONDITIONING	10 202-739-5353
9 ENGINEERS,	11 kevin.fee@morganlewis.com
10 Plaintiffs-	12
11 Counterdefendants,	13 For Defendant(s):
12 vs.	14 FENWICK & WEST LLP
13 PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG, INC.,	
14 Defendant-Counterclaimant.	15 BY: ARMEN NERCESSIAN
15	16 ANDREW BRIDGES
16	17 Attorneys at Law
17	18 555 California Street
18	19 12th Floor
19 Videotaped Deposition of MIA D. MARVELLI,	20 San Francisco, California 94104
20 Volume I, taken on behalf of the defendants, at One	21 650-335-7281
21 Capitol Mall, Suite 240, Sacramento, California,	22 anercessian@fenwick.com
22 beginning at 10:04 a.m. and ending at 4:22 p.m. on	23
23 Monday, August 19, 2019, before Carrie Pederson,	24
24 Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 4373.	25
25 Page 2	Page 4
1 450 2	Tuge 1
I and the second	
1 APPEARANCES:	1 APPEARANCES: (Continued):
1 APPEARANCES: 2	1 APPEARANCES: (Continued): 2
2	2
2 3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association:	2 3 For California Building Standards Commission and the
2 3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER	2 3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2 3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law	2 3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
2 3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W.	2 3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
2 3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor	2 3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN
2 3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361	2 3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law
2 3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115	2 3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street
2 3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com	2 3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814
2 3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And	2 3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836
2 3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com	2 3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814
2 3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And	2 3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836
2 3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And 13 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	2 3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836 13 Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov
3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And 13 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 14 BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER 15 Attorney at Law	3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836 13 Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov 14 15 Also Present:
3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And 13 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 14 BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER 15 Attorney at Law 16 350 South Grand Avenue	3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836 13 Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov 14 15 Also Present: 16 Viana Barbu, Attorney at Law
3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And 13 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 14 BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER 15 Attorney at Law 16 350 South Grand Avenue 17 50th Floor	3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836 13 Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov 14 15 Also Present: 16 Viana Barbu, Attorney at Law 17 State of California
3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And 13 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 14 BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER 15 Attorney at Law 16 350 South Grand Avenue 17 50th Floor 18 Los Angeles, California 90071-3426	3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836 13 Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov 14 15 Also Present: 16 Viana Barbu, Attorney at Law 17 State of California 18 Department of General Services
3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And 13 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 14 BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER 15 Attorney at Law 16 350 South Grand Avenue 17 50th Floor 18 Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 19 213-683-9240	3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836 13 Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov 14 15 Also Present: 16 Viana Barbu, Attorney at Law 17 State of California 18 Department of General Services 19 Legal Division
3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And 13 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 14 BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER 15 Attorney at Law 16 350 South Grand Avenue 17 50th Floor 18 Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 19 213-683-9240 20 rose.ehler@mto.com	3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836 13 Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov 14 15 Also Present: 16 Viana Barbu, Attorney at Law 17 State of California 18 Department of General Services 19 Legal Division 20
3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And 13 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 14 BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER 15 Attorney at Law 16 350 South Grand Avenue 17 50th Floor 18 Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 19 213-683-9240 20 rose.ehler@mto.com	3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836 13 Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov 14 15 Also Present: 16 Viana Barbu, Attorney at Law 17 State of California 18 Department of General Services 19 Legal Division
3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And 13 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 14 BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER 15 Attorney at Law 16 350 South Grand Avenue 17 50th Floor 18 Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 19 213-683-9240 20 rose.ehler@mto.com	3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836 13 Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov 14 15 Also Present: 16 Viana Barbu, Attorney at Law 17 State of California 18 Department of General Services 19 Legal Division 20
3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And 13 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 14 BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER 15 Attorney at Law 16 350 South Grand Avenue 17 50th Floor 18 Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 19 213-683-9240 20 rose.ehler@mto.com	3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836 13 Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov 14 15 Also Present: 16 Viana Barbu, Attorney at Law 17 State of California 18 Department of General Services 19 Legal Division 20 21 Videographer: John Macdonell
3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And 13 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 14 BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER 15 Attorney at Law 16 350 South Grand Avenue 17 50th Floor 18 Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 19 213-683-9240 20 rose.ehler@mto.com	3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836 13 Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov 14 15 Also Present: 16 Viana Barbu, Attorney at Law 17 State of California 18 Department of General Services 19 Legal Division 20 21 Videographer: John Macdonell 22
3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And 13 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 14 BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER 15 Attorney at Law 16 350 South Grand Avenue 17 50th Floor 18 Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 19 213-683-9240 20 rose.ehler@mto.com	3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836 13 Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov 14 15 Also Present: 16 Viana Barbu, Attorney at Law 17 State of California 18 Department of General Services 19 Legal Division 20 21 Videographer: John Macdonell 22 23
3 For Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association: 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 5 BY: RACHEL G. MILLER-ZIEGLER 6 Attorney at Law 7 1155 F. Street, N.W. 8 7th Floor 9 Washington, D.C. 20004-1361 10 202-220-1115 11 Rachel.Miller-Ziegler@mto.com 12 And 13 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 14 BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER 15 Attorney at Law 16 350 South Grand Avenue 17 50th Floor 18 Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 19 213-683-9240 20 rose.ehler@mto.com	3 For California Building Standards Commission and the 4 Witness: 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 7 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 8 BY: JERRY YEN 9 Attorney at Law 10 1300 I Street 11 Sacramento, California 95814 12 916-210-7836 13 Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov 14 15 Also Present: 16 Viana Barbu, Attorney at Law 17 State of California 18 Department of General Services 19 Legal Division 20 21 Videographer: John Macdonell 22 23 24

1 INDEX	1 Sacramento, California, Monday, August 19, 2019
2 WITNESS:	2 10:04 a.m 4:22 p m.
3 MIA D. MARVELLI	3
4 Volume I	4 MIA D. MARVELLI,
5	5 having been administered an oath, was examined and
6 PAGE	6 testified as follows:
7 Examination By Mr. Nercessian 8	7 EXAMINATION
8 Examination By Ms. Miller-Ziegler 160	8 THE REPORTER: Good morning, counsel. Do
9 Examination By Mr. Nercessian 186	9 you want realtime also?
10 Examination By Ms. Miller-Ziegler 197	10 MS. EHLER: Yes.
11 Examination By Mr. Nercessian 199	11 MR. FEE: No. 10:01
12	12 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're on the 10:0
13	13 record. It's 10:04 a m. on August 19th, 2019. 10:04
14	
15	1
	We're here in the matter of American Society 10:04
16	16 for Testing and Materials, et al. versus 10:04
17	17 Public.Resource.Org. 10:04
18	We're located at One Capitol Mall in 10:04
19	19 Sacramento, California. 10:04
20	20 I'm John Macdonell, the videographer, with 10:04
21	21 Veritext. I'm not related to any party in this 10:04
22	22 action, nor am I a notary public or financially 10:04
23	23 interested. 10:04
24	24 Before the reporter swears the witness, 10:04
25 Page 6	25 would counsel please identify themselves. 10:04 Page 8
1 EXHIBITS	1 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. I'm Armen Nercessian 10:04
2 DEFENDANT'S	2 of Fenwick & West representing Defendant 10:04
3 DESCRIPTION PAGE	3 Public.Resource.Org. 10:04
4 Exhibit 1 July 19, 2019 letter to Mia 24	4 MR. BRIDGES: Andrew Bridges of 10:04
5 Marvelli from Fenwick & West LLP	5 Fenwick & West also representing Public.Resource.Org. 10:04
6 Exhibit 2 Marvelli Depo Prep 33	6 MR. YEN: Jerry Yen with the California 10:05
7 E 13.42 G 1	
7 Exhibit 3 Codes 34	7 Attorney General's Office representing the witness 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57	
	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85 12 Nearman from Pamela Maeda 13 Exhibit 7 State of California Standard 107 14 Agreement	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Rachel Miller-Ziegler 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85 12 Nearman from Pamela Maeda 13 Exhibit 7 State of California Standard 107 14 Agreement 15 Exhibit 8 August 1, 2016 email to DGS 132	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Rachel Miller-Ziegler 10:05 14 with Munger, Tolles & Olson here on behalf of the 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85 12 Nearman from Pamela Maeda 13 Exhibit 7 State of California Standard 107 14 Agreement 15 Exhibit 8 August 1, 2016 email to DGS 132 16 CBSC-Sacramento Staff from Alexander Hunter	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Rachel Miller-Ziegler 10:05 14 with Munger, Tolles & Olson here on behalf of the 10:05 15 National Fire Protection Association. 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85 12 Nearman from Pamela Maeda 13 Exhibit 7 State of California Standard 107 14 Agreement 15 Exhibit 8 August 1, 2016 email to DGS 132 16 CBSC-Sacramento Staff from Alexander Hunter 17 Exhibit 9 NCB Coordination Sheet, 141	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Rachel Miller-Ziegler 10:05 14 with Munger, Tolles & Olson here on behalf of the 10:05 15 National Fire Protection Association. 10:05 16 MS. EHLER: And Rose Ehler, also from 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85 12 Nearman from Pamela Maeda 13 Exhibit 7 State of California Standard 107 14 Agreement 15 Exhibit 8 August 1, 2016 email to DGS 132 16 CBSC-Sacramento Staff from Alexander Hunter	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Rachel Miller-Ziegler 10:05 14 with Munger, Tolles & Olson here on behalf of the 10:05 15 National Fire Protection Association. 10:05 16 MS. EHLER: And Rose Ehler, also from 10:05 17 Munger, Tolles & Olson, and on behalf of the National 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85 12 Nearman from Pamela Maeda 13 Exhibit 7 State of California Standard 107 14 Agreement 15 Exhibit 8 August 1, 2016 email to DGS 132 16 CBSC-Sacramento Staff from Alexander Hunter 17 Exhibit 9 NCB Coordination Sheet, 141	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Rachel Miller-Ziegler 10:05 14 with Munger, Tolles & Olson here on behalf of the 10:05 15 National Fire Protection Association. 10:05 16 MS. EHLER: And Rose Ehler, also from 10:05 17 Munger, Tolles & Olson, and on behalf of the National 10:05 18 Fire Protection Association. 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85 12 Nearman from Pamela Maeda 13 Exhibit 7 State of California Standard 107 14 Agreement 15 Exhibit 8 August 1, 2016 email to DGS 132 16 CBSC-Sacramento Staff from Alexander Hunter 17 Exhibit 9 NCB Coordination Sheet, 141 18 Department of General Services	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Rachel Miller-Ziegler 10:05 14 with Munger, Tolles & Olson here on behalf of the 10:05 15 National Fire Protection Association. 10:05 16 MS. EHLER: And Rose Ehler, also from 10:05 17 Munger, Tolles & Olson, and on behalf of the National 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85 12 Nearman from Pamela Maeda 13 Exhibit 7 State of California Standard 107 14 Agreement 15 Exhibit 8 August 1, 2016 email to DGS 132 16 CBSC-Sacramento Staff from Alexander Hunter 17 Exhibit 9 NCB Coordination Sheet, 141 18 Department of General Services 19 Exhibit 10 California Electrical Code 150	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Rachel Miller-Ziegler 10:05 14 with Munger, Tolles & Olson here on behalf of the 10:05 15 National Fire Protection Association. 10:05 16 MS. EHLER: And Rose Ehler, also from 10:05 17 Munger, Tolles & Olson, and on behalf of the National 10:05 18 Fire Protection Association. 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85 12 Nearman from Pamela Maeda 13 Exhibit 7 State of California Standard 107 14 Agreement 15 Exhibit 8 August 1, 2016 email to DGS 132 16 CBSC-Sacramento Staff from Alexander Hunter 17 Exhibit 9 NCB Coordination Sheet, 141 18 Department of General Services 19 Exhibit 10 California Electrical Code 150 20 Agreement Between and Among BNi	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Rachel Miller-Ziegler 10:05 14 with Munger, Tolles & Olson here on behalf of the 10:05 15 National Fire Protection Association. 10:05 16 MS. EHLER: And Rose Ehler, also from 10:05 17 Munger, Tolles & Olson, and on behalf of the National 10:05 18 Fire Protection Association. 10:05 19 MR. FEE: Kevin Fee from Morgan Lewis on 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85 12 Nearman from Pamela Maeda 13 Exhibit 7 State of California Standard 107 14 Agreement 15 Exhibit 8 August 1, 2016 email to DGS 132 16 CBSC-Sacramento Staff from Alexander Hunter 17 Exhibit 9 NCB Coordination Sheet, 141 18 Department of General Services 19 Exhibit 10 California Electrical Code 150 20 Agreement Between and Among BNi 21 Publications, Inc., Department	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Rachel Miller-Ziegler 10:05 14 with Munger, Tolles & Olson here on behalf of the 10:05 15 National Fire Protection Association. 10:05 16 MS. EHLER: And Rose Ehler, also from 10:05 17 Munger, Tolles & Olson, and on behalf of the National 10:05 18 Fire Protection Association. 10:05 19 MR. FEE: Kevin Fee from Morgan Lewis on 10:05 20 behalf of ASTM. 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85 12 Nearman from Pamela Maeda 13 Exhibit 7 State of California Standard 107 14 Agreement 15 Exhibit 8 August 1, 2016 email to DGS 132 16 CBSC-Sacramento Staff from Alexander Hunter 17 Exhibit 9 NCB Coordination Sheet, 141 18 Department of General Services 19 Exhibit 10 California Electrical Code 150 20 Agreement Between and Among BNi 21 Publications, Inc., Department 22 of General Services Procurement	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Rachel Miller-Ziegler 10:05 14 with Munger, Tolles & Olson here on behalf of the 10:05 15 National Fire Protection Association. 10:05 16 MS. EHLER: And Rose Ehler, also from 10:05 17 Munger, Tolles & Olson, and on behalf of the National 10:05 18 Fire Protection Association. 10:05 19 MR. FEE: Kevin Fee from Morgan Lewis on 10:05 20 behalf of ASTM. 10:05 21 (Witness sworn) 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85 12 Nearman from Pamela Maeda 13 Exhibit 7 State of California Standard 107 14 Agreement 15 Exhibit 8 August 1, 2016 email to DGS 132 16 CBSC-Sacramento Staff from Alexander Hunter 17 Exhibit 9 NCB Coordination Sheet, 141 18 Department of General Services 19 Exhibit 10 California Electrical Code 150 20 Agreement Between and Among BNi 21 Publications, Inc., Department 22 of General Services Procurement 23 Division For California Building	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Rachel Miller-Ziegler 10:05 14 with Munger, Tolles & Olson here on behalf of the 10:05 15 National Fire Protection Association. 10:05 16 MS. EHLER: And Rose Ehler, also from 10:05 17 Munger, Tolles & Olson, and on behalf of the National 10:05 18 Fire Protection Association. 10:05 19 MR. FEE: Kevin Fee from Morgan Lewis on 10:05 20 behalf of ASTM. 10:05 21 (Witness sworn) 10:05 22 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:05
8 Exhibit 4 2019 California Electrical Code 57 9 Exhibit 5 Subpoena to Testify at a 83 10 Deposition in a Civil Action 11 Exhibit 6 March 10, 2016 email to Michael 85 12 Nearman from Pamela Maeda 13 Exhibit 7 State of California Standard 107 14 Agreement 15 Exhibit 8 August 1, 2016 email to DGS 132 16 CBSC-Sacramento Staff from Alexander Hunter 17 Exhibit 9 NCB Coordination Sheet, 141 18 Department of General Services 19 Exhibit 10 California Electrical Code 150 20 Agreement Between and Among BNi 21 Publications, Inc., Department 22 of General Services Procurement 23 Division For California Building 24 Standards Commission And The	8 and the California Building Standards Commission. 10:05 9 MS. BARBU: Viana Barbu with the Department 10:05 10 of General Services Legal Division representing Mia 10:05 11 Marvelli as Executive Director of Building Standards 10:05 12 Commission. 10:05 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Rachel Miller-Ziegler 10:05 14 with Munger, Tolles & Olson here on behalf of the 10:05 15 National Fire Protection Association. 10:05 16 MS. EHLER: And Rose Ehler, also from 10:05 17 Munger, Tolles & Olson, and on behalf of the National 10:05 18 Fire Protection Association. 10:05 19 MR. FEE: Kevin Fee from Morgan Lewis on 10:05 20 behalf of ASTM. 10:05 21 (Witness sworn) 10:05 22 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:05

1 A. Mia Marvelli. 10:05	1 take it to mean you were finished. Do you understand 10:07
2 Q. Good morning, Ms. Marvelli. Have you ever 10:05	
3 had your deposition taken before? 10:05	3 A. Yes. 10:07
4 A. No. 10:05	4 Q. If you come to realize that any of your 10:07
5 Q. Have you ever been involved in any lawsuits 10:05	5 answers that you previously provided is not 10:07
6 before? 10:06	6 completely correct, just let me know, and we'll 10:07
7 A. Yes. 10:06	7 address it; okay? 10:07
8 Q. What was your involvement in previous 10:06	8 A. Yes. 10:07
9 lawsuits? 10:06	9 Q. And if you need a break at any time over the 10:07
10 A. Respondent. 10:06	10 course of the day, just let me know, and if there is 10:08  11 a question pending, we can give you the break. Does 10:08
11 Q. In your capacity as a representative of the 10:06 12 State? 10:06	12 that make sense? 10:08
13 A. Yes. 10:06	13 A. Yes. 10:08
14 Q. Did you furnish any testimony in any of 10:06 15 those matters? 10:06	14 Q. Have you spoken with anyone about your 10:08 15 testimony today? 10:08
16 A. A declaration. 10:06	16 A. No. Just my representation. 10:08
17 Q. Did you furnish any live testimony? 10:06	17 Q. Have you been asked to limit your testimony 10:08
18 A. No. 10:06	18 today in any way? 10:08
19 Q. So I'll lay out a few preliminaries of how 10:06	19 A. No. 10:08
20 today is going to go: I will ask you a series of 10:06	20 Q. Is there any reason you would not be able to 10:08
21 questions. Do you understand that you are giving 10:06	21 give complete and accurate answers to my questions 10:08
22 testimony under oath today? 10:06	22 today? 10:08
23 A. Yes. 10:06	23 A. No. 10:08
24 Q. Just as you would in a court of law? 10:06	24 Q. What did you do to prepare for today's 10:08
25 A. Yes. 10:06	25 deposition? 10:08
Page 10	Page 12
1 Q. Do you understand that the court reporter is 10:06	1 A. Read the documents that were provided me. 10:08
2 taking down everything you say? 10:06	2 Q. Did you review any other documents? 10:08
3 A. Yes. 10:06	3 A. No. 10:08
4 Q. Because to establish a record, do you 10:06	4 Q. Did you conduct any research on your own 10:08
5 understand that we need only audible responses such 10:00	
6 as a verbal "yes" or "no"? 10:06	6 A. No. 10:08
7 A. Yes. 10:07	7 Q. Did you conduct any research on your own 10:08
8 Q. If at any point you don't understand a 10:07	8 into the subject matter of the documents? 10:08
9 question, will you please let me know? And I will 10:07	9 A. No. 10:08
10 try to rephrase. 10:07	10 Q. Did you have any conversations to prepare 10:08
11 A. Yes. 10:07	11 your testimony other than those with your 10:09
12 Q. If you answer my question without asking for 10:07	12 representation? 10:09
13 clarification, I'm going to take it to mean that you 10:07	13 A. No. 10:09
14 understood it. Is that understood? 10:07	14 Q. Are you currently employed? 10:09
15 A. Yes. 10:07	15 A. Yes. 10:09
16 Q. Because the court reporter's taking down 10:07	16 Q. What's your current employment? 10:09
17 what everyone says, let's not try to talk let's 10:07	17 A. Executive Director of the Building Standards 10:09
18 try not to talk over one another. So if I'm asking a 10:07	18 Commission. 10:09
19 question, please wait for me to finish before you 10:07	19 Q. And what is the Building Standards 10:09
20 answer. Do you understand? 10:07	20 Commission? 10:09
21 A. Yes. 10:07	21 A. It's a state commission that administers the 10:09
22 Q. Likewise, if I start to ask you a question 10:07	22 California Building Standards process, and there's 14 10:09
23 and you weren't allowed to finish, please tell me, 10:07	23 staff, and there's ten commissioners that we support. 10:09
24 and I'll allow you to finish. If I go on to another 10:07	24 Q. Okay. And when you say you administer the 10:09
25 question and you don't say you need more time, I'll 10:07	25 process, what does that process entail? 10:09
Page 11	Page 13

1 A. We utilize the California Building Standards 10:09	1 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:12
2 law, which is found in the Health and Safety Code, 10:09	2 THE WITNESS: So they're appointed by the 10:12
3 and we also use the Administrative Procedures Act, 10:09	3 governor. 10:12
4 which is in the Government Code, to administer the 10:09	4 (Discussion off the record) 10:12
5 rulemaking process for it's also known as 10:09	5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:12
6 Title 24. 10:10	6 I'll be objecting sometimes throughout the 10:12
7 Q. Title 24. Does Title 24 go by any other 10:10	7 questioning. If you could just pause before 10:12
8 names? 10:10	8 answering so that I can have a chance to object. 10:12
9 A. California Building Standards Code. 10:10	9 THE WITNESS: Certainly. 10:12
10 Q. And it's Title 24. What law is the Title 24 10:10	10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Thank you. 10:12
11 of? 10:10	11 MR. NERCESSIAN: I can rephrase. 10:12
12 A. It's the 24th title in 28 regulations for 10:10	12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:12
13 California known as the California Code of 10:10	13 Q. So what role do these commissioners play? 10:12
14 Regulations, is the whole 28 titles. 10:10	14 A. They're appointed by the governor, and they 10:12
15 Q. And when you say you're responsible for 10:10	15 make up the different requirements that is in 10:12
16 administering the process, what stages does that 10:10	16 building standards law. There's ten different types 10:12
17 process entail? 10:10	17 of commissioners that are required to be appointed by 10:12
18 A. We receive rulemaking documents from various 10:10	18 the governor. For example, one represents building 10:13
19 State agencies, and we conduct public hearings and 10:10	19 officials. 10:13
20 meetings, public comment periods, and then ultimately 10:10	20 Q. Are there any that represent officials that 10:13
21 commission reviews and approves I shouldn't say 10:10	21 administer electrical systems? 10:13
22 approve. Takes action on those rulemakings. 10:10	22 A. I'm not sure what you mean. 10:13
23 Q. How does it take action on those 10:10	23 Q. I can strike that. 10:13
24 rulemakings? 10:11	24 Can you provide another example of a type of 10:13
25 A. They either approve, disapprove, further 10:11 Page 14	25 commissioner that is appointed by the governor that 10:13 Page 16
1 study or sorry. There's four actions they can 10:11	1 you interface with? 10:13
2 take. 10:11	2 A. Sure. Another representative is from the 10:13
3 Q. And it's approve, disapprove, further study 10:11	3 construction industry, so they're a licensed 10:13
4 and 10:11	4 contractor. 10:13
5 A. Or approve as amend. Sorry. I apologize 10:11	5 Q. Okay. So and that's still a role 10:13
6 for talking over you. 10:11	6 appointed by the governor? 10:13
7 Q. Oh. No worries. So the four actions are 10:11	7 A. Correct. 10:13
8 approve, amend, disapprove, further action? 10:11	8 Q. What authority does the governor make these 10:13
9 A. Approve, approve as amend, further study or 10:11	9 appointments under? 10:14
10 disapprove. 10:11	10 A. California Building Standards law, which is 10:14
11 Q. Okay. Oh. I understand. Thank you. 10:11	11 found in Health and Safety Code, and I don't know the 10:14
12 A. Uh-huh. 10:11	12 exact section number. 10:14
13 Q. And you say you interface with ten 10:11	13 Q. Okay. You referred earlier to rulemaking 10:14
14 commissioners? 10:11	14 materials that you provide to these commissioners. 10:14
15 A. Uh-huh. 10:11	15 What do those rulemaking materials consist of? 10:14
16 Q. What's the nature of those interactions? 10:11	16 A. Express Terms, Initial Statement of Reasons. 10:14
17 A. Our office supplies them the rulemaking 10:11	17 That's all I can think of right now. 10:14
18 materials so they can make a determination on the 10:12	18 Q. Okay. So what are Express Terms? 10:14
19 rulemakings that they're provided. 10:12	19 A. They're the code language and strikeout and 10:14
20 0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	20 underline format so that the people can see and the 10:14
20 Q. And who are these commissioners? 10:12	
20 Q. And who are these commissioners? 10:12 21 A. Do I need to name them all, or how 10:12	21 commissioners can see what is being proposed by a 10:14
	21 commissioners can see what is being proposed by a 10:14 22 State agency. 10:14
21 A. Do I need to name them all, or how 10:12	
21 A. Do I need to name them all, or how 10:12 22 Q. Functionally, who are they? Are they 10:12 23 commissioners of different State agencies, or are 10:12	22 State agency. 10:14
21 A. Do I need to name them all, or how 10:12 22 Q. Functionally, who are they? Are they 10:12 23 commissioners of different State agencies, or are 10:12	22 State agency. 10:14 23 Q. And what do the commissioners do with those 10:14

1 Q. And what action do they take with respect to 10:15	1 Code. We conduct the public merit meetings, and the 10:18
2 those Express Terms? 10:15	2 staff reviews the materials and assembles them for 10:18
3 A. The four that I spoke of earlier. 10:15	3 the public meetings that we conduct. 10:18
4 Q. Okay. Do they open up those Express Terms 10:15	4 Q. All right. How long have you served in that 10:18
5 for notice and comment with the public? 10:15	5 role as executive director? 10:18
6 A. They're presented at a public meeting, so 10:15	6 A. Approximately three and a half years. 10:18
7 there's an opportunity at that time for the public to 10:15	7 Q. Since roughly February 2016? 10:18
8 comment. 10:15	8 A. Correct. 10:18
9 Q. And do each of these ten commissioners 10:15	9 Q. Have you performed any other roles at the 10:18
10 conduct separate hearings on the Express Terms that 10:13	10 BSC? 10:18
11 they've been provided with? 10:15	11 A. Yes. 10:18
12 A. No. 10:15	12 Q. What were those roles? 10:18
13 Q. Is it just one public hearing that all the 10:15	13 A. I was an architectural associate and an 10:18
14 commissioners participate in? 10:15	14 associate architect, and I was employed with them 10:18
15 A. Yes. 10:15	15 since spring of 2012. 10:18
16 Q. You also referred to Initial Statement of 10:15	16 Q. And what did you do as an architectural 10:18
17 Reasons. 10:15	17 associate? 10:18
18 A. Uh-huh. 10:15	18 A. Reviewed rulemaking documents, prepared them 10:18
19 Q. And what is that? 10:16	19 for meetings and the public comment phase. 10:19
20 A. It's several statements that are from the 10:16	20 Q. And when did you serve in that role? Was 10:19
21 Government Code specific to the Administrative 10:16	21 that earlier or after you were an associate 10:19
22 Procedures Act that discuss the rationale, the 10:16	22 architect? 10:19
23 purpose and the reason for a code change, and then 10:16	23 A. I did that while I was an associate 10:19
24 there's a variety of other statements that talk about 10:16	24 architect and an architectural associate. 10:19
25 the benefit to the State, cost to the State, and 10:16	25 Q. Oh. You held those positions 10:19
Page 18	Page 20
1 that's all I can remember specifically right now 10:16	1 simultaneously? 10:19
2 without looking at the document. 10:16	2 A. Well, I can't remember the exact time I 10:19
3 Q. Okay. So the Building Standards Commission 10:16	3 got I received a promotion during that time. 10:19
4 is also known as the CBSC; correct? 10:16	4 Q. Did your role change at all after the 10:19
5 A. Yes. 10:16	5 promotion? 10:19
6 Q. Or the BSC? 10:16	6 A. No. 10:19
7 A. Correct. 10:16	7 Q. Did your responsibilities change at all 10:19
8 Q. What's the relationship between the Building 10:16	8 after the promotion? 10:19
9 Standards Commission and the California Department of 10:16	9 A. No. 10:19
10 General Services? 10:16	10 Q. In that associate role, what role did you 10:19
11 A. We are a commission within DGS. 10:16	11 play, if any, in administering the processes related 10:19
12 Q. Does DGS play any independent role in this 10:16	12 to the adoption, approval, publication and/or 10:20
13 rulemaking process? 10:16	13 implementation of the California Building Codes? 10:20
14 A. No. 10:17	MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:20
15 Q. Do any other State agencies play a role? 10:17	15 MR. NERCESSIAN: I can rephrase. 10:20
16 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as vague. 10:17	16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:20
17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:17	17 Q. In that role as an architectural associate 10:20
18 Q. Do any State agencies play a role in the 10:17	18 and associate architect, did you play any role in 10:20
19 process of administering Title 24? 10:17	19 administering the processes related to the adoption 10:20
20 A. No. 10:17	20 of the California Building Codes? 10:20
21 Q. What are your responsibilities as executive 10:17	21 A. Yes. 10:20
22 director of BCS BSC? 10:17	22 Q. What was that involvement? 10:20
23 A. Oversee staff and the budget, ensure that 10:17	23 A. Reviewed documents from State agencies, 10:20
24 the process is followed, specifically that meeting 10:17	24 prepared meeting materials so that they could be 10:20
25 notices are supplied according to the Government 10:17	25 publicly viewed. 10:20
Page 19	Page 21

1 Q. And did you play any role in the processes 10:20	1 buildings. 10:23
2 related to the approval of California's Building 10:20	2 Q. And by that, do you mean you developed 10:23
3 Codes? 10:20	3 construction drawings so that they complied with the 10:23
4 A. I'm not sure I understand that question. 10:20	4 California Building Standards Code? 10:23
5 Q. Do you understand there to be any difference 10:20	5 A. Yes. 10:23
6 between the processes related to the adoption of 10:21	6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:23
7 California's Building Codes and their approval? 10:21	7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:24
8 A. I would just say that the process sets up 10:21	8 Q. Show you a document. We can mark this as 10:24
9 the end game, if you will, for the commission to take 10:21	9 Exhibit 1. 10:24
10 action. 10:21	10 (Exhibit 1 marked) 10:24
11 Q. Okay. And when the building commission 10:21	11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:24
12 takes action, what is the output of this process? 10:21	12 Q. All right. You've been handed a document 10:24
13 A. If the standard 10:21	13 marked as Exhibit 1. Ms. Marvelli, do you recognize 10:24
14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:21	14 this document? 10:25
·	
15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:21	15 A. Yes. 10:25
16 Q. You can answer the question. 10:21	16 Q. And what is this document? 10:25
17 A. Okay. Thanks. If the regulations are 10:21	17 A. It's what I was sent a couple weeks ago to 10:25
18 approved by the commission, they're assembled for the 10:21	18 the office. 10:25
9 publisher so the publisher can develop the next 10:21	19 Q. And this is a letter with respect to the 10:25
20 edition of the code. 10:22	20 subpoena for this deposition; correct? 10:25
Q. And what's the latest edition of the code? 10:22	21 A. Yes. 10:25
22 A. The current effective code is a 2016 10:22	22 Q. The letter includes as Exhibit A the opinion 10:25
23 edition. 10:22	23 of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of 10:25
Q. And have the rulemaking processes for 10:22	24 Columbia with respect to this dispute. 10:25
25 revision after the 2016 edition taken place? 10:22 Page 22	25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. This hasn't 10:25 Page 24
1 A. Yes. 10:22	1 been provided to us in the past. 10:25
2 Q. And what edition of the code do those 10:22	2 MR. NERCESSIAN: Okay. Your objection is 10:25
3 processes relate to? 10:22	3 noted. 10:25
4 A. The 2019 edition. 10:22	4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:25
5 Q. And when does that become effective? 10:22	5 Q. Have you read this opinion? 10:25
6 A. January 1st of 2020. 10:22	6 A. Not the whole thing. 10:25
7 Q. Before you were employed by the California 10:22	7 Q. When did you read this opinion? 10:25
8 Building Standards Commission, did you have any 10:22	8 A. I read part of it when I first received it. 10:26
9 experience with the California Building Codes in 10:22	9 Q. Were you aware of this opinion at any point 10:26
10 previous roles? 10:23	10 before you received this letter? 10:26
1 A. Yes. 10:23	11 A. No. 10:26
2 Q. What was that involvement? 10:23	12 Q. Do you have any knowledge of the dispute 10:26
13 A. Utilizing them for the design of state 10:23	13 between Public.Resource and the plaintiffs in this 10:26
	_
14 buildings. 10:23	14 lawsuit? 10:26
15 Q. And what position did you utilize them in? 10:23	15 A. I have some knowledge. 10:26
6 A. I was an architectural associate for the 10:23	16 Q. And what is that knowledge? 10:26
7 Department of General Services. 10:23	17 A. Just information that is on the Internet 10:26
18 Q. And as an architectural associate at the 10:23	18 about this particular 10:26
19 Department of General Services, how did you utilize 10:23	19 Q. And so what do you know about the case? 10:26
20 the California Building Codes? 10:23	20 A. Just that there's a dispute between the two 10:26
MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as outside 10:23	21 groups. 10:26
22 the scope of the subpoena. 10:23	22 Q. Have you had any discussions about this case 10:26
23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:23	23 at any point? 10:26
Q. You can answer the question. 10:23	24 A. No. 10:26
<ul> <li>25 A. For the design and construction of state 10:23</li> <li>Page 23</li> </ul>	25 Q. One of the issues in this case is what 10:26 Page 2

1 copyright protection there is and standards that are 10:26	1 Q. What do you understand the difference to be? 10:29
2 incorporated into other law. Are you familiar with 10:26	2 A. Well, there's the reference standards, which 10:29
3 standards that standard development organizations put 10:26	3 are very detail-oriented, and then there is the code 10:30
4 out? 10:27	4 developers where the reference standards are referred 10:30
5 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:27	5 to. 10:30
6 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:27	6 Q. So do you have any familiarity with 10:30
7 Q. Have you had any involvement with standards 10:27	7 reference standards from your employment at the 10:30
8 in over the course of your employment at the 10:27	8 Building Standards Commission? 10:30
9 Building Standards Commission? 10:27	9 A. I have familiarity. 10:30
10 A. I'm sorry, I'm not following that question. 10:27	10 Q. And what's that experience with the 10:30
11 Q. In your role at the Building Standards 10:27	11 reference standards that you have? 10:30
12 Commission, have you interacted with any industry 10:27	12 A. That they're referenced in the model codes 10:30
13 standards? 10:27	13 that California is required to adopt. 10:30
14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:27	14 Q. And why is California required to adopt 10:30
15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:27	15 those model codes? 10:30
16 Q. You can answer the question. 10:27	16 A. That's part of our building standards law. 10:30
17 A. I don't know what you mean by "interacted." 10:27	17 Q. What does the Building Standards Commission 10:30
18 Q. Have you taken any actions with have you 10:27	18 do with those reference codes? 10:30
19 reviewed any industry standards in your role? 10:27	19 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:30
20 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:27	20 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:31
21 THE WITNESS: I guess I don't know what you 10:27	21 Q. What I can rephrase. 10:31
22 mean by "reviewed." 10:27	22 A. Okay. 10:31
23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:27	23 Q. What actions does the Building Standards 10:31
24 Q. Have you read any industry standards in your 10:27	24 Commission take, if any, with respect to those 10:31
25 role at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28	25 reference codes? 10:31
Page 26	Page 28
	1 A. They take the same action that they do with 10:21
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28	1 A. They take the same action that they do with 10:31
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31
1       MR. FEE: Objection to form.       10:28         2       BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       10:28         3       Q. You can answer.       10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31
1       MR. FEE: Objection to form.       10:28         2       BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       10:28         3       Q. You can answer.       10:28         4       A. I'm not following that.       10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31
1       MR. FEE: Objection to form.       10:28         2       BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       10:28         3       Q. You can answer.       10:28         4       A. I'm not following that.       10:28         5       Q. So what is it about my question that you       10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28 13 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32 13 nine-point criteria that they need to take a 10:32
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28 13 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 14 THE WITNESS: I suppose I have, but I 10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32 13 nine-point criteria that they need to take a 10:32 14 different action, then approve. 10:32
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28 13 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 14 THE WITNESS: I suppose I have, but I 10:28 15 couldn't tell you specifics on what that would be. 10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32 13 nine-point criteria that they need to take a 10:32 14 different action, then approve. 10:32 15 Q. What is this nine-point criteria you're 10:32
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28 13 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 14 THE WITNESS: I suppose I have, but I 10:28 15 couldn't tell you specifics on what that would be. 10:28 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32 13 nine-point criteria that they need to take a 10:32 14 different action, then approve. 10:32 15 Q. What is this nine-point criteria you're 10:32 16 referring to? 10:32
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28 13 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 14 THE WITNESS: I suppose I have, but I 10:28 15 couldn't tell you specifics on what that would be. 10:28 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 17 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32 13 nine-point criteria that they need to take a 10:32 14 different action, then approve. 10:32 15 Q. What is this nine-point criteria you're 10:32 16 referring to? 10:32 17 A. It's the basis in which the State agencies 10:32
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28 13 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 14 THE WITNESS: I suppose I have, but I 10:28 15 couldn't tell you specifics on what that would be. 10:28 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 17 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29 18 development organizations over the course of your 10:29	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32 13 nine-point criteria that they need to take a 10:32 14 different action, then approve. 10:32 15 Q. What is this nine-point criteria you're 10:32 16 referring to? 10:32 17 A. It's the basis in which the State agencies 10:32 18 state that they're the building standards may be 10:32
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28 13 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 14 THE WITNESS: I suppose I have, but I 10:28 15 couldn't tell you specifics on what that would be. 10:28 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 17 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29 18 development organizations over the course of your 10:29 19 employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:29	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32 13 nine-point criteria that they need to take a 10:32 14 different action, then approve. 10:32 15 Q. What is this nine-point criteria you're 10:32 16 referring to? 10:32 17 A. It's the basis in which the State agencies 10:32 18 state that they're the building standards may be 10:32 19 approved, and it's in 18930, I believe, of the Health 10:32
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28 13 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 14 THE WITNESS: I suppose I have, but I 10:28 15 couldn't tell you specifics on what that would be. 10:28 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 17 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29 18 development organizations over the course of your 10:29 19 employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:29 20 A. Can you repeat the question? I'm sorry. 10:29	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32 13 nine-point criteria that they need to take a 10:32 14 different action, then approve. 10:32 15 Q. What is this nine-point criteria you're 10:32 16 referring to? 10:32 17 A. It's the basis in which the State agencies 10:32 18 state that they're the building standards may be 10:32 19 approved, and it's in 18930, I believe, of the Health 10:32 20 and Safety Code. 10:32
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28 13 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 14 THE WITNESS: I suppose I have, but I 10:28 15 couldn't tell you specifics on what that would be. 10:28 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 17 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29 18 development organizations over the course of your 10:29 19 employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:29 20 A. Can you repeat the question? I'm sorry. 10:29 21 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32 13 nine-point criteria that they need to take a 10:32 14 different action, then approve. 10:32 15 Q. What is this nine-point criteria you're 10:32 16 referring to? 10:32 17 A. It's the basis in which the State agencies 10:32 18 state that they're the building standards may be 10:32 19 approved, and it's in 18930, I believe, of the Health 10:32 20 and Safety Code. 10:32
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28 13 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 14 THE WITNESS: I suppose I have, but I 10:28 15 couldn't tell you specifics on what that would be. 10:28 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 17 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29 18 development organizations over the course of your 10:29 19 employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:29 20 A. Can you repeat the question? I'm sorry. 10:29 21 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29 22 development organizations over the course of your 10:29	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32 13 nine-point criteria that they need to take a 10:32 14 different action, then approve. 10:32 15 Q. What is this nine-point criteria you're 10:32 16 referring to? 10:32 17 A. It's the basis in which the State agencies 10:32 18 state that they're the building standards may be 10:32 19 approved, and it's in 18930, I believe, of the Health 10:32 20 and Safety Code. 10:32 21 Q. And what are those nine criteria? 10:32 22 A. I'll do my best. There's one of them is 10:32
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28 13 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 14 THE WITNESS: I suppose I have, but I 10:28 15 couldn't tell you specifics on what that would be. 10:28 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 17 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29 18 development organizations over the course of your 10:29 19 employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:29 20 A. Can you repeat the question? I'm sorry. 10:29 21 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29 22 development organizations over the course of your 10:29 23 employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:29 23 employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:29	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32 13 nine-point criteria that they need to take a 10:32 14 different action, then approve. 10:32 15 Q. What is this nine-point criteria you're 10:32 16 referring to? 10:32 17 A. It's the basis in which the State agencies 10:32 18 state that they're the building standards may be 10:32 19 approved, and it's in 18930, I believe, of the Health 10:32 20 and Safety Code. 10:32 21 Q. And what are those nine criteria? 10:32 22 A. I'll do my best. There's one of them is 10:32 23 they cannot conflict, overlap or duplicate another 10:33
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28 13 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 14 THE WITNESS: I suppose I have, but I 10:28 15 couldn't tell you specifics on what that would be. 10:28 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 17 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29 18 development organizations over the course of your 10:29 19 employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:29 20 A. Can you repeat the question? I'm sorry. 10:29 21 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29 22 development organizations over the course of your 10:29 23 employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:29 24 A. I think I'm trying to understand the 10:29	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32 13 nine-point criteria that they need to take a 10:32 14 different action, then approve. 10:32 15 Q. What is this nine-point criteria you're 10:32 16 referring to? 10:32 17 A. It's the basis in which the State agencies 10:32 18 state that they're the building standards may be 10:32 19 approved, and it's in 18930, I believe, of the Health 10:32 20 and Safety Code. 10:32 21 Q. And what are those nine criteria? 10:32 22 A. I'll do my best. There's one of them is 10:32 23 they cannot conflict, overlap or duplicate another 10:33 24 code, arbitrary and capricious, they have to meet 10:33
1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 3 Q. You can answer. 10:28 4 A. I'm not following that. 10:28 5 Q. So what is it about my question that you 10:28 6 don't understand? I can rephrase. 10:28 7 A. I guess I'm trying to understand if it's 10:28 8 specific about a certain standard or just the 10:28 9 standards in general. 10:28 10 Q. Just standards in general. Have you ever 10:28 11 reviewed any industry standards over the course of 10:28 12 your employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:28 13 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:28 14 THE WITNESS: I suppose I have, but I 10:28 15 couldn't tell you specifics on what that would be. 10:28 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:28 17 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29 18 development organizations over the course of your 10:29 19 employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:29 20 A. Can you repeat the question? I'm sorry. 10:29 21 Q. Have you had any interactions with standard 10:29 22 development organizations over the course of your 10:29 23 employment at the Building Standards Commission? 10:29 24 development organizations over the course of your 10:29 25 development organizations over the course of your 10:29 26 development organizations over the course of your 10:29	2 the model codes, the four we spoke of earlier. 10:31 3 Q. Okay. So then I'd like to discuss one of 10:31 4 those four actions, approve as amended. 10:31 5 A. Okay. 10:31 6 Q. When the Building Standards Commission 10:31 7 approves as amend a reference code, what does that 10:31 8 mean? 10:31 9 A. They may be approving a change from what was 10:31 10 proposed. 10:32 11 Q. And why might it take those actions? 10:32 12 A. There could be a reason based on the 10:32 13 nine-point criteria that they need to take a 10:32 14 different action, then approve. 10:32 15 Q. What is this nine-point criteria you're 10:32 16 referring to? 10:32 17 A. It's the basis in which the State agencies 10:32 18 state that they're the building standards may be 10:32 19 approved, and it's in 18930, I believe, of the Health 10:32 20 and Safety Code. 10:32 21 Q. And what are those nine criteria? 10:32 22 A. I'll do my best. There's one of them is 10:32 23 they cannot conflict, overlap or duplicate another 10:33

1	1 14 1 11 11 00 1 70 34 1 1 7 1 10 20	
3	or as determined by the State Fire Marshal. I can't 10:33	1 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36
4 the California Building Standards Commission may   10:33   5 recommend unending a reference standard in its   10:33   5 recommend unending a reference standard in its   10:33   5 recommend unending a reference standard in its   10:33   5 recommend unending a reference standard in its   10:33   5 recommend unending a reference standard in its   10:34   7 Q. In what cases would a person not have to   10:36   8 PMR. NERCESSIAN:   10:36   9 MR. FEE: Objection to form.   10:36   10:36   10:30   10:	2 think of them all at the moment. 10:33	2 Q. In what cases? 10:36
5   recommend amending a reference standard in its   10:33	3 Q. And if one of these criteria is met, then 10:33	3 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36
6 adoption; is that correct?   10:35   10:36   8   YMR. NERCESSIAN:   10:36	4 the California Building Standards Commission may 10:33	4 THE WITNESS: That's too broad of a 10:36
7	5 recommend amending a reference standard in its 10:33	5 question. I don't 10:36
8	6 adoption; is that correct? 10:33	6 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36
9 M. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 10 Q. What happens if all of the criteria are met? 10:33 11 A. I didn't hear you. 10:33 12 Q. What happens if all of the criteria are met? 10:33 13 A. Generally the commission approves the 10:34 14 package. 10:34 15 Q. And where the commission chooses to amend a 10:34 16 reference standard in its adoption, how does the 10:34 17 commission accomplish that in corporation? 10:34 18 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 10:34 19 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection form. 10:34 19 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection form. 10:34 20 BY MR. NERCISSIAN: 10:34 21 Q. Do you understand the question? 10:34 22 A. No. 10:34 23 Q. Does the commission incorporate by reference 10:34 24 any reference standards? 10:35 25 O. So that she incorporation. 10:35 26 A. A. It would be part of the model code. 10:35 27 Q. But does the code incorporate normal to 10:35 28 by referencing that standard? 10:35 29 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection form. 10:36 30 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 31 THE WITNESS: There's instances where part 10:36 32 THE WITNESS: There's instances where part 10:36 33 THE WITNESS: There's instances where part 10:36 34 O. Does the commission incorporate to part 10:34 35 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection form. 10:37 36 De Standard Park 10:35 37 THE WITNESS: There's instances where part 10:36 38 De Therence standard the question. 10:34 39 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection form. 10:35 40 A. It would be part of the model code. 10:35 41 A. I would be part of the model code. 10:35 42 Q. You can answer. 10:37 43 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection form. 10:35 44 A. I would be part of the model code. 10:35 45 Q. But does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 46 A. Uh-hub. 10:35 47 Q. But does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 48 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 49 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection form. 10:35 40 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection form. 10:35 40 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection form. 10:35 41 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 42 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: There's no other from 10:39 43 MS. MILLE	7 A. If one of the criteria is not met, yes. 10:33	7 Q. In what cases would a person not have to 10:36
10 Q. What happens if all of the criteria are met?   10:33	8 Q. If one of the criteria is not met? 10:33	8 refer to separate reference standard? 10:36
11   A. I didn't hear you.	9 A. Yeah. 10:33	9 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36
12   Q. What happens if all of the criteria are met?   10:34   14 package.   10:34   15   Q. And where the commission chooses to amend a   10:34   16 reference standard in its adoption, how does the   10:34   16 reference standard in its adoption, how does the   10:34   16 reference standard in its adoption, how does the   10:34   16 reference standard in its adoption, how does the   10:34   16 reference standard in its adoption, how does the   10:34   16 reference standard in its adoption, how does the   10:34   17 commission accomplish that incorporation?   10:34   18   MR. FEE: Objection. Form.   10:34   18   Parts of a reference standard are reprinted within   10:37   17 Q. When you say that there are instances that   10:37   18   Parts of a reference standard are reprinted within   10:37   18   Parts of a reference standard are reprinted within   10:37   19 the code, do you mean that they appear within the   10:37   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:35   10:34   10:35   10:35   10:34   10:35	10 Q. What happens if all of the criteria are met? 10:33	10 MR. YEN: You can answer 10:36
13	11 A. I didn't hear you. 10:33	11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10:36
14 package.   10:34   16 the reference standard is in - reprinted or in   10:37   15 (Q. And where the commission chooses to a mend a   10:34   16 febrerence standard in its adoption, how does the   10:34   16 febrerence standard in its adoption, how does the   10:34   16 febrerence standard in its adoption, how does the   10:34   16 febrerence standard in its adoption, how does the   10:34   16 febrerence standard are reprinted within   10:37   17 (Q. When you say that there are instances that   10:37   19 the code, do you mean that they appear within the   10:37   10:37   19 the code, do you mean that they appear within the   10:37   10:37   10:38   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:34   10:35   10:34   10:34   10:35   10:35   10:36	12 Q. What happens if all of the criteria are met? 10:33	MR. YEN: if you understand the question. 10:36
15   Q. And where the commission chooses to amend a   10:34   16 reference standard in its adoption, how does the   10:34   17 commission accomplish that incorporation?   10:34   18   MR. FEE: Objection. Form.   10:34   18   MR. FEE: Objection. Form.   10:34   18   MR. FEE: Objection. Form.   10:34   18   MR. FEE: Objection form.   10:34   19   the code, do you mean that they appear within the   10:37   18   10:37   19   MR. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection to form.   10:34   19   the code, do you mean that they appear within the   10:37   1	13 A. Generally the commission approves the 10:34	13 THE WITNESS: There's instances where part 10:36
16 reference standard in its adoption, how does the   10:34   17 commission accomplish that incorporation?   10:34   18 mR. FEE: Objection. Form.   10:34   18 mR. FEE: Objection. Form.   10:34   19 mR. FEE: Objection. Form.   10:34   19 mR. FEE: Objection. Form.   10:34   19 mR. FEE: Objection. Form.   10:34   10:37   10:3	14 package. 10:34	14 of the reference standard is in reprinted or in 10:37
17   Commission accomplish that incorporation?   10:34   18   MR. FEE: Objection. Form.   10:34   19   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.   10:34   19   the code, do you mean that they appear within the   10:37   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:37   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:37   10:38   10:	15 Q. And where the commission chooses to amend a 10:34	15 the code, but I couldn't give you specifics. 10:37
18   MR. FEE: Objection. Form.   10:34   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1	16 reference standard in its adoption, how does the 10:34	16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:37
19   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.   10:34   20   body of the California code as printed?   10:37   20   body of the California code as printed?   10:37   22   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as pleading.   10:37   22   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as pleading.   10:37   22   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as pleading.   10:37   22   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.   10:38   23   O. You can answer.   10:37   Page 30   10   O. What does that mean? What strike that.   10:34   25   O. What does that mean? What strike that.   10:34   26   O. What does that mean? What strike that.   10:34   27   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:37   Page 30   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:38   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:39   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:39   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:39   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:39   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:39   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:39   O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next.   10:39   O. Okay. In t	17 commission accomplish that incorporation? 10:34	17 Q. When you say that there are instances that 10:37
20 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:   10:34   20 body of the California code as printed?   10:37   10:38   10:37   22 A. No.   10:34   23 Q. Does the commission incorporate by reference   10:34   24 any reference standards?   10:34   25 A. Yes.   10:34   26 to be able to give you that answer.   10:37   25 to be able to give you that answer.   10:37   26 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   27 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   27 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   27 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   27 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   27 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   27 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   27 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   27 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   27 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   27 to order.   10:38   28 to be able to give you that answer.   10:37   27 to order.   10:38   28 to be able to give you that answer.   10:37   28 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   28 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   28 to order.   10:39   28 to order.   10:35   28 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   28 to order.   10:39   28 to order.   10:35   28 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   28 to order.   10:39   28 to order.   10:35   28 to be able to give you that answer.   10:38   28 to order.   10:39   28 to order.   10:35   28 to order.   10:35   28 to order.   10:39   29 to order.   10:39		18 parts of a reference standard are reprinted within 10:37
21   Q. Do you understand the question?   10:34   22   A. No.   10:34   23   Q. Does the commission incorporate by reference   10:34   24 any reference standards?   10:34   25   A. Yes.   10:34   25   A. Yes.   10:34   26   A. Again, I don't have the code in front of me   10:37   25   26 be able to give you that answer.   10:37   26 be able to give you that answer.   10:37   27   28   28   28   28   28   28   2	19 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:34	19 the code, do you mean that they appear within the 10:37
22   A. No.   10:34   23   Q. Does the commission incorporate by reference   10:34   24   any reference standards?   10:34   25   A. Yes.   10:34   27   25   be able to give you that answer.   10:37   27   28   28   28   28   29   29   29   20   20   20   20   20	20 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:34	20 body of the California code as printed? 10:37
23   Q. Does the commission incorporate by reference   10:34   24   any reference standards?   10:34   10:34   25   A. Yes.   10:34   Page 30   Page 30   Page 32   1   Q. What does that mean? What strike that.   10:35   2   5 to be able to give you that answer.   10:37   Page 32   1   Q. What does that mean? What strike that.   10:35   2   5 to be able to give you that answer.   10:37   Page 32   1   Q. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next   10:37   Page 32   1   Q. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next   10:37   2   10:35   3   (Exhibit 2 marked)   10:38   4   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Where is this from?   10:38   4   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Where is this from?   10:38   4   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Where is this from?   10:39   6   archive.   10:39   7   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.   10:35   10   have. Has this been modified?   10:39   11   MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's   10:39   12   available on the Internet archive.   10:39   13   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Cherence it nos other front   10:39   13   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front   10:39   14   A. Yes.   10:35   13   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front   10:39   14   A. Yes.   10:35   15   MR. NERCESSIAN:   10:35   15   MR. NERCESSIAN:   10:35   15   MR. NERCESSIAN:   10:35   16   MR. FEE: Object to the form.   10:36   10	21 Q. Do you understand the question? 10:34	21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:37
24   A. Yes.   10:34   Page 30   25   to be able to give you that answer.   10:37   Page 32   25   to be able to give you that answer.   10:37   Page 32   1   Q. What does that mean? What strike that.   10:34   2   So the way you're using "incorporate by   10:35   3   reference," what does it mean to you?   10:35   4   A. It would be part of the model code.   10:35   4   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Where is this from?   10:38   4   A. It would be part of the model code.   10:35   4   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Where is this from?   10:38   6   Ar. Uh-huh.   10:35   5   MR. NERCESSIAN: This is from the Internet   10:39   6   archive.   10:39   9   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.   10:35	22 A. No. 10:34	22 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:37
25 A. Yes. 10:34 Page 30  1 Q. What does that mean? What — strike that. 10:34 2 So the way you're using "incorporate by 10:35 3 reference," what does it mean to you? 10:35 4 A. It would be part of the model code. 10:35 5 Q. So that's the incorporation. 10:35 6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 26 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 27 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 28 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 29 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 20 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 21 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 22 Was part of the archive? 10:39 23 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 25 MR. PEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	23 Q. Does the commission incorporate by reference 10:34	23 Q. You can answer. 10:37
Page 30   Page 32	24 any reference standards? 10:34	24 A. Again, I don't have the code in front of me 10:37
1 Q. What does that mean? What strike that. 10:34 2 So the way you're using "incorporate by 10:35 3 reference," what does it mean to you? 10:35 4 A. It would be part of the model code. 10:35 5 Q. So that's the incorporation. 10:35 6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Where is this from? 10:39 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 26 MR. FEE: 1 object to that as well. 10:39 27 DHE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 28 DI HE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 29 MR. FEE: 1 object to that as well. 10:39 20 model code to the reference code to understand it? 10:36 21 MR. FEE: 1 object to that as well. 10:39 22 MR. FEE: 1 object to that as well. 10:39	25 A. Yes. 10:34	25 to be able to give you that answer. 10:37
2 So the way you're using "incorporate by 10:35 3 reference," what does it mean to you? 10:35 4 A. It would be part of the model code. 10:35 5 Q. So that's the incorporation. 10:35 6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Object to that as well. 10:39 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  10 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 20 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39 21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Where is this from? 10:38 22 in order. 10:35 3 (Exhibit 2 marked) 10:38 4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: where is this from? 10:38 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: This is from the Internet 10:39 6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF thats 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39 21 was part of the archive? 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24	Page 30	Page 32
2 So the way you're using "incorporate by 10:35	1 O What does that mean? What strike that 10:34	1 O. Okay. In that case, we can mark this next 10:37
3 reference," what does it mean to you? 10:35 4 A. It would be part of the model code. 10:35 5 Q. So that's the incorporation. 10:35 6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Object to that as well. 10:39 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 26 MR. MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Where is this from? 10:38 27 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Where is this from? 10:39 28 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 29 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 20 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 21 MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 22 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 23 monther that is reference it? 10:35 24 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 25 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 26 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 27 MR. MELER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 28 model code to the reference datalord. 10:36 29 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39 20 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 21 MR. PEE: Objection to form. 10:36 22 was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 23 mother reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Object to that as well. 10:39		
4 A. It would be part of the model code. 10:35  Q. So that's the incorporation. 10:35  Q. So that's the incorporation. 10:35  A. Uh-huh. 10:35  By referencing a reference standard? 10:35  MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39  MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39  MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35  MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39  MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35  MR. NERCESSIAN: This is from the Internet 10:39  MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39  MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:39  10 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39  11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39  12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39  13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39  14 A. Yes. 10:35  MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:39  15 MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:39  16 MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:39  17 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39  18 that his been modified? 10:39  19 available on the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39  10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39  11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39  12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39  13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39  14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39  15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39  16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39  17 on the front that you see. 10:39  18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39  19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39  20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36  21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39  22 was part of the archive? 10:39  23 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39  24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39  25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39		
5 Q. So that's the incorporation. 10:35 6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Object to that as well. 10:39 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  10:35 2 MR. NERCESSIAN: This is from the Internet 10:39 2 archive. 10:39 3 archive. 10:39 3 archive. 10:39 3 archive. 10:39 3 archive. 10:39 4 MR. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	_	,
6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 27 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 28 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 4 mR. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 4 mR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Wis, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 25 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 26 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39		
7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:35  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:35  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:35  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:35  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:35  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:35  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:35  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:35  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:35  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:35  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:35  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36	1 D. O. So that's the incorporation. 10:35	5 MR. NERCESSIAN: This is from the Internet 10:39
8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  Rachive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheets on 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	•	
9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 a. Yes. 10:35 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39 21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35	6 archive. 10:39
THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35  10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39  11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35  12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35  13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35  14 A. Yes. 10:35  15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35  16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36  17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36  18 Q. You can answer. 10:36  19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36  10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39  11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39  12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39  13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39  14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39  15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39  16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39  17 on the front that you see. 10:39  18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39  19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39  20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36  20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39  21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36  22 was part of the archive? 10:39  23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36  24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36  25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39  26 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39
11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39
12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 19 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39 21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39 23 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39
13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 10:37 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 12 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39 21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39
14 A. Yes. 10:35  15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35  16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36  17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36  18 Q. You can answer. 10:36  19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36  20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36  21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36  22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36  23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36  24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36  15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39  16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39  17 on the front that you see. 10:39  18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39  19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39  20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39  21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39  22 was part of the archive? 10:39  23 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39  24 Sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39  25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39
15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35  16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36  17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36  18 Q. You can answer. 10:36  19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36  20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36  21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36  22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36  23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36  24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36  15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39  16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39  17 on the front that you see. 10:39  18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39  19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39  20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39  21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39  22 was part of the archive? 10:39  23 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39  24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39  25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39
16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39 21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39 23 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39
17 on the front that you see. 10:39  18 Q. You can answer. 10:36  18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39  19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36  19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39  20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36  20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39  21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36  22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36  23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36  24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36  25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  26 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39  27 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39
18 Q. You can answer. 10:36  19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36  20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36  20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39  21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36  22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36  23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36  24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36  25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39  19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39  20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39  21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39  22 was part of the archive? 10:39  23 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39  24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39  25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39
19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36  19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39 21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39 23 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39
20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39 21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39 23 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39
21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 26 Uthis, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39 27 Was part of the archive? 10:39 28 WR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 29 Was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 20 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39
22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 26 Was part of the archive? 10:39 27 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 28 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 29 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39
23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 23 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39
MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36 24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39 21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39
25 THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. 10:36 25 MR. FEE: I object to that as well. 10:39	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39 21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39
	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39 21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39 23 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39
	6 A. Uh-huh. 10:35 7 Q. But does the code incorporate the standard 10:35 8 by referencing a reference standard? 10:35 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:35 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 10:35 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:35 12 Q. Yes. Does the code incorporate a reference 10:35 13 standard by referencing that standard? 10:35 14 A. Yes. 10:35 15 Q. How does it reference it? 10:35 16 MR. FEE: Object to the form. 10:36 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:36 18 Q. You can answer. 10:36 19 A. There's a number that is referenced in the 10:36 20 model code to the reference standard. 10:36 21 Q. So if somebody is reading the California 10:36 22 Building Standards Code, do they have to refer to 10:36 23 another reference code to understand it? 10:36 24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:36	6 archive. 10:39 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Generally the Internet 10:39 8 archive versions have some annotation indicating that 10:39 9 they're from the Internet archive which this doesn't 10:39 10 have. Has this been modified? 10:39 11 MR. NERCESSIAN: It's just the PDF that's 10:39 12 available on the Internet archive. 10:39 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: There's no other front 10:39 14 matter that's associated with it? 10:39 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. There was a PDF linked 10:39 16 directly there. There is the notation that appears 10:39 17 on the front that you see. 10:39 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll object to the 10:39 19 unauthorized copy then. 10:39 20 MR. FEE: You obviously put cover sheets on 10:39 21 this, too? You're not claiming that this cover sheet 10:39 22 was part of the archive? 10:39 23 MR. NERCESSIAN: No, not claiming the cover 10:39 24 sheet was part of it. That's just to break it up. 10:39

1 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll also object to 10:4	
2 the authenticity of this. 10:40	2 into effect January 1st, 2020? 10:45
3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:40	3 A. Correct. 10:45
4 Q. All right. Ms. Marvelli, are you familiar 10:40	4 Q. Until the 2019 edition goes into effect, the 10:45
5 with this document? 10:40	5 2016 California Building Standards Code will be the 10:45
6 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:40	6 law; correct? 10:45
7 THE WITNESS: Nope. 10:40	7 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:45
8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:40	8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10:45
9 Q. Does it appear to well, what makes you 10:40	9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:45
10 say that? 10:40	10 Q. And the 2016 California Building Code will 10:45
11 A. Well, there's information that is not part 10:40	11 be effective until the 2019 edition goes into effect; 10:45
12 of what we publish as a California Electrical Code on 10:40	12 correct?
13 the cover. 10:40	13 A. Yes. 10:46
14 Q. I represent okay. So this is deposition 10:40	14 Q. How many parts does the 2019 triennial 10:46
15 Exhibit Number 2, and what's the information on the 10:4	15 edition of Title 24 have? 10:46
16 cover that you the annotations strike that. 10:42	16 A. Thirteen. 10:46
We can mark this next in order. 10:42	17 Q. Does each part pertain to a different 10:46
18 (Exhibit 3 marked) 10:42	18 subject matter? 10:46
19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:42	19 A. Yes. 10:46
20 Q. All right. You have been handed an exhibit 10:42	20 Q. So, for instance, Part 1 is the California 10:46
21 marked for identification as Deposition Exhibit 3. 10:43	21 Administrative Code; correct? 10:46
22 Do you recognize this document? 10:43	22 A. Yes. 10:46
23 A. It appears as though it's a print of our web 10:43	23 Q. And Part 3 is the California Electrical 10:46
24 page or code's web page. 10:43	24 Code; correct? 10:46
25 Q. And what does the California Building 10:43	25 A. Correct. 10:46
Page 34	Page 36
1 Commission display on the code's web page on its 10:43	1 Q. Does CBSC currently host any parts of the 10:46
2 site? 10:43	2 2016 triennial edition of the California Building 10:46
3 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:43	3 Standards Code on the BSC website? 10:46
4 THE WITNESS: The 12 parts of Title 24. 10:43	4 A. I don't know what you mean by "host." 10:46
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:43	5 Q. By "host," I mean does it store an 10:46
6 Q. How often does CBSC issue a new Title 24? 10:43	6 accessible version of the code on the BSC website? 10:47
7 A. Triennially, every three years, but we also 10:43	7 A. No. I believe it's all points to the 10:47
8 could have emergencies change without regulatory 10:43	8 model code publisher. 10:47
9 effect or an intervening supplement which would amend 10:44	9 Q. And CBSC does not currently host any parts 10:47
10 any edition of the code. 10:44	10 for any edition of the California Building Standards 10:47
11 Q. And you'll note, if you look at the bottom 10:44	11 Code on the BSC website; correct? 10:47
12 of the page, there's a URL there. Can you read that 10:44	12 A. I'm not certain of that. 10:47
13 URL, please? 10:44	13 Q. On the second page of this exhibit, there's 10:47
14 A. Sure. Https://www.dgs.ca.gov/BSC/Codes. 10:44	14 a heading that reads "2016 Triennial Edition of 10:47
15 Q. Thank you. And that's a website that's 10:44	15 Title 24." 10:47
16 administered by the State; is that correct? 10:45	16 A. Uh-huh. 10:47
17 A. Yes. 10:45	17 Q. Does CBSC currently host a complete edition 10:47
18 Q. And the "DGS" in that subdomain stands for 10:45	18 of the 2016 version of Title 24 on the BSC website? 10:47
19 the Department of General Services; correct? 10:45	19 A. It points to the model code developers much 10:48
20 A. Yes. 10:45	20 like the '19 code. 10:48
21 Q. And what does the "BSC" stand for? 10:45	21 Q. And that's the same for the 2013 edition; 10:48
22 A. Building Standards Commission. 10:45	22 correct?
23 Q. When was the 2019 edition of Title 24 10:45	23 A. Correct. 10:48
24 published? 10:45	24 Q. This web page also, in a section marked 10:48
25 A. On or around July 1st of this year. 10:45	25 "Purchase the Codes," directs the user to public 10:48
Page 35	Page 37

1 code strike that. 10:48	1 MR. YEN: I just wanted to clarify that you 10:50
2 In a section called "Purchase the Codes," 10:48	2 weren't just referring to the commission. 10:50
3 similarly, the website directs the user to the 10:48	3 MR. NERCESSIAN: No. 10:50
4 publisher's websites; correct? 10:48	4 MR. YEN: Okay. 10:50
5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:48	5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50
6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10:48	6 Q. All right. On the third page of the 10:50
7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:48	7 exhibit, there's a under a heading that says 10:50
8 Q. One of those publishers is the International 10:48	8 "California Building Standards Code," there's a 10:50
9 Code Council? 10:48	9 description that reads "The California Building 10:50
10 A. Yes. 10:49	10 Standards Code is a compilation of three types of 10:50
11 Q. And what parts is the International Code 10:49	11 building standards from different origins." 10:50
12 Council responsible for publishing? 10:49	What are the sources of the California 10:51
13 A. It's listed here on the web page. Would you 10:49	13 Building Standards Code? 10:51
14 like me to read them all or 10:49	14 A. California amendments which are proposed by 10:5
15 Q. No. 10:49	15 State agencies, the model codes that California is 10:51
16 A. Okay. 10:49	16 required by law to adopt. That's two kinds. It's a 10:51
17 Q. And the web page lists the parts that are 10:49	17 blend. 10:52
18 available through each publisher; correct? 10:49	18 Q. So this statement identifies three. 10:52
19 A. Yes. 10:49	19 A. Uh-huh. 10:52
20 Q. Does the State of California, to your 10:49	20 Q. What makes you so and we discussed two 10:52
21 knowledge, make the full text of the California 10:49	21 kinds. Is there not a third? 10:52
22 Building Standards Code available to the public? 10:49	22 A. I'd have to read this because I'm not 10:52
23 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:49	23 understanding what that have been adopted by State 10:5
24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10:49	24 without change. Okay, I get it. We recently went 10:52
25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49	25 through a web page change, so I needed to read this 10:52
Page 38	
1 Q. How? 10:49	1 because I haven't read this yet. 10:52
2 MR. FEE: Same objection. 10:49	2 Q. Okay. 10:53
3 THE WITNESS: It's viewable on our website 10:49	3 A. So I guess I understand why they're saying 10:53
4 through the publisher. 10:49	
	4 three. So there's building standards that have been 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49	4 three. So there's building standards that have been 10:53 5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49	_
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50 12 MR. YEN: Armen, I just want to clarify 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53 11 standards authorized by California legislature that 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50 12 MR. YEN: Armen, I just want to clarify 10:50 13 something. When you're referring to the State of 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53 11 standards authorized by California legislature that 10:53 12 constitutes amendments not covered by national model 10:53 13 codes. 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50 12 MR. YEN: Armen, I just want to clarify 10:50 13 something. When you're referring to the State of 10:50 14 California, are you referring to the entire State of 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53 11 standards authorized by California legislature that 10:53 12 constitutes amendments not covered by national model 10:53 13 codes. 10:53 14 Q. Got it. So you think these three categories 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50 12 MR. YEN: Armen, I just want to clarify 10:50 13 something. When you're referring to the State of 10:50 14 California, are you referring to the entire State of 10:50 15 California government, or are you just referring to 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53 11 standards authorized by California legislature that 10:53 12 constitutes amendments not covered by national model 10:53 13 codes. 10:53 14 Q. Got it. So you think these three categories 10:53 15 referred to here in this blurb are accurate? 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50 12 MR. YEN: Armen, I just want to clarify 10:50 13 something. When you're referring to the State of 10:50 14 California, are you referring to the entire State of 10:50 15 California government, or are you just referring to 10:50 16 the Building Standards Commission? Because 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53 11 standards authorized by California legislature that 10:53 12 constitutes amendments not covered by national model 10:53 13 codes. 10:53 14 Q. Got it. So you think these three categories 10:53 15 referred to here in this blurb are accurate? 10:53 16 A. Yes. 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50 12 MR. YEN: Armen, I just want to clarify 10:50 13 something. When you're referring to the State of 10:50 14 California, are you referring to the entire State of 10:50 15 California government, or are you just referring to 10:50 16 the Building Standards Commission? Because 10:50 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I was asking to her 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53 11 standards authorized by California legislature that 10:53 12 constitutes amendments not covered by national model 10:53 13 codes. 10:53 14 Q. Got it. So you think these three categories 10:53 15 referred to here in this blurb are accurate? 10:53 16 A. Yes. 10:53 17 Q. It goes on to read that "All occupancies in 10:53
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50 12 MR. YEN: Armen, I just want to clarify 10:50 13 something. When you're referring to the State of 10:50 14 California, are you referring to the entire State of 10:50 15 California government, or are you just referring to 10:50 16 the Building Standards Commission? Because 10:50 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I was asking to her 10:50 18 knowledge, is she aware that the State of California 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53 11 standards authorized by California legislature that 10:53 12 constitutes amendments not covered by national model 10:53 13 codes. 10:53 14 Q. Got it. So you think these three categories 10:53 15 referred to here in this blurb are accurate? 10:53 16 A. Yes. 10:53 17 Q. It goes on to read that "All occupancies in 10:53 18 California are subject to national model codes 10:54
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50 12 MR. YEN: Armen, I just want to clarify 10:50 13 something. When you're referring to the State of 10:50 14 California, are you referring to the entire State of 10:50 15 California government, or are you just referring to 10:50 16 the Building Standards Commission? Because 10:50 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I was asking to her 10:50 18 knowledge, is she aware that the State of California 10:50 19 publishes. 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53 11 standards authorized by California legislature that 10:53 12 constitutes amendments not covered by national model 10:53 13 codes. 10:53 14 Q. Got it. So you think these three categories 10:53 15 referred to here in this blurb are accurate? 10:53 16 A. Yes. 10:53 17 Q. It goes on to read that "All occupancies in 10:53 18 California are subject to national model codes 10:54 19 adopted into Title 24." Do you see that language? 10:54
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50 12 MR. YEN: Armen, I just want to clarify 10:50 13 something. When you're referring to the State of 10:50 14 California, are you referring to the entire State of 10:50 15 California government, or are you just referring to 10:50 16 the Building Standards Commission? Because 10:50 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I was asking to her 10:50 18 knowledge, is she aware that the State of California 10:50 19 publishes. 10:50 20 MR. YEN: So the entire State of California, 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53 11 standards authorized by California legislature that 10:53 12 constitutes amendments not covered by national model 10:53 13 codes. 10:53 14 Q. Got it. So you think these three categories 10:53 15 referred to here in this blurb are accurate? 10:53 16 A. Yes. 10:53 17 Q. It goes on to read that "All occupancies in 10:53 18 California are subject to national model codes 10:54 19 adopted into Title 24." Do you see that language? 10:54 20 A. Yes. 10:54
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50 12 MR. YEN: Armen, I just want to clarify 10:50 13 something. When you're referring to the State of 10:50 14 California, are you referring to the entire State of 10:50 15 California government, or are you just referring to 10:50 16 the Building Standards Commission? Because 10:50 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I was asking to her 10:50 18 knowledge, is she aware that the State of California 10:50 19 publishes. 10:50 20 MR. YEN: So the entire State of California, 10:50 21 not just the Building Standards Commission? So 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53 11 standards authorized by California legislature that 10:53 12 constitutes amendments not covered by national model 10:53 13 codes. 10:53 14 Q. Got it. So you think these three categories 10:53 15 referred to here in this blurb are accurate? 10:53 16 A. Yes. 10:53 17 Q. It goes on to read that "All occupancies in 10:53 18 California are subject to national model codes 10:54 19 adopted into Title 24." Do you see that language? 10:54 20 A. Yes. 10:54 21 Q. When the website says that Title the 10:54
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50 12 MR. YEN: Armen, I just want to clarify 10:50 13 something. When you're referring to the State of 10:50 14 California, are you referring to the entire State of 10:50 15 California government, or are you just referring to 10:50 16 the Building Standards Commission? Because 10:50 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I was asking to her 10:50 18 knowledge, is she aware that the State of California 10:50 19 publishes. 10:50 20 MR. YEN: So the entire State of California, 10:50 21 not just the Building Standards Commission? So 10:50 22 MR. NERCESSIAN: Not just the Building 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53 11 standards authorized by California legislature that 10:53 12 constitutes amendments not covered by national model 10:53 13 codes. 10:53 14 Q. Got it. So you think these three categories 10:53 15 referred to here in this blurb are accurate? 10:53 16 A. Yes. 10:53 17 Q. It goes on to read that "All occupancies in 10:53 18 California are subject to national model codes 10:54 19 adopted into Title 24." Do you see that language? 10:54 20 A. Yes. 10:54 21 Q. When the website says that Title the 10:54 22 national model codes adopted into Title 24 adopt 10:54
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50 12 MR. YEN: Armen, I just want to clarify 10:50 13 something. When you're referring to the State of 10:50 14 California, are you referring to the entire State of 10:50 15 California government, or are you just referring to 10:50 16 the Building Standards Commission? Because 10:50 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I was asking to her 10:50 18 knowledge, is she aware that the State of California 10:50 19 publishes. 10:50 20 MR. YEN: So the entire State of California, 10:50 21 not just the Building Standards Commission? So 10:50 22 MR. NERCESSIAN: Not just the Building 10:50 23 Standards Commission. 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53 11 standards authorized by California legislature that 10:53 12 constitutes amendments not covered by national model 10:53 13 codes. 10:53 14 Q. Got it. So you think these three categories 10:53 15 referred to here in this blurb are accurate? 10:53 16 A. Yes. 10:53 17 Q. It goes on to read that "All occupancies in 10:53 18 California are subject to national model codes 10:54 19 adopted into Title 24." Do you see that language? 10:54 20 A. Yes. 10:54 21 Q. When the website says that Title the 10:54 22 national model codes adopted into Title 24 adopt 10:54 23 strike that. 10:54
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:49 6 Q. Linked at pages hosted by the publisher 10:49 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 10:50 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:50 9 Q correct? 10:50 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as leading. 10:50 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 10:50 12 MR. YEN: Armen, I just want to clarify 10:50 13 something. When you're referring to the State of 10:50 14 California, are you referring to the entire State of 10:50 15 California government, or are you just referring to 10:50 16 the Building Standards Commission? Because 10:50 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I was asking to her 10:50 18 knowledge, is she aware that the State of California 10:50 19 publishes. 10:50 20 MR. YEN: So the entire State of California, 10:50 21 not just the Building Standards Commission? So 10:50 22 MR. NERCESSIAN: Not just the Building 10:50	5 adopted by the State without change, and they contain 10:53 6 model codes, and then there's building standards 10:53 7 standard, excuse me, that have been adopted and 10:53 8 adapted from national model codes to address 10:53 9 California's needs, which is what I talked about, 10:53 10 California amendments, and then there's building 10:53 11 standards authorized by California legislature that 10:53 12 constitutes amendments not covered by national model 10:53 13 codes. 10:53 14 Q. Got it. So you think these three categories 10:53 15 referred to here in this blurb are accurate? 10:53 16 A. Yes. 10:53 17 Q. It goes on to read that "All occupancies in 10:54 19 adopted into Title 24." Do you see that language? 10:54 20 A. Yes. 10:54 21 Q. When the website says that Title the 10:54 22 national model codes adopted into Title 24 adopt 10:54

1 occupancies in California, do they apply to 10:54	1 Code was the 2016 version of the California 10:56
2 residences? 10:54	2 Electrical Code based on? 10:56
3 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:54	3 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:56
4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10:54	4 THE WITNESS: The 2014 electrical code. 10:56
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:54	5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:56
6 Q. Do they apply to office buildings? 10:54	6 Q. So you described that standard as NFPA 70. 10:57
7 A. Yes. 10:54	7 What does "NFPA" stand for? 10:57
8 Q. Do they apply to schools? 10:54	8 A. National Fire Protection Association. 10:57
9 A. Yes. 10:54	9 Q. Is that the same National Fire Protection 10:57
10 Q. Do the national model codes adopted into 10:54	10 Association that is a named plaintiff in this case? 10:57
11 Title 24 apply to hospitals? 10:54	11 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 10:57
12 A. Yes. 10:54	12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10:57
13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:54	13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:57
14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:55	14 Q. Can you describe how the CBSC developed the 10:57
15 Q. Do they apply to government buildings? 10:55	15 2019 edition of the California Electrical Code? 10:57
16 A. Yes. 10:55	16 A. Yes. 10:57
17 Q. Are there any occupancies in California that 10:55	17 Q. Please do. 10:57
18 the national model codes adopted into Title 24 do not 10:55	18 A. Okay. Again, state law requires the State 10:57
19 apply to? 10:55	19 agencies to adopt the most recent edition of model 10:57
20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:55	20 codes, and in the case of the electrical code, it 10:57
21 THE WITNESS: I don't have an answer for 10:55	21 would be the 2017 National Electrical Code. The 10:57
22 that. 10:55	22 State agencies carry forward existing amendments in 10:58
23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:55	23 some cases from the previous edition. 10:58
24 Q. Because it applies to all occupancies in 10:55	24 They may make amendments to the new edition 10:58
25 California; correct? 10:55	25 that they're looking at. They may have pre-cycle 10:58
Page 42	Page 44
1 MC MILLED ZIEGLED OL: 4: 4 C 10.55	1 11 4 4 1 1050
1 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:55	1 workshops to vet any changes that are necessary. 10:58
2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10:55	2 Then their rulemaking documents are submitted to the 10:58
3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:55	3 Building Standards Commission staff, and they are 10:58
4 Q. So we established earlier that Part 3 of 10:55	4 those files are reviewed for compliance with the 10:58
5 Title 24 is the California Electrical Code. What 10:55	5 Administrative Procedures Act and the building 10:58
6 sources is the California Electrical Code based on? 10:55	6 standards law. 10:58
7 A. NFPA 70 10:55	7 They're then assembled for what's called a 10:58
8 Q. NFPA 70. 10:55	8 code advisory committee, and that committee is made 10:58
9 A and California amendments. 10:56	9 up of technical experts in the field. They're not 10:59
10 Q. And NFPA 70 is also known as the National 10:56	10 paid by the State other than their per diem to 10:59
11 Electrical Code? 10:56	11 participate in the technical review of the proposed 10:59
12 A. Yes. 10:56	12 changes and adoption of the code. 10:59
13 Q. What version of the National Electrical Code 10:56	They provide a recommendation at a public 10:59
14 are the 2019 strike that. 10:56	14 meeting to the State agency and also the public, and 10:59
What version of the National Electrical Code 10:56	15 that recommendation is considered by the State 10:59
16 is the 2019 version of the California Electrical Code 10:56	16 agency, and the State agency may make additional 10:59
17 based on? 10:56	17 changes to their proposed code changes. 10:59
18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 10:56	18 The Building Standards Commission then 10:59
MR. YEN: You can answer if you know. 10:56	19 assembles that material and puts it out for a 45-day 10:59
20 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. 10:56	20 comment period which is noticed in the Office of 10:59
21 MR. YEN: If you understand. 10:56	21 Administrative Law's notice registry. There may be 10:59
THE WITNESS: The 2017 National Electrical 10:56	
	22 additional comments if necessary. 10:59
23 Code. 10:56	23 The State agencies respond to any comments 10:59
24 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 10:56	23 The State agencies respond to any comments 10:59 24 in their Final Statement of Reasons, and the Final 11:00
	23 The State agencies respond to any comments 10:59

1 other rulemaking documents are presented to the 11:00 2 commission at a public meeting where the commission 11:00 3 takes action on the rulemaking package. 11:00 4 Q. Got it. And it is the commission that has 11:00 5 the final say on what language ultimately makes it 11:00 6 into the version of the California Electrical Code? 11:00 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 11:00 8 THE WITNESS: The commission looks at all of 11:00 9 the public comments that were considered, the 11:00 10 Statement of Reasons, and they rely on the 11:00 11 determination of the State agency as well, and that 11:00 12 is all weighed, and as long as it meets the 11:00 13 nine-point criteria, the commission may take action 11:00 14 on the package to approve it. 11:00 15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:01 16 Q. And that's the nine-point criteria you 11:01 17 referred to earlier where if one of the criteria is 11:01 18 not met, there might be reason for an amendment; 11:01 19 correct? 11:01 20 A. Possibly, yes. 11:01 21 Q. You referred to in this to there being a 11:01	1 by State agencies, the timing strike that. Not 11:03 2 the timing. The initial submittal rulemaking 11:03 3 documents that are required to be submitted, the 11:03 4 actions that can be taken by the commission. That's 11:03 5 what I can remember off the top of my head. 11:03 6 Q. And all those rules are directed at 11:03 7 governing California state administrative processes; 11:03 8 correct? 11:03 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:03 10 MR. FEE: Object to form. 11:03 11 THE WITNESS: Not sure I follow that 11:03 12 question. 11:03 13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:03 14 Q. I can rephrase. Who is the audience for 11:03 15 Part 1 of Title 24? 11:04 16 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:04 17 THE WITNESS: That code, administrative code 11:04 18 is available for anybody, so it's the public, it 11:04 19 could be State agencies, it could be code advisory 11:04 20 committee members, it could be the commission. 11:04 21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:04
22 code advisory committee. 11:01	22 Q. Got it. 11:04
23 A. Uh-huh. Yes. Sorry. 11:01 24 Q. Where does that advisory committee reside? 11:01	23 A. Uh-huh. Could we take a break for a couple 11:04 24 minutes? Would that be okay? 11:04
25 A. It's assembled every two years for each 11:01	25 Q. There's no question pending, so okay. 11:04
Page 46	Page 48
1 triennial coded option, and a call for application 11:01 2 goes out by the Building Standards Commission staff, 11:01 3 and it's very specific in the member makeup of each 11:01 4 code advisory committee, and that's laid out in the 11:01 5 California Administrative Code, which is Part 1 of 11:01 6 Title 24, and each of each committee has 11:01 7 approximately nine members, and they are selected by 11:01 8 the commission every two years, and then those code 11:02 9 advisory committees serve for the three-year term, 11:02 10 and so they're a mix of technical experts in the 11:02 11 field. 11:02 12 Q. Okay. And Part 1 of Title 24 which 11:02 13 constitutes the California Administrative Code then 11:02 14 lays out the rules that the agencies must follow for 11:02 15 the rulemaking process? 11:02 16 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Leading. 11:02 17 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:02 18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:02 19 Q. So you testified earlier that Part 1 of 11:02 20 Title 24 constitutes the California Administrative 11:02 21 Code; correct? 11:02 22 A. Uh-huh. 11:02 23 Q. What procedures does the California 11:02	1 1 A. Okay. Pause for a minute. 11:04 2 VIDEO OPERATOR: We're off the record. It's 11:04 2 11:04 3 . 3 11:04 4 4 (Recess) 11:04 5 5 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're back on the 11:21 6 6 record. It's 11:22. 11:21 7 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:21 8 8 Q. Ms. Marvelli, have you heard of the term 11:22 9 9 "incorporation by adoption"? 11:22 10 10 A. Yes. 11:22 11 11 Q. And what does that term mean? 11:22 12 12 A. I don't know. 11:22 13 13 Q. In what context have you heard the term, 11:22 14 14 "incorporation by adoption"? 11:22 15 15 A. Through local ordinances and through the 11:22 16 16 codes. 11:22 17 17 Q. How were they used through the codes when 11:22 18 18 you heard the term "incorporation by adoption"? 11:22 19 19 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:22 20 20 THE WITNESS: Just referenced in the code. 11:22 21 21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:22 22 22 Q. Do you have any understanding of what the 11:22 23 23 term "incorporation by adoption," as used in the 11:22
24 Administrative Code govern? 11:03	24 24 codes, means? 11:22
25 A. Appeals and petitions, pre-cycle activities 11:03 Page 47	25 25 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:23 Page 49
1 age 47	rage 49

1 THE WITNESS: Just referenced in the code 11:23	1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:25
2 that's adopted by California. That's all. 11:23	2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11:25
3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:23	3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:25
4 Q. In what context does the code use it? 11:23	4 Q. Does the State make, to your knowledge 11:25
5 A. I don't know. 11:23	5 strike that. 11:25
6 Q. Are you familiar with the term 11:23	6 To your knowledge, does the State of 11:25
7 "incorporation by reference"? 11:23	7 California make the text of the California Electrical 11:25
8 A. Yes. 11:23	8 Code available to the public in any way other than by 11:26
9 Q. And what's your understanding of that term? 11:23	9 referring it to the publisher? 11:26
10 A. Referenced in the code. 11:23	10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Object to form. 11:26
11 Q. So do you understand that "incorporation by 11:23	MR. YEN: Again, you keep using the "State 11:26
12 adoption" and "incorporation by reference" have the 11:23	12 of California," so you're saying the entire State of 11:26
13 same meaning? 11:23	13 California, not just the commission; right? I just 11:26
14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Leading. 11:23	14 want to be clear when you 11:26
15 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:23	15 MR. NERCESSIAN: I'm asking to her 11:26
16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:24	16 knowledge. 11:26
17 Q. Do you understand do you have any 11:24	17 MR. YEN: Okay. For the entire state? 11:26
18 understanding of how the meaning of "incorporation by 11:24	MR. NERCESSIAN: For the entire state. 11:26
19 reference" may differ from "incorporation by 11:24	19 MR. YEN: Okay. 11:26
20 adoption"? 11:24	20 THE WITNESS: The Building Standards 11:26
21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. This calls 11:24	21 Commission makes available on its website a link to 11:26
22 for a legal conclusion. It's also outside the scope 11:24	22 the model code. The State of California, there's a 11:26
23 of the subpoena. 11:24	23 reference in building standards law that says local 11:26
24 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't have an answer 11:24	24 jurisdictions need to make available the building 11:26
25 for you. I don't know. 11:24	25 codes, all of the building codes publicly at their 11:26
Page 50	Page 52
1 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:24	1 office as well, a printed version if you will. 11:26
2 Q. But your understanding is that incorporation 11:24	2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:26
3 by reference has been used in the codes in strike 11:24	3 Q. And who is the publisher in the case of the 11:26
4 that. 11:24	4 California Electrical Code? 11:27
5 Is it your understanding that incorporation 11:24	5 A. The 2016 edition, the publisher was BNi, and 11:27
6 by reference applies when California law references 11:24	6 for the 2019 edition, the publisher was NFPA. 11:27
7 reference standards such as the National Electrical 11:24	7 Q. With respect to the 2016 edition, was 11:27
8 Code? 11:25	8 strike that. 11:27
9 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:25	9 Did the NFPA serve any role with respect to 11:27
10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 11:25	10 the 2016 edition of the California Electrical Code? 11:27
11 legal conclusion. 11:25	11 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:27
12 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know. 11:25	MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:27
13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:25	13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:27
14 Q. You said that the State of California makes 11:25	14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:27
15 California build the strike that. 11:25	15 Q. What is BNi? 11:27
16 You testified earlier that the Building 11:25	16 A. I don't know the full name. I know them as 11:27
17 Standards Commission makes the California Building 11:25	17 BNi, is the publisher for the 2016 electrical code. 11:27
18 Standards Code available to the public by referring 11:25	18 Q. Do you know anything about the entity BNi if 11:28
19 the public to the publisher; is that correct? Do you 11:25	19 not the full name? 11:28
20 recall that testimony? 11:25	20 A. No, I don't. 11:28
21 A. Yes. 11:25	21 Q. You understand that they publish the 2016 11:28
22 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:25	22 California Electrical Code? 11:28
23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:25	23 A. Yes. 11:28
24 Q. Is the same true for the California 11:25	24 Q. Did anybody else, any other entity publish 11:28
25 Electrical Code? 11:25	25 the 2016 electrical code, California Electrical Code? 11:28
Page 51	Page 53

1 A. Not that I I don't know. 11:28	1 Q. Do you know whether the public has full 11:31
2 Q. To your knowledge, there is no other 11:28	2 access to the text of the 2016 California Electrical 11:31
3 publisher of the California Electrical Code, the 2016 11:28	3 Code without payment? 11:31
4 edition, other than BNi? 11:28	4 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:31
5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That 11:28	5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 11:31
6 misstates her testimony. 11:28	6 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:31
7 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:28	7 Q. I can rephrase. Do you know whether BNi 11:31
8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:	8 provides the public with full access to the text of 11:31
9 Q. Okay. So you're not aware of any other 11:28	9 the 2016 California Electrical Code without payment? 11:32
10 publisher of the 2016 California Electrical Code 11:28	10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:32
11 other than BNi? 11:28	11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 11:32
12 A. Correct. 11:29	12 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:32
13 Q. Do you know how BNi makes the 2016 11:29	13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32
14 electrical code available to the public? 11:29	14 Q. You don't know one way or the other? 11:32
15 A. Via the website or by purchasing. 11:29	15 A. Huh-uh. 11:32
16 Q. When you say it makes it available via the 11:29	16 MR. YEN: So just when you're answering 11:32
17 website, what website is that? 11:29	17 questions, verbal, not 11:32
18 A. The one I'm aware of is the California 11:29	18 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. 11:32
19 Building Standards Commission's link on their website 11:29	19 MR. YEN: not just nodding the head. 11:32
20 to their website. 11:29	20 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 11:32
21 Q. And for the 2016 edition that we're 11:29	21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32
22 discussing, that links to a BNi website? 11:29	22 Q. All right. So when I asked, "You don't know 11:32
23 A. I don't know. 11:29	23 one way or another?" your answer was confirming that 11:32
24 Q. Do you know of any restrictions that appear 11:29	24 you don't know one way or another; correct? 11:32
25 on the website access through the link for the 2016 11:30	25 A. I don't know what BNi offers. 11:32
75	
Page 54	Page 56
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 11 Q. Is it possible that there are restrictions 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 11 Q. Is it possible that there are restrictions 11:30 12 on the website access through the link that appears 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:33 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 11 Q. Is it possible that there are restrictions 11:30 12 on the website access through the link that appears 11:30 13 on the BSC website for the 2016 electrical code? 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:33 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 13 Q. Do you know one way or another? 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 11 Q. Is it possible that there are restrictions 11:30 12 on the website access through the link that appears 11:30 13 on the BSC website for the 2016 electrical code? 11:30 14 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:33 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 13 Q. Do you know one way or another? 11:33 14 MR. FEE: Same objection. 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 11 Q. Is it possible that there are restrictions 11:30 12 on the website access through the link that appears 11:30 13 on the BSC website for the 2016 electrical code? 11:30 14 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:33 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 13 Q. Do you know one way or another? 11:33 14 MR. FEE: Same objection. 11:33 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Object to form. 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 11 Q. Is it possible that there are restrictions 11:30 12 on the website access through the link that appears 11:30 13 on the BSC website for the 2016 electrical code? 11:30 14 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:30 16 foundation as well. 11:30	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:33 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 13 Q. Do you know one way or another? 11:33 14 MR. FEE: Same objection. 11:33 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Object to form. 11:33 16 THE WITNESS: I'll change my answer to no. 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 11 Q. Is it possible that there are restrictions 11:30 12 on the website access through the link that appears 11:30 13 on the BSC website for the 2016 electrical code? 11:30 14 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:30 16 foundation as well. 11:30 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:31	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:33 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 13 Q. Do you know one way or another? 11:33 14 MR. FEE: Same objection. 11:33 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Object to form. 11:33 16 THE WITNESS: I'll change my answer to no. 11:33 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 11 Q. Is it possible that there are restrictions 11:30 12 on the website access through the link that appears 11:30 13 on the BSC website for the 2016 electrical code? 11:30 14 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:30 16 foundation as well. 11:30 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:31	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:33 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 13 Q. Do you know one way or another? 11:33 14 MR. FEE: Same objection. 11:33 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Object to form. 11:33 16 THE WITNESS: I'll change my answer to no. 11:33 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 18 Q. So you don't know whether BNi is a 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 11 Q. Is it possible that there are restrictions 11:30 12 on the website access through the link that appears 11:30 13 on the BSC website for the 2016 electrical code? 11:30 14 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:30 16 foundation as well. 11:31 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:31 18 Q. Do you know whether the public has full 11:31 19 access to the text of the 2016 California Electrical 11:31 20 Code without payment? 11:31	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:33 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 13 Q. Do you know one way or another? 11:33 14 MR. FEE: Same objection. 11:33 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Object to form. 11:33 16 THE WITNESS: I'll change my answer to no. 11:33 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 18 Q. So you don't know whether BNi is a 11:33 19 commercial for profit publisher? 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 11 Q. Is it possible that there are restrictions 11:30 12 on the website access through the link that appears 11:30 13 on the BSC website for the 2016 electrical code? 11:30 14 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:30 16 foundation as well. 11:30 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:31 18 Q. Do you know whether the public has full 11:31 19 access to the text of the 2016 California Electrical 11:31 20 Code without payment? 11:31	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:33 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 13 Q. Do you know one way or another? 11:33 14 MR. FEE: Same objection. 11:33 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Object to form. 11:33 16 THE WITNESS: I'll change my answer to no. 11:33 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 18 Q. So you don't know whether BNi is a 11:33 19 commercial for profit publisher? 11:33 20 A. I don't know that. 11:33
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 11 Q. Is it possible that there are restrictions 11:30 12 on the website access through the link that appears 11:30 13 on the BSC website for the 2016 electrical code? 11:30 14 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:30 16 foundation as well. 11:30 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:31 18 Q. Do you know whether the public has full 11:31 19 access to the text of the 2016 California Electrical 11:31 20 Code without payment? 11:31	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:33 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 13 Q. Do you know one way or another? 11:33 14 MR. FEE: Same objection. 11:33 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Object to form. 11:33 16 THE WITNESS: I'll change my answer to no. 11:33 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 18 Q. So you don't know whether BNi is a 11:33 19 commercial for profit publisher? 11:33 20 A. I don't know that. 11:33 21 Q. We can mark this next in order. 11:34
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 11 Q. Is it possible that there are restrictions 11:30 12 on the website access through the link that appears 11:30 13 on the BSC website for the 2016 electrical code? 11:30 14 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:30 16 foundation as well. 11:30 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:31 18 Q. Do you know whether the public has full 11:31 19 access to the text of the 2016 California Electrical 11:31 20 Code without payment? 11:31 21 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:31 22 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 11:31	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:33 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 13 Q. Do you know one way or another? 11:33 14 MR. FEE: Same objection. 11:33 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Object to form. 11:33 16 THE WITNESS: I'll change my answer to no. 11:33 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 18 Q. So you don't know whether BNi is a 11:33 19 commercial for profit publisher? 11:33 20 A. I don't know that. 11:33 21 Q. We can mark this next in order. 11:34 22 (Exhibit 4 marked) 11:34
1 California Electrical Code? 11:30 2 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:30 4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 5 Q. Are you aware of any restrictions on the 11:30 6 website accessible through the link for the 2016 11:30 7 electrical code as it appears on the BSC website? 11:30 8 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:30 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11:30 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:30 11 Q. Is it possible that there are restrictions 11:30 12 on the website access through the link that appears 11:30 13 on the BSC website for the 2016 electrical code? 11:30 14 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:30 16 foundation as well. 11:31 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:31 18 Q. Do you know whether the public has full 11:31 19 access to the text of the 2016 California Electrical 11:31 20 Code without payment? 11:31 21 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:31 22 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 11:31 23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Repeat the 11:31	1 Q. Does anybody in your office know what BNi 11:32 2 offers? 11:32 3 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:32 4 speculation. 11:32 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I wouldn't 11:32 6 know that. 11:32 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:32 8 Q. Do you know whether BNi is a commercial for 11:33 9 profit publisher? 11:33 10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:33 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 11:33 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 13 Q. Do you know one way or another? 11:33 14 MR. FEE: Same objection. 11:33 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Object to form. 11:33 16 THE WITNESS: I'll change my answer to no. 11:33 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:33 18 Q. So you don't know whether BNi is a 11:33 19 commercial for profit publisher? 11:33 20 A. I don't know that. 11:33 21 Q. We can mark this next in order. 11:34 22 (Exhibit 4 marked) 11:34 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:34

1 Do you recognize this document? 11:34	1 other? 11:37
2 A. I've not seen this print before, but it 11:34	2 A. No, I'm not aware. I'm not aware. I don't 11:37
3 appears to be the California Electrical Code, the '19 11:35	3 know. 11:37
4 edition. 11:35	4 Q. Have you ever attempted to print the 11:37
5 Q. And the URL for this link has the domain 11:35	5 California Electrical Code from the NFPA viewer? 11:37
6 nfpa.org; correct? 11:35	6 A. I have not attempted to print the '19 11:37
7 A. Yes. 11:35	7 electrical code from the viewer. 11:37
8 Q. Is that NFPA the same as the named 11:35	8 Q. Are you aware whether anyone can copy text 11:37
9 plaintiffs in this lawsuit, the named plaintiff? 11:35	9 from the NFPA viewer for the 2019 California 11:37
10 MR. FEE: Object to form. 11:35	10 Electrical Code? 11:37
11 MR. YEN: Hold on a second. 11:35	11 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:37
12 If you need clarification, you can ask him 11:35	12 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:37
13 for clarification. 11:35	13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:37
14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. Yeah. Yeah, I 11:35	14 Q. Do you know whether someone can search the 11:38
15 guess I need clarification. 11:35	15 text of the 2019 California Electrical Code on the 11:38
16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:35	16 NFPA viewer? 11:38
17 Q. Do you know what the domain nfpa.org who 11:35	17 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:38
18 owns it, who runs it? 11:35	18 THE WITNESS: I don't know actually. I 11:38
19 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:35	19 don't know. 11:38
20 THE WITNESS: NFPA, so 11:35	20 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38
21 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:36	21 Q. And the BSC website links to a version of 11:38
22 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it does, yeah. 11:36	22 the California Electrical Code for the year 2019 on 11:38
23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36	23 the NFPA viewer; correct? 11:38
24 Q. Do you have any experience with accessing 11:36	24 A. Yes. 11:38
25 any web pages at nfpa.org? 11:36	25 Q. It links to this, the website reflected in 11:38
Page 58	Page 60
1 A. Yes. 11:36	1 this document; correct? 11:38
1 A. Yes. 11:36 2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36	1 this document; correct? 11:38 2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 11 Q. In light of that experience, are the 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38 11 here? 11:38
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 11 Q. In light of that experience, are the 11:36 12 screenshots you see reflected in this exhibit 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38 11 here? 11:38 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 11 Q. In light of that experience, are the 11:36 12 screenshots you see reflected in this exhibit 11:36 13 familiar to you? 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38 11 here? 11:38 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 13 foundation. 11:39
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 11 Q. In light of that experience, are the 11:36 12 screenshots you see reflected in this exhibit 11:36 13 familiar to you? 11:36 14 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38 11 here? 11:38 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 13 foundation. 11:39 14 THE WITNESS: I don't have a way to confirm 11:39
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 11 Q. In light of that experience, are the 11:36 12 screenshots you see reflected in this exhibit 11:36 13 familiar to you? 11:36 14 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:36 15 THE WITNESS: No. 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38 11 here? 11:38 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 13 foundation. 11:39 14 THE WITNESS: I don't have a way to confirm 11:39 15 that. 11:39
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 11 Q. In light of that experience, are the 11:36 12 screenshots you see reflected in this exhibit 11:36 13 familiar to you? 11:36 14 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:36 15 THE WITNESS: No. 11:36 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38 11 here? 11:38 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 13 foundation. 11:39 14 THE WITNESS: I don't have a way to confirm 11:39 15 that. 11:39
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 11 Q. In light of that experience, are the 11:36 12 screenshots you see reflected in this exhibit 11:36 13 familiar to you? 11:36 14 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:36 15 THE WITNESS: No. 11:36 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 17 Q. Have you yourself accessed the 2019 11:36	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38 11 here? 11:38 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 13 foundation. 11:39 14 THE WITNESS: I don't have a way to confirm 11:39 15 that. 11:39 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:39 17 Q. Have you ever tried copying text from the 11:39
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 11 Q. In light of that experience, are the 11:36 12 screenshots you see reflected in this exhibit 11:36 13 familiar to you? 11:36 14 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:36 15 THE WITNESS: No. 11:36 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 17 Q. Have you yourself accessed the 2019 11:36 18 California Electrical Code on the NFPA viewer? 11:37	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38 11 here? 11:38 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 13 foundation. 11:39 14 THE WITNESS: I don't have a way to confirm 11:39 15 that. 11:39 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:39 17 Q. Have you ever tried copying text from the 11:39 18 California Electrical Code on the NFPA viewer? 11:39
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 11 Q. In light of that experience, are the 11:36 12 screenshots you see reflected in this exhibit 11:36 13 familiar to you? 11:36 14 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:36 15 THE WITNESS: No. 11:36 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 17 Q. Have you yourself accessed the 2019 11:36 18 California Electrical Code on the NFPA viewer? 11:37 19 A. Yes. 11:37	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38 11 here? 11:38 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 13 foundation. 11:39 14 THE WITNESS: I don't have a way to confirm 11:39 15 that. 11:39 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:39 17 Q. Have you ever tried copying text from the 11:39 18 California Electrical Code on the NFPA viewer? 11:39 19 A. No. 11:39
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 11 Q. In light of that experience, are the 11:36 12 screenshots you see reflected in this exhibit 11:36 13 familiar to you? 11:36 14 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:36 15 THE WITNESS: No. 11:36 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 17 Q. Have you yourself accessed the 2019 11:36 18 California Electrical Code on the NFPA viewer? 11:37 19 A. Yes. 11:37 20 Q. Are you aware whether you can print the code 11:37	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38 11 here? 11:38 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 13 foundation. 11:39 14 THE WITNESS: I don't have a way to confirm 11:39 15 that. 11:39 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:39 17 Q. Have you ever tried copying text from the 11:39 18 California Electrical Code on the NFPA viewer? 11:39 19 A. No. 11:39 20 Q. I'd like to refer you to the second page, 11:39
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 11 Q. In light of that experience, are the 11:36 12 screenshots you see reflected in this exhibit 11:36 13 familiar to you? 11:36 14 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:36 15 THE WITNESS: No. 11:36 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 17 Q. Have you yourself accessed the 2019 11:36 18 California Electrical Code on the NFPA viewer? 11:37 19 A. Yes. 11:37 20 Q. Are you aware whether you can print the code 11:37 21 from the NFPA viewer? 11:37	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38 11 here? 11:38 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 13 foundation. 11:39 14 THE WITNESS: I don't have a way to confirm 11:39 15 that. 11:39 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:39 17 Q. Have you ever tried copying text from the 11:39 18 California Electrical Code on the NFPA viewer? 11:39 19 A. No. 11:39 20 Q. I'd like to refer you to the second page, 11:39 21 and here on the second page, there's language stating 11:39
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 11 Q. In light of that experience, are the 11:36 12 screenshots you see reflected in this exhibit 11:36 13 familiar to you? 11:36 14 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:36 15 THE WITNESS: No. 11:36 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 17 Q. Have you yourself accessed the 2019 11:36 18 California Electrical Code on the NFPA viewer? 11:37 19 A. Yes. 11:37 20 Q. Are you aware whether you can print the code 11:37 21 from the NFPA viewer? 11:37	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38 11 here? 11:38 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 13 foundation. 11:39 14 THE WITNESS: I don't have a way to confirm 11:39 15 that. 11:39 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:39 17 Q. Have you ever tried copying text from the 11:39 18 California Electrical Code on the NFPA viewer? 11:39 19 A. No. 11:39 20 Q. I'd like to refer you to the second page, 11:39 21 and here on the second page, there's language stating 11:39 22 that "Portions of this publication are reproduced 11:39
2 Q. Have you accessed the 2019 California 11:36 3 Electrical Code through nfpa.org? 11:36 4 A. Yes. 11:36 5 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the NFPA 11:36 6 viewer that the NFPA supplies for viewing the 2019 11:36 7 electrical code? 11:36 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:36 9 THE WITNESS: I have familiarity, yes. 11:36 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 11 Q. In light of that experience, are the 11:36 12 screenshots you see reflected in this exhibit 11:36 13 familiar to you? 11:36 14 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:36 15 THE WITNESS: No. 11:36 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:36 17 Q. Have you yourself accessed the 2019 11:36 18 California Electrical Code on the NFPA viewer? 11:37 19 A. Yes. 11:37 20 Q. Are you aware whether you can print the code 11:37 21 from the NFPA viewer? 11:37 22 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:37 23 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware, no. 11:37	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 3 foundation. 11:38 4 MR. FEE: Object to form. Mischaracterizes 11:38 5 her testimony. 11:38 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it links 11:38 7 directly to what I'm looking at today. 11:38 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:38 9 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it doesn't 11:38 10 link to this web page in the NFPA viewer reflected 11:38 11 here? 11:38 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 11:38 13 foundation. 11:39 14 THE WITNESS: I don't have a way to confirm 11:39 15 that. 11:39 16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:39 17 Q. Have you ever tried copying text from the 11:39 18 California Electrical Code on the NFPA viewer? 11:39 19 A. No. 11:39 20 Q. I'd like to refer you to the second page, 11:39 21 and here on the second page, there's language stating 11:39 22 that "Portions of this publication are reproduced 11:39 23 with permission from the National Electrical Code." 11:39

1 Q. And this also identifies that no portions of 11:39	1 page which the public can access. 11:43
2 NEC material may be reproduced except with permission 11:40	2 Q. I'd like to refer you call your attention 11:43
3 of the National Fire Protection Association." Do you 11:40	3 to this next page as well. It's noted as page 49 of 11:43
4 see that text? 11:40	4 1034. Have you at the top of the strike that. 11:43
5 A. Yes. 11:40	5 At the top of the page, it reads "Chapter 1 11:44
6 Q. What portions of the publication here did 11:40	6 California Matrix Adoption Tables." Do you see that? 11:44
7 NFPA contribute? 11:40	7 A. Yes. 11:44
8 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:40	8 Q. What is a Matrix Adoption Table? 11:44
9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Object to form. 11:40	9 A. It's a guide for the code reader to see 11:44
10 MR. NERCESSIAN: I can rephrase. 11:40	10 which State agencies amend or adopt an entire article 11:44
11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:40	11 of the electrical code or only specific sections from 11:44
12 Q. How can a reader of the California 11:40	12 the articles. 11:44
14 California Electrical Code NFPA contributed? 11:40	14 that allows a reader to distinguish whether a code is 11:44
MR. YEN: Same objection. 11:40	15 accepted as amended or adopted in its entirety? 11:44
16 THE WITNESS: So on page and I don't 11:40	16 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:44
17 know the pages aren't numbered, so on the top 11:41	17 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Misstates 11:44
18 right corner, it says 11 of 1034. There's a legend 11:41	18 her testimony. 11:44
19 that tells the reader how to distinguish between 11:41	MR. FEE: Also object, you're asking these 11:44
20 model code and California amendments. 11:41	20 questions without the full California Electrical Code 11:45
21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:41	21 in front of her, so she can't answer the question in 11:45
22 Q. And that legend indicates that if in the 11:41	22 the context of the entire document. 11:45
23 margin there is this "CA" notation vertically, that 11:41	23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45
24 indicates that a California amendment has been made 11:41	24 Q. You may answer if you understand the 11:45
25 to the model code; correct? 11:41	25 question. 11:45
Page 62	Page 64
1 450 02	149001
1 A. Yes. 11:41	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45
	A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41	
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 13 Q. All right. Does the Building Standards 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45 13 MR. FEE: Object as to form. Object to the 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 13 Q. All right. Does the Building Standards 11:42 14 Commission make any of these California amendments 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45 13 MR. FEE: Object as to form. Object to the 11:45 14 exhibit again. 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 13 Q. All right. Does the Building Standards 11:42 14 Commission make any of these California amendments 11:42 15 available to the public separately from the 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45 13 MR. FEE: Object as to form. Object to the 11:45 14 exhibit again. 11:45 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure I'm 11:45
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 13 Q. All right. Does the Building Standards 11:42 14 Commission make any of these California amendments 11:42 15 available to the public separately from the 11:42 16 publication of the California Electrical Code? 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45 13 MR. FEE: Object as to form. Object to the 11:45 14 exhibit again. 11:45 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure I'm 11:45 16 understanding the question. 11:46
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 13 Q. All right. Does the Building Standards 11:42 14 Commission make any of these California amendments 11:42 15 available to the public separately from the 11:42 16 publication of the California Electrical Code? 11:42 17 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45 13 MR. FEE: Object as to form. Object to the 11:45 14 exhibit again. 11:45 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure I'm 11:45 16 understanding the question. 11:46 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:46
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 13 Q. All right. Does the Building Standards 11:42 14 Commission make any of these California amendments 11:42 15 available to the public separately from the 11:42 16 publication of the California Electrical Code? 11:42 17 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 11:42 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. The Final Statement of 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45 13 MR. FEE: Object as to form. Object to the 11:45 14 exhibit again. 11:45 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure I'm 11:45 16 understanding the question. 11:46 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:46 18 Q. So let's look at this table that just for 11:46
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 13 Q. All right. Does the Building Standards 11:42 14 Commission make any of these California amendments 11:42 15 available to the public separately from the 11:42 16 publication of the California Electrical Code? 11:42 17 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 11:42 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. The Final Statement of 11:42 19 Reasons may contain those amendments. 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45 13 MR. FEE: Object as to form. Object to the 11:45 14 exhibit again. 11:45 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure I'm 11:45 16 understanding the question. 11:46 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:46 18 Q. So let's look at this table that just for 11:46 19 "Article 110 - Requirements of Electrical 11:46
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 13 Q. All right. Does the Building Standards 11:42 14 Commission make any of these California amendments 11:42 15 available to the public separately from the 11:42 16 publication of the California Electrical Code? 11:42 17 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 11:42 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. The Final Statement of 11:42 19 Reasons may contain those amendments. 11:42 20 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45 13 MR. FEE: Object as to form. Object to the 11:45 14 exhibit again. 11:45 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure I'm 11:45 16 understanding the question. 11:46 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:46 18 Q. So let's look at this table that just for 11:46 19 "Article 110 - Requirements of Electrical 11:46 20 Installations." How would a code reader interpret 11:46
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 13 Q. All right. Does the Building Standards 11:42 14 Commission make any of these California amendments 11:42 15 available to the public separately from the 11:42 16 publication of the California Electrical Code? 11:42 17 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 11:42 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. The Final Statement of 11:42 19 Reasons may contain those amendments. 11:42 20 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 21 Q. After the rulemaking process is over, does, 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45 13 MR. FEE: Object as to form. Object to the 11:45 14 exhibit again. 11:45 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure I'm 11:45 16 understanding the question. 11:46 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:46 18 Q. So let's look at this table that just for 11:46 19 "Article 110 - Requirements of Electrical 11:46 20 Installations." How would a code reader interpret 11:46 21 this table? 11:46
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 13 Q. All right. Does the Building Standards 11:42 14 Commission make any of these California amendments 11:42 15 available to the public separately from the 11:42 16 publication of the California Electrical Code? 11:42 17 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 11:42 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. The Final Statement of 11:42 19 Reasons may contain those amendments. 11:42 20 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 21 Q. After the rulemaking process is over, does, 11:42 22 to your knowledge, the State of California publish 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45 13 MR. FEE: Object as to form. Object to the 11:45 14 exhibit again. 11:45 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure I'm 11:45 16 understanding the question. 11:46 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:46 18 Q. So let's look at this table that just for 11:46 19 "Article 110 - Requirements of Electrical 11:46 20 Installations." How would a code reader interpret 11:46 21 this table? 11:46
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 13 Q. All right. Does the Building Standards 11:42 14 Commission make any of these California amendments 11:42 15 available to the public separately from the 11:42 16 publication of the California Electrical Code? 11:42 17 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 11:42 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. The Final Statement of 11:42 19 Reasons may contain those amendments. 11:42 20 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 21 Q. After the rulemaking process is over, does, 11:42 22 to your knowledge, the State of California publish 11:42 23 any California amendments separately? 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45 13 MR. FEE: Object as to form. Object to the 11:45 14 exhibit again. 11:45 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure I'm 11:45 16 understanding the question. 11:46 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:46 18 Q. So let's look at this table that just for 11:46 19 "Article 110 - Requirements of Electrical 11:46 20 Installations." How would a code reader interpret 11:46 21 this table? 11:46 22 MR. FEE: Objection. 11:46 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 11:46
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 13 Q. All right. Does the Building Standards 11:42 14 Commission make any of these California amendments 11:42 15 available to the public separately from the 11:42 16 publication of the California Electrical Code? 11:42 17 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 11:42 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. The Final Statement of 11:42 19 Reasons may contain those amendments. 11:42 20 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 21 Q. After the rulemaking process is over, does, 11:42 22 to your knowledge, the State of California publish 11:42 23 any California amendments separately? 11:42 24 A. The Building Standards Commission makes 11:43	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45 13 MR. FEE: Object as to form. Object to the 11:45 14 exhibit again. 11:45 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure I'm 11:45 16 understanding the question. 11:46 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:46 18 Q. So let's look at this table that just for 11:46 19 "Article 110 - Requirements of Electrical 11:46 20 Installations." How would a code reader interpret 11:46 21 this table? 11:46 22 MR. FEE: Objection. 11:46 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 11:46 24 Speculation. 11:46
1 A. Yes. 11:41 2 MR. FEE: I'm going to object to the use of 11:41 3 this exhibit in its entirety. Have you excerpted 11:41 4 pages of this? 11:41 5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yes. These are screenshots 11:41 6 of what's available in the NFPA viewer. 11:41 7 MR. FEE: Selected by you? 11:41 8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Selected by me, yes. 11:41 9 MR. FEE: Okay. I object to that. 11:41 10 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection as 11:42 11 incomplete. 11:42 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 13 Q. All right. Does the Building Standards 11:42 14 Commission make any of these California amendments 11:42 15 available to the public separately from the 11:42 16 publication of the California Electrical Code? 11:42 17 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 11:42 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. The Final Statement of 11:42 19 Reasons may contain those amendments. 11:42 20 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:42 21 Q. After the rulemaking process is over, does, 11:42 22 to your knowledge, the State of California publish 11:42 23 any California amendments separately? 11:42	1 A. This table represents what was approved by 11:45 2 the commission for publication in this edition of the 11:45 3 code. 11:45 4 Q. So, for instance, it reflects on the top 11:45 5 line those portions that were adopted in their 11:45 6 entirety? 11:45 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 11:45 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11:45 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:45 10 Q. So how would code reader understand whether 11:45 11 portion of the reference code, reference standard was 11:45 12 adopted in its entirety? 11:45 13 MR. FEE: Object as to form. Object to the 11:45 14 exhibit again. 11:45 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure I'm 11:45 16 understanding the question. 11:46 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:46 18 Q. So let's look at this table that just for 11:46 19 "Article 110 - Requirements of Electrical 11:46 20 Installations." How would a code reader interpret 11:46 21 this table? 11:46 22 MR. FEE: Objection. 11:46 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 11:46

1 reader would interpret it. 11:46	1 Q. And if that was the case, how would a person 11:49
2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:46	2 access strike that. 11:49
3 Q. What information is this table intend 11:46	3 If it was the case that they were carried 11:49
4 strike that. 11:46	4 forward from a previous code cycle, how would a 11:49
5 What information does this table for Article 11:46	5 person seeking to access those provisions know? 11:50
6 110 provide that may be helpful to a code reader in 11:46	6 A. I'm not following the question. Sorry. 11:50
7 interpreting the relevant portion of the California 11:47	7 Q. So earlier we established that strike 11:50
8 Electrical Code? 11:47	8 that. 11:50
9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 11:47	9 It's possible that a State agency such as 11:50
10 THE WITNESS: This table, for example, 11:47	10 HCD may adopt an entire article as amended into its 11:50
11 represents that DSA, the acronym SS adopts the entire 11:47	11 rules while strike that. 11:50
12 Article 110. 11:47	12 It's possible that a State agency such as 11:50
13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:47	13 HCD may adopt an entire article as amended into its 11:50
14 Q. And what is DSA? 11:47	14 rules; correct? 11:50
15 A. The Division of the State Architect. And 11:47	15 A. I'm sorry. Repeat the question. 11:50
16 the SS is represents further structural safety 11:47	16 Q. Is it possible that a State agency such as 11:50
17 program. 11:47	17 HCD can adopt an entire article as amended into its 11:51
18 Q. And then we have two columns over, do you 11:47	18 rules? 11:51
19 see there's this column that reads "HCD"? 11:47	19 A. Yes. 11:51
20 A. Yes. 11:47	20 Q. And in the case that it does that, is it 11:51
21 Q. What does that acronym refer to? 11:47	21 possible that that provision does not appear in their 11:51
22 A. That's Department of Housing and Community 11:47	22 Final Statement of Reasons? 11:51
23 Development. 11:47	23 A. It's possible. 11:51
24 Q. And if you go down just to the second row 11:47	24 Q. One reason it might not appear is in 11:51
25 within that column, there are X's here under sub 11:48	25 strike that. 11:51
Page 66	Page 68
1 column one and a sub column two. 11:48	1 One reason such a provision might not appear 11:51
2 A. Uh-huh. 11:48	2 in the Final Statement of Rules is if it was carried 11:51
3 Q. What do those X's reflect? 11:48	3 forward from a previous cycle; correct? 11:51
4 A. That HCD's authority for one and two is 11:48	4 A. Yes. 11:51
5 adopt the entire article as amended and that the 11:48	5 Q. In such a case, how would a person know 11:51
6 amended sections are listed below. 11:48	6 where to look to find the relevant code provision? 11:51
7 Q. And to access those articles that are 11:48	7 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:52
8 adopted in their entirety as amended strike that. 11:48	8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know. 11:52
9 How would a code reader access those 11:48	9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:52
10 articles that had been adopted in their entirety as 11:48	10 Q. All right. In such a case, does, to your 11:52
11 amended by HCD? 11:48	11 knowledge, the State of California strike that. 11:52
MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:48	12 In such a case, would strike that. 11:53
13 MR. NERCESSIAN: I can rephrase. 11:49	In such a case, is it possible that the 11:53
14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:49	14 entire code provision as amended appears in a Final 11:53
15 Q. What means of access does the Building 11:49	15 Statement of Reasons from the State agency from an 11:53
16 Standards Commission provide to a code reader seeking 11:49	16 earlier cycle? 11:53
17 to access those articles adopted in their entirety as 11:49	17 A. It's possible. 11:53
18 amended by HCD? 11:49	18 Q. Is there any way a person seeking to find 11:53
19 A. They are made available on the link to the 11:49	19 the relevant provision that was adopted in its 11:53
20 website, and those may or may not be in the Final 11:49	20 entirety as amended would know where to look to 11:53
21 Statement of Reasons that were amended by HCD. 11:49	21 access that provision? 11:53
22 Q. Why may they not be present in the Final 11:49	22 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 11:53
23 Statement of Reasons that were amended by HCD? 11:49	23 speculation. 11:53
24 A. They could have been carried forward from a 11:49	24 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah. I can't I 11:53
25 previous code cycle. 11:49	
23 previous code cycle.	25 don't know how to answer that question. I don't have 11:54

1 an answer for that question actually. 11:54	1 BNi; correct? 11:57
2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:54	2 A. That was the publisher, yes. I don't know 11:57
3 Q. And why don't you have an answer for that 11:54	3 the exact website link. 11:57
4 question? 11:54	4 Q. That text is not on the BSC site; correct? 11:57
5 A. I don't think I'm fully understanding what 11:54	5 MR. FEE: Objection. Mischaracterizes her 11:57
6 you're trying to ask. I apologize. 11:54	6 testimony. 11:57
7 Q. All right. I can rephrase. 11:54	7 THE WITNESS: That text is not on our 11:57
8 A. Okay. 11:54	8 website. It links to the publisher's website. 11:57
9 Q. I'm trying to ask with respect to these code 11:54	9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:57
10 provisions that are part of the California 11:54	10 Q. And you're not aware of what restrictions 11:57
11 amendments, how can a person seeking to find them 11:54	11 the publisher places on access to that text? 11:57
12 access them? 11:54	12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:57
13 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:54	13 answered. 11:57
14 THE WITNESS: They're made available on the 11:54	14 THE WITNESS: No. 11:57
15 website in previous editions of the code. 11:54	15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58
16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:54	16 Q. Do you have to register with NFPA to see the 11:58
17 Q. And to access those strike that. 11:54	17 California Electrical Code at its site? 11:58
To access those previous editions, would the 11:54	18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for 11:58
19 person have to go through the publisher such as BNi? 11:55	19 speculation. 11:58
20 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:55	20 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:58
21 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:55	21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58
22 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:55	22 Q. So you have no awareness whether one has to 11:58
23 Q. And when you testified earlier that 11:55	23 register within NFPA to see the California Electrical 11:58
24 they're available on the website in previous editions 11:55	24 Code on their site? 11:58
25 of the code; correct? Do you recall that testimony? 11:55	25 A. I only am familiar with how it's accessed 11:58
Page 70	Page 72
1 A. Yes. 11:55	1 through the BSC website to the NFPA website. 11:58
2 Q. On whose website? 11:55	2 Q. And that, as we established earlier, is by a 11:58
2 Q. On whose website? 11:55 3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55	2 Q. And that, as we established earlier, is by a 11:58 3 link on the BSC website? 11:58
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58 8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58 8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58 9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56 10 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:56	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58 8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58 9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58 10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website? 11:58
3       A. The link provided on our website.       11:55         4       Q. The link is provided on the BSC website?       11:55         5       A. Yes.       11:56         6       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56         7       answered.       11:56         8       BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       11:56         9       Q. Where is the code provided?       11:56         10       MR. FEE: Objection. Form.       11:56         11       THE WITNESS: I'm having I can't I       11:56	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58 8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58 9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58 10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website? 11:58 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:58
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56 10 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:56 11 THE WITNESS: I'm having I can't I 11:56 12 don't know I don't understand the question that 11:56 13 you're asking. 11:56 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58 8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58 9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58 10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website? 11:58 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:58 12 foundation. 11:58 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:59 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:59
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56 10 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:56 11 THE WITNESS: I'm having I can't I 11:56 12 don't know I don't understand the question that 11:56 13 you're asking. 11:56 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 15 Q. The link that's available on the BSC website 11:56	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58 8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58 9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58 10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website? 11:58 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:58 12 foundation. 11:58 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:59 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:59 15 Q. Do you know with strike that. 11:59
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56 10 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:56 11 THE WITNESS: I'm having I can't I 11:56 12 don't know I don't understand the question that 11:56 13 you're asking. 11:56 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 15 Q. The link that's available on the BSC website 11:56 16 is to text elsewhere; correct? 11:56	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58 8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58 9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58 10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website? 11:58 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:58 12 foundation. 11:58 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:59 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:59 15 Q. Do you know with strike that. 11:59 16 With respect to the 2016 code, do you know 11:59
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56 10 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:56 11 THE WITNESS: I'm having I can't I 11:56 12 don't know I don't understand the question that 11:56 13 you're asking. 11:56 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 15 Q. The link that's available on the BSC website 11:56 16 is to text elsewhere; correct? 11:56 17 A. Yes. 11:56	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58 8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58 9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58 10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website? 11:58 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:58 12 foundation. 11:58 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:59 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:59 15 Q. Do you know with strike that. 11:59 16 With respect to the 2016 code, do you know 11:59 17 whether BNi had to obtain permission from any other 11:59
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56 10 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:56 11 THE WITNESS: I'm having I can't I 11:56 12 don't know I don't understand the question that 11:56 13 you're asking. 11:56 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 15 Q. The link that's available on the BSC website 11:56 16 is to text elsewhere; correct? 11:56 17 A. Yes. 11:56 18 Q. Text on, for instance, with respect to the 11:56	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58 8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58 9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58 10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website? 11:58 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:58 12 foundation. 11:58 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:59 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:59 15 Q. Do you know with strike that. 11:59 16 With respect to the 2016 code, do you know 11:59 17 whether BNi had to obtain permission from any other 11:59 18 entity to publish the California Electrical Code? 11:59
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56 10 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:56 11 THE WITNESS: I'm having I can't I 11:56 12 don't know I don't understand the question that 11:56 13 you're asking. 11:56 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 15 Q. The link that's available on the BSC website 11:56 16 is to text elsewhere; correct? 11:56 17 A. Yes. 11:56 18 Q. Text on, for instance, with respect to the 11:56 19 2016 version of the code, to a website service by 11:56	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58 8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58 9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58 10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website? 11:58 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:58 12 foundation. 11:58 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:59 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:59 15 Q. Do you know with strike that. 11:59 16 With respect to the 2016 code, do you know 11:59 17 whether BNi had to obtain permission from any other 11:59 18 entity to publish the California Electrical Code? 11:59 19 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:59
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56 10 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:56 11 THE WITNESS: I'm having I can't I 11:56 12 don't know I don't understand the question that 11:56 13 you're asking. 11:56 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 15 Q. The link that's available on the BSC website 11:56 16 is to text elsewhere; correct? 11:56 17 A. Yes. 11:56 18 Q. Text on, for instance, with respect to the 11:56 19 2016 version of the code, to a website service by 11:56 20 BNi; correct? 11:57	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58 8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58 9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58 10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website? 11:58 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:58 12 foundation. 11:58 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:59 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:59 15 Q. Do you know with strike that. 11:59 16 With respect to the 2016 code, do you know 11:59 17 whether BNi had to obtain permission from any other 11:59 18 entity to publish the California Electrical Code? 11:59 19 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:59 20 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:59
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56 10 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:56 11 THE WITNESS: I'm having I can't I 11:56 12 don't know I don't understand the question that 11:56 13 you're asking. 11:56 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 15 Q. The link that's available on the BSC website 11:56 16 is to text elsewhere; correct? 11:56 17 A. Yes. 11:56 18 Q. Text on, for instance, with respect to the 11:56 19 2016 version of the code, to a website service by 11:56 20 BNi; correct? 11:57 21 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:57	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58 8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58 9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58 10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website? 11:58 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:58 12 foundation. 11:58 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:59 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:59 15 Q. Do you know with strike that. 11:59 16 With respect to the 2016 code, do you know 11:59 17 whether BNi had to obtain permission from any other 11:59 18 entity to publish the California Electrical Code? 11:59 19 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:59 20 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:59 21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:59
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56 10 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:56 11 THE WITNESS: I'm having I can't I 11:56 12 don't know I don't understand the question that 11:56 13 you're asking. 11:56 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 15 Q. The link that's available on the BSC website 11:56 16 is to text elsewhere; correct? 11:56 17 A. Yes. 11:56 18 Q. Text on, for instance, with respect to the 11:56 19 2016 version of the code, to a website service by 11:56 20 BNi; correct? 11:57 21 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:57 22 THE WITNESS: The 2016 from our website does 11:57	3 link on the BSC website? 11:58 4 A. Correct. 11:58 5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58 6 answered. 11:58 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:58 8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58 9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58 10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website? 11:58 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:58 12 foundation. 11:58 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:59 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:59 15 Q. Do you know with strike that. 11:59 16 With respect to the 2016 code, do you know 11:59 17 whether BNi had to obtain permission from any other 11:59 18 entity to publish the California Electrical Code? 11:59 19 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 11:59 20 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11:59 21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:59 22 Q. Do you know whether the State of California 11:59
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56 10 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:56 11 THE WITNESS: I'm having I can't I 11:56 12 don't know I don't understand the question that 11:56 13 you're asking. 11:56 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 15 Q. The link that's available on the BSC website 11:56 16 is to text elsewhere; correct? 11:56 17 A. Yes. 11:56 18 Q. Text on, for instance, with respect to the 11:56 19 2016 version of the code, to a website service by 11:56 20 BNi; correct? 11:57 21 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:57 22 THE WITNESS: The 2016 from our website does 11:57 23 reference the model code publisher. 11:57	3 link on the BSC website?  4 A. Correct.  11:58  5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58  6 answered.  11:58  7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  11:58  9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58  10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website?  11:58  11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:58  12 foundation.  11:58  13 THE WITNESS: I don't know.  11:59  14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  11:59  15 Q. Do you know with strike that.  11:59  16 With respect to the 2016 code, do you know 11:59  17 whether BNi had to obtain permission from any other 11:59  18 entity to publish the California Electrical Code?  11:59  19 MR. FEE: Objection to form.  11:59  20 THE WITNESS: I don't know.  11:59  21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  11:59  22 Q. Do you know whether the State of California 11:59  23 receives any revenue from BNi with respect to its 11:59
3 A. The link provided on our website. 11:55 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56 10 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:56 11 THE WITNESS: I'm having I can't I 11:56 12 don't know I don't understand the question that 11:56 13 you're asking. 11:56 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 15 Q. The link that's available on the BSC website 11:56 16 is to text elsewhere; correct? 11:56 17 A. Yes. 11:56 18 Q. Text on, for instance, with respect to the 11:56 19 2016 version of the code, to a website service by 11:56 20 BNi; correct? 11:57 21 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:57 22 THE WITNESS: The 2016 from our website does 11:57 23 reference the model code publisher. 11:57	3 link on the BSC website?  4 A. Correct.  11:58  5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58  6 answered.  11:58  7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  11:58  9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58  10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website?  11:58  11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:58  12 foundation.  11:58  13 THE WITNESS: I don't know.  11:59  14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  11:59  15 Q. Do you know with strike that.  11:59  16 With respect to the 2016 code, do you know 11:59  17 whether BNi had to obtain permission from any other 11:59  18 entity to publish the California Electrical Code? 11:59  19 MR. FEE: Objection to form.  11:59  20 THE WITNESS: I don't know.  11:59  21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  11:59  22 Q. Do you know whether the State of California 11:59  23 receives any revenue from BNi with respect to its 11:59  24 application of the California Electrical Code? 11:59
3 A. The link provided on our website. 4 Q. The link is provided on the BSC website? 11:55 5 A. Yes. 11:56 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:56 7 answered. 11:56 8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 9 Q. Where is the code provided? 11:56 10 MR. FEE: Objection. Form. 11:56 11 THE WITNESS: I'm having I can't I 11:56 12 don't know I don't understand the question that 11:56 13 you're asking. 11:56 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 11:56 15 Q. The link that's available on the BSC website 11:56 16 is to text elsewhere; correct? 11:56 17 A. Yes. 11:56 18 Q. Text on, for instance, with respect to the 11:56 19 2016 version of the code, to a website service by 11:56 20 BNi; correct? 11:57 21 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 11:57 22 THE WITNESS: The 2016 from our website does 11:57 23 reference the model code publisher. 11:57	3 link on the BSC website?  4 A. Correct.  11:58  5 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 11:58  6 answered.  11:58  7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  11:58  8 Q. Do you know whether a person needs to agree 11:58  9 to terms and conditions to access the California 11:58  10 Electrical Code on a publisher's website?  11:58  11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 11:58  12 foundation.  11:58  13 THE WITNESS: I don't know.  11:59  14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  11:59  15 Q. Do you know with strike that.  11:59  16 With respect to the 2016 code, do you know 11:59  17 whether BNi had to obtain permission from any other 11:59  18 entity to publish the California Electrical Code? 11:59  19 MR. FEE: Objection to form.  11:59  20 THE WITNESS: I don't know.  11:59  21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  11:59  22 Q. Do you know whether the State of California 11:59  23 receives any revenue from BNi with respect to its 11:59

1 receive revenue from BNi. 11:59	1 any contributions? 12:02
2 Q. Do you know whether any other agency of the 11:59	2 A. I do believe they amend the California 12:02
3 State of California does? 11:59	3 Electrical Code, yes. 12:03
4 A. I have I do not know, no. 11:59	4 Q. So you're defining just to I understand 12:03
5 Q. I'd like to refer your attention to the 12:00	5 the terminology you're using, you're defining 12:03
6 page marked 7 of 1034 of this same document. That 12:00	6 "contribution" as whether they propose an amendment? 12:03
7 starts with the heading "Acknowledgments." 12:00	7 A. A California amendment. 12:03
8 A. 7? 12:00	8 Q. That's understood. Did the Department of 12:03
9 Q. 7, correct. 12:00	9 Housing and Community Development seek to copyright 12:03
10 A. Okay. 12:00	10 any of their contributions to the California 12:03
11 Q. And so you see in this first paragraph that 12:00	11 Electrical Code? 12:03
12 it states "The California Electrical Code was 12:00	12 A. I don't know. 12:03
13 developed through the outstanding collaborative 12:00	13 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:03
14 efforts of," a number of State agencies here? Do you 12:01	14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:03
15 see that paragraph? 12:01	15 Q. Are you aware of whether any of these 12:03
16 A. Yes. 12:01	16 agencies strike that. 12:03
17 Q. Going down the list, what contributions 12:01	17 Are you aware of whether any of these State 12:03
18 strike that. 12:01	18 agencies that made contributions to the 2019 12:03
19 Are you aware of strike that. 12:01	19 California Electrical Code sought a copyright in 12:03
So each of these State agencies contributed 12:01	20 their contributions? 12:03
21 to the development of the California Electrical Code; 12:01	21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for 12:03
22 correct? 12:01	22 speculation. 12:03
23 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 12:01	23 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 12:03
MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Misstates 12:01	24 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:03
25 what the document says. 12:01 Page 74	25 Q. The only organization you're aware of that 12:04 Page 76
1 THE WITNESS: I don't know that every State 12:01	1 has asserted a copyright in the California Electrical 12:04
2 agency contributed to the contributed to 12:01	2 Code is the strike that. 12:04
3 amendments to the California Electrical Code that's 12:01	What organizations are you aware of that 12:04
4 listed here. 12:01	4 have asserted a copyright in the 2019 California 12:04
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:01	5 Electrical Code? 12:04
6 Q. Each of these State agencies collaborated in 12:01	6 A. The model code publisher. 12:04
7 the process of developing the California Electrical 12:02	7 Q. And who is that? 12:04
8 Code, however, did they not? 12:02	8 A. In the case of the '19, it's NFPA. 12:04
9 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:02	9 Q. And what about the 2016 California 12:04
THE WITNESS: I don't know that every one 12:02	10 Electrical Code? I can elaborate. 12:04
11 collaborated for the electrical code. 12:02	11 With respect to the 2016 California 12:04
12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:02	12 Electrical Code, are you aware of any organizations 12:05
13 Q. And what definition of "collaborated" are 12:02	13 that have asserted a copyright in that version? 12:05
14 you using? 12:02	14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:05
15 A. I know that these State agencies contributed 12:02	15 legal conclusion. 12:05
16 to amendments, but not all of them did. These are a 12:02	16 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'd have to research 12:05
17 list of common State agencies that contribute to all 12:02	17 that. I don't know. 12:05
18 of the California Building Standards Code. 12:02	18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:05
19 Q. All right. 12:02	19 Q. I'm asking for your awareness. 12:05
20 A. So, for example, the Department of Public 12:02	20 A. That would be BNi. 12:05
21 Health, I wouldn't know unless I researched to see if 12:02	21 Q. And anybody else you're aware of? 12:05
22 they actually specifically amended something in the 12:02	22 A. It could possibly be NFPA, but that's 12:05
23 California Electrical Code. 12:02	23 yeah. I'd have to research that. 12:05
24 Q. All right. Are you aware whether the 12:02	24 Q. Are you aware of whether any of the State 12:05
25 Department of Housing and Community Development made 12:02	25 agencies that made contributions to the 2016 12:05

1 California Electrical Code have sought a copyright 12:05	1 Q. What organizations are you aware of that 12:08
2 interest in those contributions? 12:05	2 have asserted a copyright interest in any version of 12:08
3 A. No. 12:05	3 the California Electrical Code? 12:09
4 Q. So you have no awareness of State agencies 12:05	4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 12:09
5 seeking a copyright in their contributions to the 12:05	5 vague. 12:09
6 2016 California Electrical Code? 12:06	6 THE WITNESS: I think I already answered 12:09
7 A. No. 12:06	7 that question. 12:09
8 Q. Do you have strike that. 12:06	8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:09
9 Do you have any awareness of strike that. 12:06	9 Q. That was specific to a particular triennial 12:09
Did you have any involvement in the 12:06	10 version. I'm asking more broadly. So I can restate 12:09
11 development of the 2013 version of the California 12:06	11 the question. 12:09
12 Electrical Code? 12:06	12 A. Okay. 12:09
13 A. No. Can you repeat the question? I'm 12:06	13 Q. What organizations are you aware of that 12:09
14 sorry. 12:06	14 have asserted a copyright interest in any version of 12:09
15 Q. Yes. Did you have any involvement in the 12:06	15 the California Electrical Code? 12:09
16 development of the 2013 version of the California 12:06	16 A. That's too broad of a question. 12:09
17 Electrical Code? 12:06	17 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. Not 12:09
18 A. Yes. 12:06	18 clear what you're talking about, the California 12:09
19 Q. What was your involvement? 12:06	19 MR. NERCESSIAN: I said California 12:09
20 A. When I worked as an architectural associate, 12:07	20 Electrical Code. 12:09
21 like I spoke to earlier, I would have been involved 12:07	21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Okay. And the 12:09
22 in receiving documents from State agencies that 12:07	22 California amendments 12:09
23 possibly amend the California Electrical Code and 12:07	23 (Discussion off the record) 12:09
24 review those documents for the public process. 12:07	24 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Just wanting to clarify 12:09
25 Q. And what sources was the 2013 version of the 12:07	25 what exactly it is that you're talking about that's 12:09
Page 78	Page 80
1 California Electrical Code based on? 12:07	1 being copyrighted. 12:09
2 A. I believe it was the 2011 edition of the 12:07	2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:10
3 NEC. 12:07	3 Q. The question is are you aware of any 12:10
4 Q. That's the National Electrical Code? 12:07	4 organizations that have asserted a copyright interest 12:10
5 A. Yes. 12:07	5 in any version, that's any triennial version of the 12:10
6 Q. Are you aware of any organization that has 12:07	6 California Electrical Code including the California 12:10
7 asserted a copyright interest in the 2013 version of 12:07	7 amendments? 12:10
8 the California Electrical Code? 12:07	8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We'll keep the 12:10
9 A. No. 12:07	0 shipation as years
	9 objection as vague. 12:10
10 Q. Is it your understanding that no 12:07	10 THE WITNESS: No. 12:10
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08	
	10 THE WITNESS: No. 12:10
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08 12 2013 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 13 A. No. 12:08	10 THE WITNESS: No. 12:10 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:10
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08 12 2013 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 13 A. No. 12:08 14 Q. You just have no understanding one way or 12:08	10       THE WITNESS: No.       12:10         11       BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       12:10         12       Q. Other than BNi and NFPA       12:10
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08 12 2013 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 13 A. No. 12:08 14 Q. You just have no understanding one way or 12:08 15 another? 12:08	10       THE WITNESS: No.       12:10         11       BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       12:10         12       Q. Other than BNi and NFPA       12:10         13       MR. YEN: Objection. Vague.       12:10
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08 12 2013 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 13 A. No. 12:08 14 Q. You just have no understanding one way or 12:08 15 another? 12:08 16 A. Correct. 12:08	10       THE WITNESS: No.       12:10         11       BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       12:10         12       Q. Other than BNi and NFPA       12:10         13       MR. YEN: Objection. Vague.       12:10         14       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We think this is an 12:10
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08 12 2013 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 13 A. No. 12:08 14 Q. You just have no understanding one way or 12:08 15 another? 12:08 16 A. Correct. 12:08 17 Q. Are you aware of any State agencies that 12:08	10 THE WITNESS: No. 12:10 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:10 12 Q. Other than BNi and NFPA 12:10 13 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 12:10 14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We think this is an 12:10 15 unfair question. You're being pretty vague about 12:11
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08 12 2013 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 13 A. No. 12:08 14 Q. You just have no understanding one way or 12:08 15 another? 12:08 16 A. Correct. 12:08 17 Q. Are you aware of any State agencies that 12:08 18 have asserted a copyright interest in the 2013 12:08	10 THE WITNESS: No. 12:10 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:10 12 Q. Other than BNi and NFPA 12:10 13 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 12:10 14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We think this is an 12:10 15 unfair question. You're being pretty vague about 12:11 16 what you're talking about being copyrighted or not. 12:11
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08 12 2013 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 13 A. No. 12:08 14 Q. You just have no understanding one way or 12:08 15 another? 12:08 16 A. Correct. 12:08 17 Q. Are you aware of any State agencies that 12:08	10 THE WITNESS: No. 12:10 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:10 12 Q. Other than BNi and NFPA 12:10 13 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 12:10 14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We think this is an 12:10 15 unfair question. You're being pretty vague about 12:11 16 what you're talking about being copyrighted or not. 12:11 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I'm simply asking about 12:11
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08 12 2013 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 13 A. No. 12:08 14 Q. You just have no understanding one way or 12:08 15 another? 12:08 16 A. Correct. 12:08 17 Q. Are you aware of any State agencies that 12:08 18 have asserted a copyright interest in the 2013 12:08	10 THE WITNESS: No. 12:10 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:10 12 Q. Other than BNi and NFPA 12:10 13 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 12:10 14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We think this is an 12:10 15 unfair question. You're being pretty vague about 12:11 16 what you're talking about being copyrighted or not. 12:11 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I'm simply asking about 12:11 18 whether Ms. Marvelli has any awareness of any 12:11
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08 12 2013 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 13 A. No. 12:08 14 Q. You just have no understanding one way or 12:08 15 another? 12:08 16 A. Correct. 12:08 17 Q. Are you aware of any State agencies that 12:08 18 have asserted a copyright interest in the 2013 12:08 19 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 20 A. No. 12:08 21 Q. Are you aware of any State agencies that 12:08	10 THE WITNESS: No. 12:10 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:10 12 Q. Other than BNi and NFPA 12:10 13 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 12:10 14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We think this is an 12:10 15 unfair question. You're being pretty vague about 12:11 16 what you're talking about being copyrighted or not. 12:11 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I'm simply asking about 12:11 18 whether Ms. Marvelli has any awareness of any 12:11 19 organizations that have asserted an interest. 12:11
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08 12 2013 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 13 A. No. 12:08 14 Q. You just have no understanding one way or 12:08 15 another? 12:08 16 A. Correct. 12:08 17 Q. Are you aware of any State agencies that 12:08 18 have asserted a copyright interest in the 2013 12:08 19 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 20 A. No. 12:08	10 THE WITNESS: No. 12:10 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:10 12 Q. Other than BNi and NFPA 12:10 13 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 12:10 14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We think this is an 12:10 15 unfair question. You're being pretty vague about 12:11 16 what you're talking about being copyrighted or not. 12:11 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I'm simply asking about 12:11 18 whether Ms. Marvelli has any awareness of any 12:11 19 organizations that have asserted an interest. 12:11 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: In the California 12:11
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08 12 2013 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 13 A. No. 12:08 14 Q. You just have no understanding one way or 12:08 15 another? 12:08 16 A. Correct. 12:08 17 Q. Are you aware of any State agencies that 12:08 18 have asserted a copyright interest in the 2013 12:08 19 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 20 A. No. 12:08 21 Q. Are you aware of any State agencies that 12:08	10 THE WITNESS: No. 12:10 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:10 12 Q. Other than BNi and NFPA 12:10 13 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 12:10 14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We think this is an 12:10 15 unfair question. You're being pretty vague about 12:11 16 what you're talking about being copyrighted or not. 12:11 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I'm simply asking about 12:11 18 whether Ms. Marvelli has any awareness of any 12:11 19 organizations that have asserted an interest. 12:11 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: In the California 12:11 21 amendments or in the NEC? 12:11
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08 12 2013 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 13 A. No. 12:08 14 Q. You just have no understanding one way or 12:08 15 another? 12:08 16 A. Correct. 12:08 17 Q. Are you aware of any State agencies that 12:08 18 have asserted a copyright interest in the 2013 12:08 19 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 20 A. No. 12:08 21 Q. Are you aware of any State agencies that 12:08 22 have asserted a copyright interest in their 12:08	10 THE WITNESS: No. 12:10 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:10 12 Q. Other than BNi and NFPA 12:10 13 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 12:10 14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We think this is an 12:10 15 unfair question. You're being pretty vague about 12:11 16 what you're talking about being copyrighted or not. 12:11 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I'm simply asking about 12:11 18 whether Ms. Marvelli has any awareness of any 12:11 19 organizations that have asserted an interest. 12:11 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: In the California 12:11 21 amendments or in the NEC? 12:11 22 MR. NERCESSIAN: In the California 12:11
11 organization has asserted a copyright interest in the 12:08 12 2013 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 13 A. No. 12:08 14 Q. You just have no understanding one way or 12:08 15 another? 12:08 16 A. Correct. 12:08 17 Q. Are you aware of any State agencies that 12:08 18 have asserted a copyright interest in the 2013 12:08 19 version of the California Electrical Code? 12:08 20 A. No. 12:08 21 Q. Are you aware of any State agencies that 12:08 22 have asserted a copyright interest in their 12:08 23 contributions for any version of the California 12:08	10 THE WITNESS: No. 12:10 11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:10 12 Q. Other than BNi and NFPA 12:10 13 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 12:10 14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We think this is an 12:10 15 unfair question. You're being pretty vague about 12:11 16 what you're talking about being copyrighted or not. 12:11 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: I'm simply asking about 12:11 18 whether Ms. Marvelli has any awareness of any 12:11 19 organizations that have asserted an interest. 12:11 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: In the California 12:11 21 amendments or in the NEC? 12:11 22 MR. NERCESSIAN: In the California 12:11 23 Electrical Code. The objection is noted. 12:11

1 on from this exhibit, just a few more questions. 12:11	1 A. It's a PRA request. 12:16
2 You heard NFPA's counsel's objection to 12:11	2 Q. And had you seen this document prior to 12:16
3 Exhibit Number 4 containing screenshots from the 12:12	3 receiving the subpoena? 12:16
4 NFPA's website. Does page one of the exhibit fairly 12:12	4 A. Yes, back in 2016 when it was received at 12:16
5 represent what a user would see on the screen in 12:12	5 our office. 12:16
6 reviewing the California Electrical Code on the NFPA 12:12	6 Q. And it's a public records request of 12:16
7 website? 12:12	7 October 28th, 2016; correct? 12:16
8 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:12	8 A. Yes. 12:16
9 THE WITNESS: I would say yes. 12:12	9 Q. What documents does this request seek? 12:16
10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:12	10 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 12:16
Q. How many screenshots would be necessary to 12:12	11 MR. YEN: Also object to calls for 12:16
12 reproduce the entire California Electrical Code? 12:12	12 speculation. 12:16
13 A. I don't know. 12:12 14 O. Are you aware whether one can reproduce the 12:12	
14 Q. Are you aware whether one can reproduce the 12:12 15 whole California Electrical Code from the NFPA 12:12	
16 website without printing over a thousand screenshots? 12:12	14 whole document again. 12:16
17 A. I don't know. 12:13	15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:16
18 MR. YEN: Are you doing okay? 12:13	16 Q. Well, you can strike that. 12:16
19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Little while longer, 12:13	17 This letter was addressed to you; correct? 12:17
20 and then we'll take a break? 12:13	18 A. Yes. 12:17
21 MR. YEN: Yeah. 12:13	19 Q. And did you review this document in 2016? 12:17
MR. NERCESSIAN: Can we go off the record a 12:13	20 A. Yes. 12:17
23 sec? 12:13	21 Q. What action did you or the Building 12:17
24 VIDEO OPERATOR: Sure. We're off the 12:13	22 Standards Commission take in response to this 12:17
12:13 25 record. It's .	23 document? 12:17
12:13	24 MR. YEN: I would just caution you not to 12:17
25	25 reveal any attorney-client communications you had 12:17
Page 82	Page 84
1 1 (Recess) 12:13	1 with respect to this. 12:17
2 VIDEO OPERATOR: We're back on the record. 12:14	2 THE WITNESS: Okay. We responded to the PRA 12:17
2 12:14 3 It's .	3 and provided any responsive documents. 12:17
3 12:14	4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:17
4 4 MR. NERCESSIAN: Can we please mark this 12:14	5 Q. Did you review the documents that were 12:17
5 5 next in order? 12:14	6 provided pursuant to this request? 12:17
6 6 (Exhibit 5 marked) 12:14	7 A. Yes. 12:17
7 7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:14	8 Q. I have a document I'd like to show you now 12:17
8 8 Q. Please take a moment to look at the 12:15	
9 9 document, and, Ms. Marvelli, do you recognize this 12:15	9 that I understand was part of that production. 12:18
10 10 document? 12:15	10 (Exhibit 6 marked) 12:19
11 11 A. Yes. 12:15	11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:19
12 12 Q. What is it? 12:15	12 Q. You've been passed a document marked for 12:19
13 13 A. It was what was served to me a couple weeks 12:15	13 identification as Exhibit 6. You can take a moment 12:19
14 14 ago. 12:15	14 to review this document. Have you seen this document 12:19
15 15 Q. And it's the subpoena to testify at today's 12:15	15 before? 12:19
16 16 deposition; is that correct? 12:15	16 A. I've not seen this document before. 12:19
17 17 A. Yes. 12:15	17 Q. Do you see the date at the bottom of the 12:19
18 18 Q. The document contains a number of 12:15	18 page that reads 12-16-2016? 12:19
19 19 attachments, and I'd like to ask you some questions 12:15	19 A. Yes. 12:19
20 20 about some of them. 12:15	20 Q. What does the document appear to be? 12:19
21 21 A. Okay. 12:15	21 A. A series of emails. 12:19
22 22 Q. So if we could go to Attachment A. Do you 12:15	22 Q. Emails from who? 12:20
23 23 recognize this document? 12:16	23 A. Looks like some of them are CBSC staff. 12:20
24 24 A. Yes. 12:16	24 Q. Which CBSC staff? 12:20
I and the second	
25 25 Q. What is this document? 12:16	25 A. So there's Pam Maeda, Mike Nearman. 12:20

1 Q. And before we move on, what role does Pam 12:20	1 Q. I want to call your attention to the first 12:23
2 Maeda have at CBSC? 12:20	2 sentence within the body. 12:23
3 A. At the time, in 2016, she was either an 12:20	3 A. Okay. 12:23
4 issue I believe she was an office technician. 12:20	4 Q. That says "In our future response to 12:23
5 Q. And Michael Nearman? 12:20	5 Mr. Malamud, please keep this info. It may be 12:23
6 A. Deputy executive director. 12:20	6 useful." Do you see that? 12:23
7 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that these 12:20	7 A. Yes. 12:23
8 documents were not produced by CBSC in response to 12:21	8 Q. Do you recall why the information reflected 12:23
9 the public records request, Public.Resource? 12:21	9 in this document may be useful? 12:23
10 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:21	10 A. No. I haven't read this in two years, so, 12:24
	11 no, I don't recall. 12:24
12 have a copy of what we responded to in our PRA. Are 12:21	12 Q. I want to call your attention to this 12:24
13 you stating that this is the PRA request that we sent 12:21	13 further down on the document, there's a heading that 12:24
14 back to them? I have no way to know that. 12:21	14 reads "Caution Regarding Official Code." Do you see 12:24
15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:21	15 that? 12:24
16 Q. What information would you need to know 12:21	16 A. Yes. 12:24
17 that? 12:21	17 Q. And that caution includes information about 12:24
18 A. Well, I would want to look at what we 12:21	18 a Government Code section. 12:24
19 responded to the PRA from our office. That wasn't 12:21	19 A. Uh-huh. 12:24
20 included in the documents that you provided me in the 12:21	20 Q. Do you see that? 12:24
21 subpoena. 12:21	21 A. Uh-huh. Yes. Sorry. 12:24
22 Q. Yes. These were other well, strike that. 12:21	22 Q. What does the relevant section of the code 12:24
The subpoena did not include the full 12:22	23 cited here require? 12:24
24 universe of documents that strike that. 12:22	24 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for a legal 12:24
25 Did the subpoena attach the full universe of 12:22	25 conclusion. 12:24
Page 86	Page 88
1 documents that CBSC provided in response to the 12:23	1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would just offer what 12:24
1 documents that CBSC provided in response to the 2.22.22 public records request from Public Resource? 12:22	
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22	2 it states here. 12:24
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:2	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25 2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:2 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25 2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25 5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25 2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25 5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25 6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25 2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25 5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25 6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25 7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25 2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25 5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25 6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25 7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25 8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25 2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25 5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25 6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25 7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25 8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25 9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25 2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25 5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25 6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25 7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25 8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25 9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25 10 California Code of Regulations." 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25 2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25 5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25 6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25 7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25 8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25 9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25 10 California Code of Regulations." 12:25 11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25 2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25 5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25 6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25 7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25 8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25 9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25 10 California Code of Regulations." 12:25 11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25 12 A. Uh-huh. 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22 13 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the last 12:22	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25 2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25 5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25 6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25 7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25 8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25 9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25 10 California Code of Regulations." 12:25 11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25 12 A. Uh-huh. 12:25 13 Q. And is Title 24 part of the Code of 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22 13 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the last 12:22 14 page of this compilation document. 12:23	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25 2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25 5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25 6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25 7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25 8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25 9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25 10 California Code of Regulations." 12:25 11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25 12 A. Uh-huh. 12:25 13 Q. And is Title 24 part of the Code of 12:25 14 Regulations? 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22 13 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the last 12:22 14 page of this compilation document. 12:23 15 MR. YEN: Exhibit 6? 12:23	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25 2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25 5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25 6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25 7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25 8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25 9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25 10 California Code of Regulations." 12:25 11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25 12 A. Uh-huh. 12:25 13 Q. And is Title 24 part of the Code of 12:25 14 Regulations? 12:25 15 A. It's the 24th title in the California Code 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22 13 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the last 12:22 14 page of this compilation document. 12:23 15 MR. YEN: Exhibit 6? 12:23 16 MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23	2 it states here.  3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  12:25  2 4 Q. And what is that?  12:25  5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25  6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25  7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25  8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25  9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25  10 California Code of Regulations."  12:25  11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25  12 A. Uh-huh.  12:25  13 Q. And is Title 24 part of the Code of 12:25  14 Regulations?  12:25  15 A. It's the 24th title in the California Code 12:25  16 of Regulations, yes.  12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22 13 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the last 12:22 14 page of this compilation document. 12:23 15 MR. YEN: Exhibit 6? 12:23 16 MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:23	2 it states here. 12:24  3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25  2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25  5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25  6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25  7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25  8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25  9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25  10 California Code of Regulations." 12:25  11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25  12 A. Uh-huh. 12:25  13 Q. And is Title 24 part of the Code of 12:25  14 Regulations? 12:25  15 A. It's the 24th title in the California Code 12:25  16 of Regulations, yes. 12:25  17 Q. Is it your understanding that the Office of 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22 13 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the last 12:22 14 page of this compilation document. 12:23 15 MR. YEN: Exhibit 6? 12:23 16 MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23	2 it states here.  3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  12:25  2 4 Q. And what is that?  12:25  5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25  6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25  7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25  8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25  9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25  10 California Code of Regulations."  12:25  11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25  12 A. Uh-huh.  12:25  13 Q. And is Title 24 part of the Code of 12:25  14 Regulations?  12:25  15 A. It's the 24th title in the California Code 12:25  16 of Regulations, yes.  12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22 13 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the last 12:22 14 page of this compilation document. 12:23 15 MR. YEN: Exhibit 6? 12:23 16 MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:23	2 it states here. 12:24  3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25  2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25  5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25  6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25  7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25  8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25  9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25  10 California Code of Regulations." 12:25  11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25  12 A. Uh-huh. 12:25  13 Q. And is Title 24 part of the Code of 12:25  14 Regulations? 12:25  15 A. It's the 24th title in the California Code 12:25  16 of Regulations, yes. 12:25  17 Q. Is it your understanding that the Office of 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22 13 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the last 12:22 14 page of this compilation document. 12:23 15 MR. YEN: Exhibit 6? 12:23 16 MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 18 Q. Do you recognize this document? 12:23	2 it states here.  3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  12:25  2 4 Q. And what is that?  5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25  6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25  7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25  8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25  9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25  10 California Code of Regulations."  12:25  11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25  12 A. Uh-huh.  12:25  13 Q. And is Title 24 part of the Code of 12:25  14 Regulations?  12:25  15 A. It's the 24th title in the California Code 12:25  16 of Regulations, yes.  12:25  17 Q. Is it your understanding that the Office of 12:25  18 Administrative Law provides a compilation of the 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22 13 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the last 12:22 14 page of this compilation document. 12:23 15 MR. YEN: Exhibit 6? 12:23 16 MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 18 Q. Do you recognize this document? 12:23 19 A. Yes. 12:23	2 it states here.  3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  12:25  2 4 Q. And what is that?  5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25  6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25  7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25  8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25  9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25  10 California Code of Regulations."  12:25  11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25  12 A. Uh-huh.  12:25  13 Q. And is Title 24 part of the Code of 12:25  14 Regulations?  12:25  15 A. It's the 24th title in the California Code 12:25  16 of Regulations, yes.  12:25  17 Q. Is it your understanding that the Office of 12:25  18 Administrative Law provides a compilation of the 12:25  19 entire California Code of Regulations including 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22 13 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the last 12:22 14 page of this compilation document. 12:23 15 MR. YEN: Exhibit 6? 12:23 16 MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 18 Q. Do you recognize this document? 12:23 19 A. Yes. 12:23 20 Q. And what is this document? 12:23	2 it states here.  3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  12:25  2 4 Q. And what is that?  5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25  6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25  7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25  8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25  9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25  10 California Code of Regulations."  12:25  11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25  12 A. Uh-huh.  12:25  13 Q. And is Title 24 part of the Code of 12:25  14 Regulations?  12:25  15 A. It's the 24th title in the California Code 12:25  16 of Regulations, yes.  12:25  17 Q. Is it your understanding that the Office of 12:25  18 Administrative Law provides a compilation of the 12:25  19 entire California Code of Regulations including 12:25  20 Title 24?  12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22 13 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the last 12:22 14 page of this compilation document. 12:23 15 MR. YEN: Exhibit 6? 12:23 16 MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 18 Q. Do you recognize this document? 12:23 19 A. Yes. 12:23 20 Q. And what is this document? 12:23 21 A. It's an email from me to Alex and Michael 12:23	2 it states here.  3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  12:25  2 4 Q. And what is that?  5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25  6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25  7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25  8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25  9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25  10 California Code of Regulations."  12:25  11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25  12 A. Uh-huh.  12:25  13 Q. And is Title 24 part of the Code of 12:25  14 Regulations?  12:25  15 A. It's the 24th title in the California Code 12:25  16 of Regulations, yes.  12:25  17 Q. Is it your understanding that the Office of 12:25  18 Administrative Law provides a compilation of the 12:25  19 entire California Code of Regulations including 12:25  20 Title 24?  12:25  21 A. The Office of Administrative Law links to 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22 13 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the last 12:22 14 page of this compilation document. 12:23 15 MR. YEN: Exhibit 6? 12:23 16 MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 18 Q. Do you recognize this document? 12:23 19 A. Yes. 12:23 20 Q. And what is this document? 12:23 21 A. It's an email from me to Alex and Michael 12:23 22 Nearman back in 2016. 12:23	2 it states here. 12:24 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:25 2 4 Q. And what is that? 12:25 5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25 6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25 7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25 8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25 9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25 10 California Code of Regulations." 12:25 11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25 12 A. Uh-huh. 12:25 13 Q. And is Title 24 part of the Code of 12:25 14 Regulations? 12:25 15 A. It's the 24th title in the California Code 12:25 16 of Regulations, yes. 12:25 17 Q. Is it your understanding that the Office of 12:25 18 Administrative Law provides a compilation of the 12:25 19 entire California Code of Regulations including 12:25 20 Title 24? 12:25 21 A. The Office of Administrative Law links to 12:25 22 our website for Title 24. 12:25
2 public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 3 A. No. 12:22 4 Q. Did CBSC produce documents in response to 12:25 5 the public records request from Public.Resource? 12:22 6 A. Yes. 12:22 7 Q. Did those documents include internal emails 12:22 8 from CBSC staff? 12:22 9 A. I don't know. 12:22 10 Q. Did you review the documents that CBSC 12:22 11 produced in response to this public records request? 12:22 12 A. No. 12:22 13 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the last 12:22 14 page of this compilation document. 12:23 15 MR. YEN: Exhibit 6? 12:23 16 MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: Exhibit 6, correct. 12:23 18 Q. Do you recognize this document? 12:23 19 A. Yes. 12:23 20 Q. And what is this document? 12:23 21 A. It's an email from me to Alex and Michael 12:23 22 Nearman back in 2016. 12:23 23 Q. And what prompted you to write this 12:23	2 it states here.  3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  12:25  2 4 Q. And what is that?  5 A. It appears to state "Government Code 12:25  6 Section 11344 requires the" "OLA" is the 12:25  7 abbreviation for the Office of Administrative Law 12:25  8 "to provide for the official compilation, printing 12:25  9 and publication of State regulations in the 12:25  10 California Code of Regulations."  12:25  11 Q. Now we've been discussing Title 24. 12:25  12 A. Uh-huh.  12:25  13 Q. And is Title 24 part of the Code of 12:25  14 Regulations?  12:25  15 A. It's the 24th title in the California Code 12:25  16 of Regulations, yes.  12:25  17 Q. Is it your understanding that the Office of 12:25  18 Administrative Law provides a compilation of the 12:25  19 entire California Code of Regulations including 12:25  20 Title 24?  12:25  21 A. The Office of Administrative Law links to 12:25  22 our website for Title 24.  12:25  23 Q. Does the Office of Administrative Law 12:25

1 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 12:26	1 publisher and let them know that the link is broken, 12:29
2 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 12:26	2 and then we have it fixed. 12:29
3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:26	3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:29
4 Q. Are you aware of whether Title 24 of the 12:26	4 Q. Because it's the publisher that furnishes 12:29
5 California Code of Regulations is treated any 12:26	5 the text of the California Electrical Code to people 12:29
6 differently than other titles within the California 12:26	6 seeking to access it online; correct? 12:29
7 Code of Regulations? 12:26	7 A. The link is provided through our website to 12:29
8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 12:26	8 the publisher. 12:29
9 THE WITNESS: I just know that it points to 12:26	9 Q. Any other difficulties that you have been 12:29
10 our website, the CBSC website. 12:26	10 aware of at the CBSC in gaining access to the 12:29
11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:26	11 California Electrical Code? 12:29
12.20 12 Q. Do any of the other titles of the California 12:26	12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 12:29
	13 Mischaracterizes her testimony. 12:29
	_
MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:26	14 THE WITNESS: No. 12:29
15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know. 12:26	15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:29
16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:26	16 Q. In situations where in the cases 12:30
17 Q. Are you aware of any other titles that point 12:26	17 strike that. 12:30
18 to the CBSC website? 12:26	In those cases where you've received calls 12:30
19 A. I don't know. 12:27	19 with problems accessing the code, who was it that had 12:30
20 Q. So you're not aware of any other titles of 12:27	20 the issue? 12:30
21 the California Code of Regulations that point to the 12:27	21 A. I don't know. I don't recall. 12:30
22 CBSC website? 12:27	22 Q. Was it BSC staff? 12:30
23 A. I don't have any knowledge of that. I don't 12:27	23 A. I don't recall. 12:30
24 know. 12:27	24 Q. Would it have been members of the public? 12:30
25 Q. Are you aware of any issues persons seeking 12:27	25 A. I don't recall. 12:30
Page 90	Page 92
1 to read the code have encountered in accessing the 12:27	1 Q. So is it your testimony there strike 12:30
2 California Electrical Code? 12:27	2 that. 12:30
3 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:27	3 So is it your testimony that strike that. 12:30
4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Vague. 12:27	4 Like to refer you to the first page of this 12:30
5 THE WITNESS: You'll have to repeat the 12:28	5 compilation document. So we discussed previously 12:31
6 question. I'm sorry. 12:28	6 this exchange between Pam Maeda and Mike Nearman. Do 12:31
7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:28	7 you recall that? 12:31
8 Q. Okay. I can rephrase. 12:28	8 A. Yes. 12:31
9 A. Uh-huh. 12:28	9 Q. And do you see there's this first paragraph 12:31
10 Q. Has the CBSC ever been informed of issues 12:28	10 that reads "Just received a phone call from Suren 12:31
11 persons seeking to read the California Electrical 12:28	11 at," and it provides a phone number, "and he tried to 12:31
12 Code have encountered in accessing the code? 12:28	12 view the code on the BSC website, and it came up with 12:31
13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for 12:28	13 the ICC page wanting him to pay for the premium 12:31
14 speculation. 12:28	14 access in order to view the code"? Do you see that 12:31
MR. FEE: Objection to form. 12:28	15 paragraph? 12:32
16 THE WITNESS: We've received calls where the 12:28	16 A. Uh-huh. 12:32
17 code might not be online, and we notify the publisher 12:28	17 Q. Here, the reference it makes to Part 2.5, do 12:32
18 to put it online if there's a link that's broken. 12:28	18 you understand that to mean Part 2.5 of Title 24? 12:32
19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:28	19 A. Yes. 12:32
20 Q. And in those situations, does the CBSC have 12:29	20 Q. And Part 2.5 is the residential code? 12:32
21 any ability to itself fix the access issue with the 12:29	21 A. That's the California Residential Code, yes. 12:32
22 broken link? 12:29	22 Q. And who is the publisher for the California 12:32
23 A. No. 12:29	23 Residential Code? 12:32
24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 12:29	24 A. The International Code Council. 12:32
25 THE WITNESS: We sorry. We contact the 12:29 Page 91	25 Q. And that's the ICC you referred to in this 12:32 Page 93

1 sentence?  2 A. Yes. 12:32 3 Q. Have you been aware of any instances 12:32 4 strike that. 12:32 5 Are you aware of any instances where CBSC 12:32 6 has received word from a member of the public that a 12:32 7 publisher required that person to pay for premium 12:32 8 access to view the code? 12:33 9 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:33 1 THE WITNESS: I don't I can't answer the 12 2 dquestion the way you're asking it. That's the 12:33 2 difficulty. 12:36 3 THE WITNESS: I don't I can't answer the 12 3 dquestion the way you're asking it. That's the 12:33 4 question the way you're asking it. That's the 12:36 5 difficulty. 12:36 7 Q. So what's the nature of the difficulty? 12:36 8 Maybe I can clarify. 12:36 9 A. Well, to view the California codes, you can 12:31 10 purports to characterize this document, 12:33 11 mischaracterizes it. 12:33 12:34 13 california Residential Code from the ICC website?  2 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:33 14 question the way you're asking it. That's the 12:35 15 difficulty. 12:36 12:36 13 Maybe I can clarify. 12:36 14 A well, to view the California codes, you can 12:36 15 difficulty. 16 Difficulty. 17 Q. So what's the nature of the difficulty? 12:36 12:36 13 mischaracterizes it. 14 A question the way you're asking it. That's the 12:35 12:36 12:36 13 mischaracterizes of the difficulty? 12:36 13 mischaracterizes it. 12:37 14 california Residential Code from the ICC website? 15 don't I can't answer the 12 12:39 12:30 12:31 12:32 13 mischaracterize of the difficulty? 12:36 13 mischaracterizes of the difficulty?
3 Q. Have you been aware of any instances 12:32 4 strike that. 12:32 5 Are you aware of any instances where CBSC 12:32 6 has received word from a member of the public that a 12:32 7 publisher required that person to pay for premium 12:32 8 access to view the code? 12:33 9 MR. FEE: Objection. To the extent it 12:33 10 purports to characterize this document, 12:33 11 mischaracterizes it. 12:33 12:32 13 THE WITNESS: I don't I can't answer the 12 4 question the way you're asking it. That's the 12:33 5 difficulty. 12:36 7 Q. So what's the nature of the difficulty? 12:36 8 Maybe I can clarify. 12:36 9 A. Well, to view the California codes, you can 12:10 access the codes via our website, which points to ICC 11 similarly to how we just discussed the BNi or the 12
4 strike that.  12:32  4 question the way you're asking it. That's the 12:33  5 Are you aware of any instances where CBSC 12:32  6 has received word from a member of the public that a 12:32  7 publisher required that person to pay for premium 12:32  8 access to view the code?  12:33  9 MR. FEE: Objection. To the extent it 12:33  10 purports to characterize this document, 12:33  11 mischaracterizes it.  12:32  4 question the way you're asking it. That's the 12:35  5 difficulty.  12:36  7 Q. So what's the nature of the difficulty? 12:36  8 Maybe I can clarify.  9 A. Well, to view the California codes, you can 12:31  10 access the codes via our website, which points to ICC  11 similarly to how we just discussed the BNi or the 12:33
5 Are you aware of any instances where CBSC 12:32 6 has received word from a member of the public that a 12:32 6 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:36 7 publisher required that person to pay for premium 12:32 7 Q. So what's the nature of the difficulty? 12:36 8 access to view the code? 12:33 8 Maybe I can clarify. 12:36 8 Maybe I can clarify. 12:36 10 purports to characterize this document, 12:33 10 access the codes via our website, which points to ICC 11 mischaracterizes it. 12:33 11 similarly to how we just discussed the BNi or the 12
6 has received word from a member of the public that a 12:32 6 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:36 7 publisher required that person to pay for premium 12:32 7 Q. So what's the nature of the difficulty? 12:36 8 access to view the code? 12:33 8 Maybe I can clarify. 12:36 9 MR. FEE: Objection. To the extent it 12:33 9 A. Well, to view the California codes, you can 12:10 purports to characterize this document, 12:33 10 access the codes via our website, which points to ICC 11 mischaracterizes it. 12:33 11 similarly to how we just discussed the BNi or the 12
6 has received word from a member of the public that a 12:32 6 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:36 7 publisher required that person to pay for premium 12:32 7 Q. So what's the nature of the difficulty? 12:36 8 access to view the code? 12:33 8 Maybe I can clarify. 12:36 9 MR. FEE: Objection. To the extent it 12:33 9 A. Well, to view the California codes, you can 12:10 purports to characterize this document, 12:33 10 access the codes via our website, which points to ICC 11 mischaracterizes it. 12:33 11 similarly to how we just discussed the BNi or the 12
7 publisher required that person to pay for premium 12:32 7 Q. So what's the nature of the difficulty? 12:36 8 access to view the code? 12:33 8 Maybe I can clarify. 12:36 9 MR. FEE: Objection. To the extent it 12:33 9 A. Well, to view the California codes, you can 12:10 purports to characterize this document, 12:33 10 access the codes via our website, which points to ICC 11 mischaracterizes it. 12:33 11 similarly to how we just discussed the BNi or the 12
8 access to view the code? 12:33 8 Maybe I can clarify. 12:36 9 MR. FEE: Objection. To the extent it 12:33 9 A. Well, to view the California codes, you can 12:31 10 purports to characterize this document, 12:33 10 access the codes via our website, which points to ICC 11 mischaracterizes it. 12:33 11 similarly to how we just discussed the BNi or the 12
9 MR. FEE: Objection. To the extent it 12:33 9 A. Well, to view the California codes, you can 12:31 10 purports to characterize this document, 12:33 10 access the codes via our website, which points to ICC 11 mischaracterizes it. 12:33 11 similarly to how we just discussed the BNi or the 12:33 12:33 13:33 14:33 15:33 15:33 16:33
10 purports to characterize this document, 12:33 10 access the codes via our website, which points to ICC 11 mischaracterizes it. 12:33 11 similarly to how we just discussed the BNi or the 12
11 mischaracterizes it. 12:33 11 similarly to how we just discussed the BNi or the 12
THE WITNESS: I think it's taken out of 12:33   12 NFPA, so that's how you can view the codes online.
13 context. This is one instance, but this isn't 12:33 13 If you want to purchase the codes, you have other 13
14 accurate. 12:33 14 access to the codes. 12:36
15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:33 15 Q. But if you want to view the codes, do you 12:
16 Q. Oh. You don't believe that strike that. 12:33 16 know whether they're strike that. 12:36
When this report came in March of 2016, were 12:33 17 Short of purchasing the codes, do you know 12:30 12:30 13:30 14:30 15:30
18 you made aware of it? 12:33 18 whether a person can receive strike that. 12:36
19 A. This, I don't know. I don't recall. 12:33 19 Short of purchasing the codes, do you know 12:
20 Q. Have you ever heard of strike that. 12:33 20 whether a person can search the California 12:3
21 Has CBSC, in the past, ever received reports 12:33 21 Residential Code on the ICC website? 12:33
22 of people seeking to access the code and being made 12:33 22 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:3
23 to pay for a premium access? 12:34 23 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 12:37
24 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 12:34 24 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:37
25 THE WITNESS: No. 12:34 25 Q. Have you viewed the California Residential 12
Page 94 Page
1 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:34 1 Code on the ICC website? 12:37
2 Q. Are you aware of anybody in strike that. 12:34 2 A. Yes. 12:37
3 Are you aware of any other members of your 12:34 3 Q. Have you tried to print the California 12:37
4 team at CBSC receiving reports from people seeking to 12:34 4 Residential Code from the ICC website? 12:3
5 access the code that they met a demand to pay for 12:34 5 A. No. 12:37
6 premium access to view the code? 12:34 6 Q. Do you know whether one can print the 12:
7 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 12:34 7 California Residential Code from the ICC website?
8 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 12:34 8 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:.
9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:34 9 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 12:37
10 Q. Are you aware of whether the ICC web 12:34 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:37
11 page requires premium access in order to view the 12:34 11 Q. Have you tried to search the California 12:3'
12 California Residential Code? 12:35 12 Residential Code from the ICC website? 12:35
13 A. It does not. 12:35 13 A. No. 12:37
14 Q. Are you aware of whether the ICC website 12:35 14 Q. Do you know whether one can search the 12
15 places any restrictions on a person's access to the 12:35  15 California Residential Code from the ICC website?
16 California Residential Code? 12:35 16 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:
17 A. I don't know what you mean by "restriction." 12:35 17 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 12:37
18 Q. Do you know whether a person can print the 12:35  18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:37
19 California Residential Code from the ICC website? 12:35 19 Q. Have you tried to extract tests from the 12:37
20 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:35  20 California Residential Code from the ICC website?
21 THE WITNESS: Not aware. 12:35 21 A. No. 12:37
22 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:35 22 Q. Do you know whether one can extract text 12
144 DI MIN DENCEDORAN. 14.33 142 U. DU VOI KHUW WHEHEI DHE CHII EXHACI TEXT 17
23 Q. One way or another? 12:35 23 from the California Residential Code from the ICC

1 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 12:38	1 Q. When did it do so? 12:41
2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:38	2 A. I don't have the specific dates. 12:41
3 Q. Other than viewing the California 12:38	3 Q. What do you recall of that instance? 12:41
4 Residential Code from the ICC website, have you taken 12:38	4 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 12:41
5 any other actions with respect to the California 12:38	5 THE WITNESS: It was a sorry. Okay? 12:41
6 Residential Code on the ICC website? 12:38	6 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:41
7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 12:38	7 Q. Okay. 12:41
8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure what 12:38	8 A. It was displayed on our website, and when 12:41
9 you're asking. 12:38	9 staff realized that that version was displayed on our 12:41
10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:38	10 website, we removed it and posted the appropriate 12:41
11 Q. Have you done anything other than view the 12:38	11 link to the California Electrical Code, the 2016 12:41
12 code strike that. 12:38	12 edition. 12:42
With respect to the California Residential 12:38	13 Q. And the link it was replaced with was this 12:42
14 Code on the ICC website okay. Strike that. 12:38	14 nfpa.org URL; is that correct? 12:42
15 A. Which one? 12:39	15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 12:42
16 Q. We're just starting with a blank slate. 12:39	16 THE WITNESS: I 12:42
17 A. Great. 12:39	17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:42
18 Q. All right. With respect to the California 12:39	18 Q. You don't know one way or 12:42
19 Residential Code that appears on the ICC website, 12:39	19 A. I don't know. Yeah. Was a long time ago. 12:42
20 have you done anything other than view that code? 12:39	20 Q. Why did the Building Standards Commission 12:42
21 A. No. 12:39	21 make the decision to place a searchable PDF file of 12:42
22 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 12:39	22 the California Electrical Code 2016 edition on its 12:42
23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:39	23 website? 12:42
24 Q. I'd like to go back to another attachment of 12:39	24 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for 12:42
25 Exhibit 5, and this is Attachment B, and I just have 12:39	25 speculation. 12:42
Page 98	Page 100
1 a few preliminary questions, and then we can go on 12:39	1 THE WITNESS: It was a mistake by staff. 12:42
2 our lunch break. 12:40	2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:42
3 All right. So do you recognize this 12:40	3 Q. How did the Building Standards Commission 12:42
4 document, Attachment B? 12:40	4 obtain a searchable PDF file of the California 12:42
5 A. Yes. 12:40	5 Electrical Code? 12:43
6 Q. And what is this document? 12:40	6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 12:43
7 A. It appears to be another PRA request dated 12:40	7 THE WITNESS: We have a contract with NFPA 12:43
8 December 9th, 2016. 12:40	8 and BNi, and we receive a searchable version for 12:43
9 Q. And do you see that the second to last 12:40	9 staff only for the production of the next edition of 12:43
10 sentence of the first paragraph reads "On or around 12:40	10 the code. 12:43
11 October 27th and until on or around November 7th, the 12:40	11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:43
12 Building Standards Commission displayed on its 12:40	12 Q. Do you know why the BSC does not keep the 12:43
13 website a searchable PDF file of the California 12:40	13 text of the California Electrical Code on its own 12:43
14 Electric Code 2016 triennial edition"? Do you see 12:41	14 website? 12:43
15 that sentence? 12:41	15 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:43
16 A. Yes. 12:41	16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 12:43
17 Q. Is that sentence accurate? 12:41	17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:43
18 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12:41	18 Q. So you have no idea why the Building 12:43
19 THE WITNESS: It was a long time ago, so I 12:41	19 Standards Commission does not itself keep the text of 12:43
20 don't recall the specifics. 12:41	20 the California Electrical Code on its website? 12:43
21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:41	21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 12:43
22 Q. Are you aware whether the Building Standards 12:41	22 THE WITNESS: It's in our contract that we 12:43
23 Commission has ever displayed a searchable PDF file 12:41	23 are provided a link to the publisher's website to put 12:44
24 of the California Electrical Code on its website? 12:41	24 on our website. 12:44
25 A. Yes. 12:41	25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 12:44
Page 99	Page 101

1 Q. But the BSC itself has a text searchable 12:44	1 that? 01:47
2 version in the form of a PDF file of the California 12:44	2 MR. YEN: Objection. Mischaracterizes 01:47
3 Electrical Code; correct? 12:44	3 testimony. 01:47
4 A. Yes. 12:44	4 THE WITNESS: I recall a conversation about 01:47
5 Q. How does the BSC use that file? 12:44	5 the nine-point criteria, yes. 01:47
6 A. Internally for code development. 12:44	6 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:47
7 Q. And what does that mean? 12:44	7 Q. I think that we have come across and what 01:47
8 A. We are able to clip copy information out if 12:44	8 are the criteria for? 01:47
9 we need to make amendments to the code during the 12:44	9 A. It's the criteria that the State agencies 01:48
10 code development process. 12:44	10 develop the building standards on they must be met 01:4
11 Q. And what is clip copy information? 12:44	11 in order for them well, I'm not articulating well. 01:48
12 A. If there's a specific section that 12:44	12 Sorry. It's in building standards law, and it must 01:48
13 California wants to amend or not California I 12:44	13 be met prior to the commission, bringing it to the 01:48
14 should say the Building Standards Commission, we can 12:44	14 commission for the commission to take action on. 01:48
15 copy that information and make our amendments to it. 12:45	15 Q. And do each of the State agencies apply the 01:48
16 Q. And just one more question, and then we can 12:45	16 same nine-point criteria? 01:48
17 take our lunch break. 12:45	17 A. Yes. 01:48
18 A. Uh-huh. 12:45	18 Q. I believe that we came across the nine-point 01:48
19 Q. You stated earlier that it's in BSC's 12:45	19 criteria over the break, and I'd just like to talk 01:48
20 contract that BSC are provided a link to the 12:45	20 through them and see if you could confirm the 01:48
21 publisher's website. What contract does that refer 12:45	21 accuracy of the findings. Is one of the criteria 01:48
22 to? 12:45	22 whether the proposed building standards do not 01:48
23 A. That's the contract that the Building 12:45	23 conflict with, overlap or duplicate other building 01:48
24 Standards Commission has with the publisher for the 12:45	24 standards? 01:48
25 code development. 12:45	25 A. That sounds accurate, yes. 01:48
Page 102	Page 104
1 1 O. And is there a separate contract for each 12:45	1 O. Is another one of the nine-point criteria 01:49
1 1 Q. And is there a separate contract for each 12:45 2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45	
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46 11 12 MR. YEN: Same objection. 12:46	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49 13 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46 11 12 MR. YEN: Same objection. 12:46 11 12 MR. NERCESSIAN: All right. We can call it 12:46	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49 13 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 14 whether the public interest requires the adoption of 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46 11 12 MR. YEN: Same objection. 12:46 12 13 MR. NERCESSIAN: All right. We can call it 12:46 13 14 lunch. 12:46	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49 13 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 14 whether the public interest requires the adoption of 01:49 15 the building standards? 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46 11 12 MR. YEN: Same objection. 12:46 12 13 MR. NERCESSIAN: All right. We can call it 12:46 13 14 lunch. 12:46 14 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12:46	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49 13 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 14 whether the public interest requires the adoption of 01:49 15 the building standards? 01:49 16 A. It's in the best public interest, yes. 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46 11 12 MR. YEN: Same objection. 12:46 12 13 MR. NERCESSIAN: All right. We can call it 12:46 13 14 lunch. 12:46 14 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12:46 15 16 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're off the 12:46	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49 13 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 14 whether the public interest requires the adoption of 01:49 15 the building standards? 01:49 16 A. It's in the best public interest, yes. 01:49 17 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46 11 12 MR. YEN: Same objection. 12:46 12 13 MR. NERCESSIAN: All right. We can call it 12:46 13 14 lunch. 12:46 14 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12:46 15 16 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're off the 12:46 16 12:46 17 record. It's .	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49 13 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 14 whether the public interest requires the adoption of 01:49 15 the building standards? 01:49 16 A. It's in the best public interest, yes. 01:49 17 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 18 proposed building standards are not unreasonable, 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46 11 12 MR. YEN: Same objection. 12:46 12 13 MR. NERCESSIAN: All right. We can call it 12:46 13 14 lunch. 12:46 14 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12:46 15 16 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're off the 12:46 16 12:46 17 record. It's .	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49 13 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 14 whether the public interest requires the adoption of 01:49 15 the building standards? 01:49 16 A. It's in the best public interest, yes. 01:49 17 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 18 proposed building standards are not unreasonable, 01:49 19 arbitrary, unfair or capricious in whole or in part? 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46 11 12 MR. YEN: Same objection. 12:46 12 13 MR. NERCESSIAN: All right. We can call it 12:46 13 14 lunch. 12:46 14 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12:46 15 16 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're off the 12:46 16 12:46 17 record. It's . 17 12:46 18 18 (Noon recess taken) 12:46	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49 13 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 14 whether the public interest requires the adoption of 01:49 15 the building standards? 01:49 16 A. It's in the best public interest, yes. 01:49 17 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 18 proposed building standards are not unreasonable, 01:49 19 arbitrary, unfair or capricious in whole or in part? 01:49 20 A. Yes. 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46 11 12 MR. YEN: Same objection. 12:46 12 13 MR. NERCESSIAN: All right. We can call it 12:46 13 14 lunch. 12:46 14 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12:46 15 16 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're off the 12:46 16 12:46 17 record. It's . 17 12:46 18 18 (Noon recess taken) 12:46 19 19 VIDEO OPERATOR: We're back on the record. 01:47	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49 13 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 14 whether the public interest requires the adoption of 01:49 15 the building standards? 01:49 16 A. It's in the best public interest, yes. 01:49 17 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 18 proposed building standards are not unreasonable, 01:49 19 arbitrary, unfair or capricious in whole or in part? 01:49 20 A. Yes. 01:49 21 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46 11 12 MR. YEN: Same objection. 12:46 12 13 MR. NERCESSIAN: All right. We can call it 12:46 13 14 lunch. 12:46 14 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12:46 15 16 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're off the 12:46 16 12:46 17 record. It's . 17 12:46 18 18 (Noon recess taken) 12:46 19 19 VIDEO OPERATOR: We're back on the record. 01:47 20 20 It's 1:47. 01:47	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49 13 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 14 whether the public interest requires the adoption of 01:49 15 the building standards? 01:49 16 A. It's in the best public interest, yes. 01:49 17 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 18 proposed building standards are not unreasonable, 01:49 19 arbitrary, unfair or capricious in whole or in part? 01:49 20 A. Yes. 01:49 21 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 22 whether the cost to the public is reasonable based on 01:50
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46 11 12 MR. YEN: Same objection. 12:46 12 13 MR. NERCESSIAN: All right. We can call it 12:46 13 14 lunch. 12:46 14 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12:46 15 16 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're off the 12:46 16 12:46 17 record. It's . 17 12:46 18 18 (Noon recess taken) 12:46 19 19 VIDEO OPERATOR: We're back on the record. 01:47 20 20 It's 1:47. 01:47 21 21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:47	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49 13 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 14 whether the public interest requires the adoption of 01:49 15 the building standards? 01:49 16 A. It's in the best public interest, yes. 01:49 17 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 18 proposed building standards are not unreasonable, 01:49 19 arbitrary, unfair or capricious in whole or in part? 01:49 20 A. Yes. 01:49 21 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 22 whether the cost to the public is reasonable based on 01:50 23 the overall benefit to be derived from the building 01:50
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46 11 12 MR. YEN: Same objection. 12:46 12 13 MR. NERCESSIAN: All right. We can call it 12:46 13 14 lunch. 12:46 14 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12:46 15 16 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're off the 12:46 16 12:46 17 record. It's . 17 12:46 18 18 (Noon recess taken) 12:46 19 19 VIDEO OPERATOR: We're back on the record. 01:47 20 20 It's 1:47. 01:47 21 21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:47 22 22 Q. So earlier in the day, we were discussing 01:47	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49 13 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 14 whether the public interest requires the adoption of 01:49 15 the building standards? 01:49 16 A. It's in the best public interest, yes. 01:49 17 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 18 proposed building standards are not unreasonable, 01:49 19 arbitrary, unfair or capricious in whole or in part? 01:49 20 A. Yes. 01:49 21 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 22 whether the cost to the public is reasonable based on 01:50
2 edition of the California Electrical Code that the 12:45 2 3 BSC develops? 12:45 3 4 A. Yes. Every two years or four years, we 12:45 4 5 enter into a new contract with the publishers. 12:45 5 6 Q. So does that contract prohibit California or 12:45 6 7 the BSC from providing the text of the California 12:46 7 8 Electrical Code to the public on its website? 12:46 8 9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 12:46 9 10 legal conclusion. 12:46 10 11 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. 12:46 11 12 MR. YEN: Same objection. 12:46 12 13 MR. NERCESSIAN: All right. We can call it 12:46 13 14 lunch. 12:46 14 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12:46 15 16 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're off the 12:46 16 12:46 17 record. It's . 17 12:46 18 18 (Noon recess taken) 12:46 19 19 VIDEO OPERATOR: We're back on the record. 01:47 20 20 It's 1:47. 01:47 21 21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:47 22 22 Q. So earlier in the day, we were discussing 01:47 23 23 the nine-point criteria analysis that CBSC and State 01:47	2 whether the proposed building standards are within 01:49 3 the parameters established by enabling legislation 01:49 4 and are not expressly within the exclusive 01:49 5 jurisdiction of another agency? 01:49 6 A. Yes. 01:49 7 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 8 public interest requires the adoption of the building 01:49 9 standards? 01:49 10 A. I'm sorry, you'd have to repeat that one. 01:49 11 Q. Yes. 01:49 12 A. I didn't hear it. I'm sorry. 01:49 13 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 14 whether the public interest requires the adoption of 01:49 15 the building standards? 01:49 16 A. It's in the best public interest, yes. 01:49 17 Q. Is another one of the criteria whether the 01:49 18 proposed building standards are not unreasonable, 01:49 19 arbitrary, unfair or capricious in whole or in part? 01:49 20 A. Yes. 01:49 21 Q. Is another of the nine-point criteria 01:49 22 whether the cost to the public is reasonable based on 01:50 23 the overall benefit to be derived from the building 01:50

1 Q. Is one of the criteria whether the proposed 01:50	1 A. That's kind of a broad question. 01:53
2 building standards are not unnecessarily vague or 01:50	2 Q. I can rephrase it. What was your role in 01:53
3 ambiguous in whole or in part? 01:50	3 negotiating this agreement? 01:53
4 A. Yes. 01:50	4 A. Reviewing the document. 01:53
5 Q. Is another of the criteria whether the 01:50	5 Q. Did you participate in the negotiations of 01:53
6 applicable national specifications, public standards 01:50	6 this agreement in any other way? 01:53
7 and model codes have been incorporated therein as 01:50	7 A. I don't know what you mean by "negotiation." 01:53
8 provided in this part where appropriate? 01:50	8 I reviewed the document. 01:53
9 A. Yes. 01:50	9 Q. Did you propose edits to the document? 01:53
10 Q. Is another of the criteria whether the 01:50	10 A. Yes, some. 01:54
11 format of the proposed building standards is 01:50	11 Q. Which ones? Strike that. 01:54
12 consistent with that adopted by the commission? 01:50	Which edits did you propose to the document? 01:54
13 A. Yes. 01:50	13 MR. YEN: Caution you not to reveal any 01:54
	14 communications you had with your attorney. 01:54
14 Q. Is another of the criteria whether the 01:50	
15 proposed building standards, if they promote fire and 01:50	, ,
16 panic safety as determined by the State Fire Marshal, 01:51	16 recall because it was quite some time ago. 01:54
17 have the written approval of the State Fire Marshal? 01:51	17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:54
18 A. Yes. 01:51	18 Q. What is the maximum amount of this 01:54
19 Q. Can you think of any criteria other than 01:51	19 agreement? 01:54
20 these that I went through that comprise the 01:51	20 A. I don't know off the top of my head. It's a 01:54
21 nine-point criteria we were discussing previously? 01:51	21 zero dollar contract as far as I know. 01:54
22 A. I can't think of any others, no. 01:51	22 Q. And why is it a zero dollar contract? 01:54
23 Q. Do you recognize do any of the criteria 01:51	23 A. It's how we enter into a contract with the 01:54
24 apply to the adoption of the NEC into the CEC? 01:51	24 publishers. 01:54
25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 01:51 Page 106	25 Q. What does it mean that it's a zero dollar 01:54 Page 108
1 legal conclusion. 01:51	1 contract? 01:54
2 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 01:51	2 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for a legal 01:54
3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:51	3 conclusion. 01:54
4 Q. All right. So we were previously discussing 01:51	4 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 01:54
5 contracts that the California Building Standards 01:52	5 I'm sorry, I can't answer that. I don't 01:54
6 Commission has with various publishers; do you recall 01:52	6 know. 01:54
7 that? 01:52	7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:55
8 A. Yes. 01:52	8 Q. Does the contract itself state anywhere that 01:55
9 Q. Let's mark this exhibit next in order. I 01:52	9 it's a zero dollar contract? 01:55
10 believe we're at 7. 01:52	10 A. I don't know. The front page does. 01:55
11 (Exhibit 7 marked) 01:52	11 Q. And where is that? 01:55
12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:52	12 A. On item three. 01:55
13 Q. You have been handed Exhibit 7. Do you 01:52	13 Q. Like to call your attention to Section 4-A 01:55
14 recognize this document? 01:52	14 of the contract. 4-A of Exhibit A. My apologies. 01:55
15 A. Yes. 01:52	15 Do you see that section? 01:55
16 Q. And what is this document? 01:52	16 A. Is that about the third page in? 01:55
17 A. Appears to be a Standard Agreement between 01:52	17 Q. Yes. 01:55
18 the Division of excuse me Department of General 01:53	18 A. Okay. 01:55
19 Services, California Building Standards Commission, 01:53	19 Q. This provision reflects a license grant from 01:55
20 and NFPA, National Fire Protection Association. 01:53	20 NFPA to CBSC; is that correct? 01:55
21 Q. And here at the bottom of the page, there's 01:53	21 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for a legal 01:56
22 a signature. Is that yours? 01:53	22 conclusion. 01:56
23 A. Yes. 01:53	23 THE WITNESS: I'd have to read the whole 01:56
24 Q. What do you recall about the negotiation of 01:53	24 thing. 01:56
25 this document? 01:53	25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:56
Page 107	Page 109

1 Q. I'd like to just focus on the language that 01:56	1 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:59
2 states "NFPA hereby grants CBSC a nonexclusive, 01:56	2 Q. Do you have any understanding of what this 01:59
3 nontransferrable sublicense to use and copy all or 01:56	3 contract allows the NFPA to do? 01:59
4 any portion of the 2014 NEC, NFPA supplements and 01:56	4 MR. YEN: Same objection. 01:59
5 revisions to the 2014 NEC (hereinafter referred to as 01:56	5 THE WITNESS: It's just a broad 01:59
6 'licensed property'), solely to create and publish 01:56	6 understanding that we are allowed to utilize the most 01:59
7 the 2016 CEC." Do you see that language? 01:56	7 recent edition of the model code to level the next 01:59
8 A. I see it. 01:56	8 edition of the California codes. 01:59
9 Q. What do you understand that language to 01:56	9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:59
10 allow CBSC to do? 01:56	10 Q. And do you have any understanding of what 01:59
11 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for a legal 01:56	11 the contract allows the NFPA to do with respect to 01:59
12 conclusion. 01:56	12 the 2016 California Electrical Code? 01:59
13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's also 01:56	13 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for a legal 01:59
14 incomplete. You left out the rest of the sentence. 01:56	14 conclusion. 01:59
15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:57	15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure I follow 01:59
16 Q. "Hereinafter" do you have any 01:57	16 your question. 01:59
17 understanding of what this language in the contract 01:57	17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:00
18 means? 01:57	18 Q. So you have no understanding of what the 02:00
19 MR. YEN: Same objection. 01:57	19 contract allows the NFPA to do concerning the 2016 02:00
20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Same objection as well. 01:57	20 California Electrical Code? 02:00
21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. It was a long time ago, 01:57	21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That 02:00
22 so I don't recall. 01:57	22 mischaracterizes her testimony. 02:00
23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:57	23 MR. YEN: Same objection and calls for a 02:00
24 Q. Do you have any understanding of what CBSC 01:57	24 legal conclusion. 02:00
25 does to effectuate the commitments of this contract? 01:57 Page 110	25 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know. 02:00 Page 112
1 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 01:57	1 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:00
2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Calls for a legal 01:57	2 Q. Here on Section 5, paragraph two, I want to 02:00
3 conclusion. 01:57	3 call your attention to this sentence that reads 02:00
4 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'd have to review it. 01:57	4 "Special supplements for minor or inconsequential 02:00
5 I don't know off the top of my head. 01:57	5 errors and/or changes shall be posted to the NFPA 02:00
6 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:57	6 website and shall be provided to CBSC for posting on 02:00
7 Q. Why does CBSC negotiate these contracts for 01:57	7 CBSC's website in a read-only format." Do you see 02:00
8 each triennial edition of the code? 01:57	8 that language? 02:00
9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 01:57	9 A. I actually didn't follow where you're 02:00
10 legal conclusion. 01:57	10 pointing to. 02:00
11 THE WITNESS: In order to use the model code 01:57	11 Q. Section 5-A-2. And I can read the language 02:00
12 every two years. 01:57	12 again if it will help. 02:01
13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 01:58	13 A. I see the language, yes. 02:01
14 Q. And how does CBSC use the code every two 01:58	14 Q. To your knowledge, has the NFPA ever 02:01
15 years pursuant to a contract like this? 01:58	15 provided to CBSC a special supplement for minor or 02:01
16 A. We use it per the building standards law to 01:58	16 inconsequential errors? 02:01
17 adopt for the State agencies to review and adopt 01:58	17 A. I don't recall. 02:01
18 the most recent model code on a triennial basis. 01:58	18 Q. To your knowledge, has CBSC ever posted in 02:01
19 Q. What is your understanding of what NFPA 01:58	19 read-only format on its website a special supplement 02:01
20 receives in return to allowing CBSC to use the model 01:58	20 for minor or inconsequential errors? 02:01
25 15551765 III Tetarii to anowing CD5C to ase the model 01.56	20 10. Annot of meonoequential errors. 02.01
21 code to create a triennial version of its code? 01.58	21 A Ves 02.01
21 code to create a triennial version of its code? 01:58  22 MR YEN: Objection Calls for a legal 01:58	21 A. Yes. 02:01 22 O. Under what circumstances? 02:01
22 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for a legal 01:58	22 Q. Under what circumstances? 02:01
22 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for a legal 01:58 23 conclusion. 01:58	22 Q. Under what circumstances? 02:01 23 A. There are what's called an errata so if 02:02
22 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for a legal 01:58	22 Q. Under what circumstances? 02:01

1 pages I'm sorry there are errata pages 02:02	1 A. No. 02:05
2 provided, and those help the code user know that this 02:02	2 Q. And how does CBSC effectuate that agreement? 02:05
3 is a minor error in the code, and it's published on a 02:02	3 A. I'm not following the question. 02:05
4 different piece of paper, a color buff paper, and 02:02	4 Q. I can rephrase it. What steps does CBSC 02:06
5 that tells the code user there's, you know, like a 02:02	5 take, when developing the California Electrical Code, 02:06
6 numbering error or grammatical error. 02:02	6 to track whether language is adopted or not? 02:06
7 Q. What are other examples of minor or 02:02	7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:06
8 inconsequential errors that CBSC has corrected in the 02:02	8 THE WITNESS: Well, we spoke to the code 02:06
9 past? 02:02	9 adoption process earlier. So if a State agency 02:06
MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:02	10 doesn't adopt a specific section, it's shown in 02:06
11 THE WITNESS: That it might not be a CBSC 02:02	11 strikeout, and that information is forwarded to the 02:06
12 error, it might be it could be a model code error, 02:02	12 publisher, and then the publisher publishes it based 02:06
13 it could be a printing error, it could be yeah. 02:02	13 on the agreement that we have with them in the 02:06
14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:03	14 contract. 02:06
15 Q. Any other examples? 02:03	15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:06
16 A. Not that I can think of. 02:03	16 Q. When a State agency is considering whether 02:06
17 Q. And these errata are posted to the CBSC 02:03	17 to adopt a specific section of the model code, does 02:06
18 website itself? 02:03	18 it always start with the model code itself? 02:06
19 A. I couldn't tell you. I don't know if it's 02:03	19 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:07
20 from the NFPA website or the BNi website or our 02:03	20 THE WITNESS: I can't I don't have an 02:07
21 website. I don't know off the top of my head. 02:03	21 answer for you on that one. 02:07
22 Q. Where on the CBSC website does CBSC provide 02:03	22 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:07
23 information about this errata? 02:03	23 Q. I want to call your attention to Section 6-G 02:07
24 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:03	24 at the bottom of the page, and I just want to 02:07
25 THE WITNESS: On the codes page that you 02:03	25 highlight this language that states "NFPA and/or 02:07
Page 114	Page 116
1 referred to. 02:03	1 authorized agency and licensee shall thereafter 02:07
2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:03	2 publish and make available for sale to the State of 02:07
3 Q. The codes page that we previously 02:03	3 California." Do you see that language? 02:07
4 reviewed 02:03	4 A. Yes. 02:07
5 A. Yes. 02:03	5 Q. Is it accurate that the NFPA makes available 02:07
6 Q in this case? I want to call your 02:03	6 copies of the code for sale to the State of 02:07
7 attention to Section 6-B of this contract, and do you 02:04	7 California? 02:08
8 see this language which states "All those portions of 02:04	8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 02:08
9 the licensed property, be it chapters, sections, 02:04	9 THE WITNESS: The Building Standards 02:08
10 provisions, tables, appendices, reference, etc., 02:04	10 Commission can also purchase the code, if they so 02:08
11 which CBSC or any authorized agency does not adopt as 02:04	11 choose, as can other State agencies that need to use 02:08
12 part of Title 24, shall be shown with a strike 02:04	12 the electrical code if they so choose. 02:08
13 through the language in the code. NFPA will show 02:04	13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:08
14 non-adoptive language in legislated (strike through) 02:04	14 Q. So if a State agency needs to use the 02:08
15 text and will note as not adopted by the State on 02:05	15 California Electrical Code, they need to purchase the 02:08
16 each page." Do you see that language? 02:05	16 California Electrical Code from the NFPA? 02:08
17 A. Uh-huh. Yes. Sorry. 02:05	MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:08
18 Q. Why not just omit deleted language 02:05	18 THE WITNESS: It's a choice. 02:08
19 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:05	19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:08
20 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:05	20 Q. What other choices are available to a State 02:08
21 Q from a model code? 02:05	21 agency that needs to use the code? 02:08
22 A. That's just the agreement that we have with 02:05	22 A. It's available online through our website to 02:08
23 NFPA. 02:05	23 the publisher's website for free. There are local 02:08
24 Q. Do you understand any reason for that 02:05	24 law libraries that have copies of the code, and local 02:08
25 agreement? 02:05	1
Page 115	25 jurisdictions also make available the code for free. 02:09  Page 117

1 Q. Why might a State agency need to use a code 02:09	1 THE WITNESS: I did both. 02:11
2 such as the California Electrical Code? 02:09	2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:11
3 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:09	3 Q. And when accessing it online strike that. 02:11
4 MR. NERCESSIAN: I can rephrase. 02:09	4 A. Can I correct my last statement? 02:12
5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:09	5 Q. Sure. 02:12
6 Q. Are you aware of any reason why a State 02:09	6 A. I personally did not purchase the code. 02:12
7 agency might need to use a code such as the 02:09	7 Q. When you purchased the code, did you receive 02:12
8 California Electrical Code? 02:09	8 any additional access privileges online? 02:12
9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 02:09	9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 02:12
10 THE WITNESS: When I worked at the 02:09	10 Mischaracterizes. 02:12
11 Department of General Services, in my architectural 02:09	THE WITNESS: I personally didn't access the 02:12
12 associate capacity, we had to use the codes to design 02:09	12 code. I mean I'm sorry. 02:12
13 and construct state buildings or leased facilities, 02:09	13 And I apologize. 02:12
14 and we would use the codes, and the Department of 02:09	MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Oh, no. That's all 02:12
15 General Services would purchase the codes for the 02:09	15 right. 02:12
16 staff members to use. 02:09	THE WITNESS: I personally didn't purchase 02:12
17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:09	17 the code. That's what I corrected in my earlier 02:12
18 Q. And is it possible to design and construct 02:09	18 statement. I apologize. 02:12
19 those State buildings or leased facilities without 02:09	19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:12
20 reviewing the code? 02:10	20 Q. Yes. Who did purchase the code? 02:12
21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for 02:10	21 A. The company that I worked for. 02:12
22 speculation. 02:10	22 Q. And what was that? 02:12
23 THE WITNESS: Well, a licensed professional 02:10	23 A. It was Department of General Services. 02:12
24 would not do that because you have to follow the 02:10	Q. And with the department's purchase of the 02:12
25 code. It's possible not to purchase it. It's 02:10	25 code, were there any additional online access 02:12
Page 118	Page 120
1 possible to go online and use the code. 02:10	1 privileges that you received? 02:12
2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:10	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's vague. 02:12
3 Q. But a licensed professional working in an 02:10	3 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware that they 02:12
4 architectural capacity needs to consult the code 02:10	4 purchased an online access version. I review it 02:12
5 before they can design and construct a building? 02:10	5 online through what was available online. 02:12
6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for 02:10	6 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:13
7 speculation. 02:10	7 Q. To your knowledge, what local jurisdictions 02:13
8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:10	8 make the California Electrical Code available for 02:13
9 Q. Is that true? 02:10	9 free? 02:13
10 A. I can't speak for all licensed 02:10	10 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:13
11 professionals. I can only speak for myself. 02:10	11 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 02:13
12 Q. In your experience as a licensed 02:10	12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:13
13 professional, you reviewed the code in designing and 02:10	13 Q. Your previous testimony stated that local 02:13
14 constructing the buildings you built? 02:10	14 jurisdictions also make available the code for free. 02:13
15 A. Yes. 02:10	15 Do you know of any? 02:13
16 Q. Why did General Services purchase the code 02:10	16 A. I can't speak to any off the top of my head, 02:13
17 instead of seeing it online? 02:11	17 but that is part of building standards law. 02:13
18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for 02:11	18 Q. Can you identify one? 02:13
19 speculation. 02:11	19 A. I've personally not gone to a local 02:13
20 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that. I don't 02:11	20 jurisdiction and asked for it, so I can't verify 02:14
21 know. 02:11	21 that. 02:14
22 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:11	22 Q. Have you ever gone to a law library that 02:14
23 Q. In your past experience reviewing the code, 02:11	23 maintains the code? 02:14
24 did you purchase a copy, or did you review it online? 02:11	24 A. I have not. 02:14
25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:11	25 Q. Are you aware, off the top of your head, of 02:14
Page 119	Page 121

1 the closest law library that would maintain a version 02:14	1 broad of a question for me to be able to answer. 02:17
2 of the code? 02:14	2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:17
3 A. I don't know. 02:14	3 Q. Can you name one significant effect that the 02:17
4 Q. When you say that local jurisdictions may 02:14	4 code has on the state's built environment and its 02:17
5 make available versions of the code for free, what 02:14	5 citizens? 02:17
6 types of jurisdictions are you referring to? 02:14	6 A. I would say fire issues. 02:17
7 MR. YEN: Objection. Mischaracterizes 02:14	7 Q. Because the code addresses fire issues? 02:17
8 previous testimony. 02:14	8 A. Correct. 02:18
9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:14	9 Q. Does the code address any other safety 02:18
10 Q. Do local I can rephrase it. 02:14	10 issues? 02:18
Do local jurisdictions include cities? 02:14	11 A. Yes. 02:18
12 A. My reference was to and this is a broad 02:15	12 Q. What's another safety issue that the code 02:18
13 statement because they're called different things in 02:15	13 addresses? 02:18
14 different local jurisdictions, would be a local 02:15	14 A. Structural, mechanical performance, 02:18
15 building department, if you will, but that could be a 02:15	15 accessibility, concrete strength. 02:18
16 broad statement. 02:15	16 Q. Anything else? 02:18
17 Q. Is that because a local building department 02:15	17 A. There's probably too many for I mean 02:18
18 might be for a county or a city or subdivision, and 02:15	18 that's all I can think of at the time. 02:18
19 it just depends? 02:15	19 Q. What do you mean by "fire issues"? 02:18
20 A. That's correct. 02:15	20 A. So fire safety issues, so egress of 02:18
21 Q. Are you aware whether any of those local 02:15	21 buildings, combustibility of products. 02:18
22 building departments get the code for free? 02:15	22 Q. With respect to egress of buildings, does 02:19
23 A. Not aware. I don't know. 02:15	23 the code require that certain types of buildings be 02:19
24 Q. Don't know one way or another? 02:15	24 built in certain ways with respect to means of exit? 02:19
25 A. I do not know. 02:15	25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:19
Page 122	Page 124
1 Q. Does CBSC take any steps to give those local 02:15	1 THE WITNESS: That's a very broad question. 02:19
2 building departments access to the code? 02:16	2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:19
3 A. I don't know what you mean by "access." We 02:16	3 Q. Does the code contain any requirements with 02:19
4 have the viewable format on our website. They can 02:16	4 respect to egress of buildings? 02:19
5 view it like anyone else. 02:16	5 A. Yes. 02:19
6 Q. By clicking through on the links to the 02:16	6 Q. What might be one example of such a 02:19
7 publishers' websites? 02:16	7 requirement? 02:19
8 A. Correct. Uh-huh. 02:16	8 A. The width of exit corridors. 02:19
9 Q. I want to call your attention to Section 02:16	9 Q. Any others? 02:19
10 H-1, and this one contains the heading "Time is of 02:16	10 A. Fire sprinkler issues, installation of fire 02:19
11 the Essence" and contains the statement "Because the 02:16	11 sprinklers. 02:20
12 code may have significant effects on the safety of 02:16	12 Q. Are you aware of any consequences that might 02:20
13 the state's built environment and its citizens, time 02:16	13 flow from not having an exit corridor that is as wide 02:20
14 is of the essence in performing the herein duties." 02:16	14 as the code prescribes? 02:20
15 Would you agree with that statement? 02:16	15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:20
16 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:17	16 THE WITNESS: That's a broad question. 02:20
17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean I agree with it, 02:17	10 1111 WITTEDS. That's a bload question. 02.20
	17 Sorry 02:20
18 ves 02·17	17 Sorry. 02:20 18 BY MR NERCESSIAN: 02:20
18 yes. 02:17	18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:20
19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:17	18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:20 19 Q. What might happen if strike that. 02:20
19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:17 20 Q. What significant effects does the code have 02:17	18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:20 19 Q. What might happen if strike that. 02:20 20 What might happen if an exit corridor is not 02:20
19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:17 20 Q. What significant effects does the code have 02:17 21 on the safety of the state's built environment and 02:17	18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:20 19 Q. What might happen if strike that. 02:20 20 What might happen if an exit corridor is not 02:20 21 as strike that. 02:20
19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:17 20 Q. What significant effects does the code have 02:17 21 on the safety of the state's built environment and 02:17 22 its citizens? 02:17	18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:20 19 Q. What might happen if strike that. 02:20 20 What might happen if an exit corridor is not 02:20 21 as strike that. 02:20 22 What consequences might a builder see if the 02:20
19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:17 20 Q. What significant effects does the code have 02:17 21 on the safety of the state's built environment and 02:17 22 its citizens? 02:17 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:17	18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:20  19 Q. What might happen if strike that. 02:20  20 What might happen if an exit corridor is not 02:20  21 as strike that. 02:20  22 What consequences might a builder see if the 02:20  23 width of an exit corridor is not compliant with what 02:21
19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:17 20 Q. What significant effects does the code have 02:17 21 on the safety of the state's built environment and 02:17 22 its citizens? 02:17 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:17 24 foundation. 02:17	18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:20  19 Q. What might happen if strike that. 02:20  20 What might happen if an exit corridor is not 02:20  21 as strike that. 02:20  22 What consequences might a builder see if the 02:20  23 width of an exit corridor is not compliant with what 02:21  24 the code prescribes? 02:21
19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:17 20 Q. What significant effects does the code have 02:17 21 on the safety of the state's built environment and 02:17 22 its citizens? 02:17 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:17	18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:20  19 Q. What might happen if strike that. 02:20  20 What might happen if an exit corridor is not 02:20  21 as strike that. 02:20  22 What consequences might a builder see if the 02:20  23 width of an exit corridor is not compliant with what 02:21

1 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 02:21	1 codes. 02:23
2 THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's too broad of a 02:21	2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23
3 question for me. Sorry. 02:21	3 Q. Do those agencies include, for instance, the 02:23
4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:21	4 Department of Housing and Community Development? 02:24
5 Q. You can still answer the question, though. 02:21	5 A. They may have for some, but as far as I 02:24
6 A. I don't have an answer for you, though. I 02:21	6 know, they delegate that to the local jurisdictions 02:24
7 don't I don't know. 02:21	7 for enforcement of housing. 02:24
8 Q. Are you aware of what any consequences might 02:21	8 Q. And when you say "local jurisdictions," you 02:24
9 be if the width of an exit corridor is not compliant 02:21	9 mean local building departments as we previously 02:24
10 with what the code prescribes? 02:21	10 discussed? 02:24
11 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:21	11 A. Yes, the broad definition of a local 02:24
12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Calls for a legal 02:21	12 building department, yes. 02:24
13 conclusion. Objection. 02:21	13 Q. Do you know whether the Division of the 02:24
14 THE WITNESS: All I'm familiar with is that 02:21	14 State Architect plays any role in enforcing 02:24
15 you have to have a certain amount of distance in a 02:21	15 compliance with the California Building Codes? 02:24
16 corridor for a certain amount of occupant load. I 02:21	16 A. For State buildings, they do. 02:24
17 can't speculate on what would happen if it wasn't 02:22	17 Q. Do you know what kind of enforcement actions 02:24
18 there, if it wasn't available. 02:22	18 they might take? 02:24
19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22	19 A. I do not. 02:24
20 Q. So you have no knowledge or awareness of 02:22	20 Q. Do you know whether the office of the State 02:24
21 what might happen if a building design didn't comply? 02:22	21 Fire Marshal takes any actions to enforce compliance 02:24
22 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation 02:22	22 with the California Building Codes? 02:25
23 and form. 02:22	23 A. I apologize. What was the last State agency 02:25
24 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: And calls for a legal 02:22	24 you requested? I thought that was what we were 02:25
25 conclusion. 02:22	25 talking about. 02:25
Page 126	Page 128
1 THE WITNESS: It's a broad question that I 02:22	1 O It was the Division of State Architect 02:25
1 THE WITNESS: It's a broad question that I 02:22	1 Q. It was the Division of State Architect. 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23 13 Q. You can't name one possible consequence? 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25 13 service buildings? 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23 13 Q. You can't name one possible consequence? 02:23 14 A. No. 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25 13 service buildings? 02:25 14 A. No. 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23 13 Q. You can't name one possible consequence? 02:23 14 A. No. 02:23 15 Q. Does the California Building Standards 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25 13 service buildings? 02:25 14 A. No. 02:25 15 Q. Do you know whether the Office of the State 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23 13 Q. You can't name one possible consequence? 02:23 14 A. No. 02:23 15 Q. Does the California Building Standards 02:23 16 Commission play any role in monitoring compliance 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25 13 service buildings? 02:25 14 A. No. 02:25 15 Q. Do you know whether the Office of the State 02:25 16 Fire Marshal takes any actions to enforce compliance 02:25
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23 13 Q. You can't name one possible consequence? 02:23 14 A. No. 02:23 15 Q. Does the California Building Standards 02:23 16 Commission play any role in monitoring compliance 02:23 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25 13 service buildings? 02:25 14 A. No. 02:25 15 Q. Do you know whether the Office of the State 02:25 16 Fire Marshal takes any actions to enforce compliance 02:25 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:26
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23 13 Q. You can't name one possible consequence? 02:23 14 A. No. 02:23 15 Q. Does the California Building Standards 02:23 16 Commission play any role in monitoring compliance 02:23 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:23 18 A. No. We are not an enforcement agency. 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25 13 service buildings? 02:25 14 A. No. 02:25 15 Q. Do you know whether the Office of the State 02:25 16 Fire Marshal takes any actions to enforce compliance 02:25 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:26 18 A. I don't know what they do. I don't know 02:26
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23 13 Q. You can't name one possible consequence? 02:23 14 A. No. 02:23 15 Q. Does the California Building Standards 02:23 16 Commission play any role in monitoring compliance 02:23 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:23 18 A. No. We are not an enforcement agency. 02:23 19 Q. What enforcement agencies are you aware of 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25 13 service buildings? 02:25 14 A. No. 02:25 15 Q. Do you know whether the Office of the State 02:25 16 Fire Marshal takes any actions to enforce compliance 02:25 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:26 18 A. I don't know what they do. I don't know 02:26 19 what they do in terms of enforcement, but they do 02:26
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23 13 Q. You can't name one possible consequence? 02:23 14 A. No. 02:23 15 Q. Does the California Building Standards 02:23 16 Commission play any role in monitoring compliance 02:23 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:23 18 A. No. We are not an enforcement agency. 02:23 19 Q. What enforcement agencies are you aware of 02:23 20 that monitor compliance with the California Building 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25 13 service buildings? 02:25 14 A. No. 02:25 15 Q. Do you know whether the Office of the State 02:25 16 Fire Marshal takes any actions to enforce compliance 02:25 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:26 18 A. I don't know what they do. I don't know 02:26 19 what they do in terms of enforcement, but they do 02:26 20 Q. Do you know whether they enforce? 02:26
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23 13 Q. You can't name one possible consequence? 02:23 14 A. No. 02:23 15 Q. Does the California Building Standards 02:23 16 Commission play any role in monitoring compliance 02:23 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:23 18 A. No. We are not an enforcement agency. 02:23 19 Q. What enforcement agencies are you aware of 02:23 20 that monitor compliance with the California Building 02:23 21 Codes? 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25 13 service buildings? 02:25 14 A. No. 02:25 15 Q. Do you know whether the Office of the State 02:25 16 Fire Marshal takes any actions to enforce compliance 02:25 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:26 18 A. I don't know what they do. I don't know 02:26 19 what they do in terms of enforcement, but they do 02:26 20 Q. Do you know whether they enforce? 02:26 21 A. Yes, for State building. 02:26
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23 13 Q. You can't name one possible consequence? 02:23 14 A. No. 02:23 15 Q. Does the California Building Standards 02:23 16 Commission play any role in monitoring compliance 02:23 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:23 18 A. No. We are not an enforcement agency. 02:23 19 Q. What enforcement agencies are you aware of 02:23 20 that monitor compliance with the California Building 02:23 21 Codes? 02:23 22 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25 13 service buildings? 02:25 14 A. No. 02:25 15 Q. Do you know whether the Office of the State 02:25 16 Fire Marshal takes any actions to enforce compliance 02:25 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:26 18 A. I don't know what they do. I don't know 02:26 19 what they do in terms of enforcement, but they do 02:26 20 Q. Do you know whether they enforce? 02:26 21 A. Yes, for State buildings? 02:26
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23 13 Q. You can't name one possible consequence? 02:23 14 A. No. 02:23 15 Q. Does the California Building Standards 02:23 16 Commission play any role in monitoring compliance 02:23 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:23 18 A. No. We are not an enforcement agency. 02:23 19 Q. What enforcement agencies are you aware of 02:23 20 that monitor compliance with the California Building 02:23 21 Codes? 02:23 22 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:23 23 THE WITNESS: There's various State agencies 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25 13 service buildings? 02:25 14 A. No. 02:25 15 Q. Do you know whether the Office of the State 02:25 16 Fire Marshal takes any actions to enforce compliance 02:25 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:26 18 A. I don't know what they do. I don't know 02:26 19 what they do in terms of enforcement, but they do 02:26 20 Q. Do you know whether they enforce? 02:26 21 A. Yes, for State buildings? 02:26 22 Q. For State buildings? 02:26 23 A. Yes. 02:26
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23 13 Q. You can't name one possible consequence? 02:23 14 A. No. 02:23 15 Q. Does the California Building Standards 02:23 16 Commission play any role in monitoring compliance 02:23 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:23 18 A. No. We are not an enforcement agency. 02:23 19 Q. What enforcement agencies are you aware of 02:23 20 that monitor compliance with the California Building 02:23 21 Codes? 02:23 22 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:23 23 THE WITNESS: There's various State agencies 02:23 24 that have authority to enforce the codes, and then 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25 13 service buildings? 02:25 14 A. No. 02:25 15 Q. Do you know whether the Office of the State 02:25 16 Fire Marshal takes any actions to enforce compliance 02:25 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:26 18 A. I don't know what they do. I don't know 02:26 19 what they do in terms of enforcement, but they do 02:26 20 Q. Do you know whether they enforce? 02:26 21 A. Yes, for State building. 02:26 22 Q. For State buildings? 02:26 23 A. Yes. 02:26 24 Q. Do you know whether the Office of Statewide 02:26
2 don't have an answer for. 02:22 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:22 4 Q. What consequences could happen to a 02:22 5 contractor for failure to meet the California 02:22 6 Electrical Code requirements? 02:22 7 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 02:22 8 speculation and a legal conclusion. 02:22 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't work for the 02:22 10 California license bureau, so I don't know the 02:22 11 consequences of that. 02:23 12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:23 13 Q. You can't name one possible consequence? 02:23 14 A. No. 02:23 15 Q. Does the California Building Standards 02:23 16 Commission play any role in monitoring compliance 02:23 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:23 18 A. No. We are not an enforcement agency. 02:23 19 Q. What enforcement agencies are you aware of 02:23 20 that monitor compliance with the California Building 02:23 21 Codes? 02:23 22 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:23 23 THE WITNESS: There's various State agencies 02:23	2 A. Oh. Yes. I'm sorry, I misspoke. The 02:25 3 Division of the State Architect does for school 02:25 4 construction and essential service buildings. 02:25 5 Q. So it's your testimony that the Division of 02:25 6 the State Architect enforces compliance with the 02:25 7 California Building Codes for school constructions 02:25 8 and essential service buildings? 02:25 9 A. Yes. 02:25 10 Q. And are you aware of what actions the 02:25 11 Division of the State Architect takes when enforcing 02:25 12 with respect to school construction and essential 02:25 13 service buildings? 02:25 14 A. No. 02:25 15 Q. Do you know whether the Office of the State 02:25 16 Fire Marshal takes any actions to enforce compliance 02:25 17 with the California Building Codes? 02:26 18 A. I don't know what they do. I don't know 02:26 19 what they do in terms of enforcement, but they do 02:26 20 Q. Do you know whether they enforce? 02:26 21 A. Yes, for State buildings? 02:26 22 Q. For State buildings? 02:26 23 A. Yes. 02:26

1 strike that.		
3 A. Yes. For hospital safety, yes.   02:26   4 Q. Do you know what actions they take?   02:26   5 A. I do not.   02:26   6 Q. Do you know whether the California Energy   02:26   8 with the California Building Codes?   02:26   9 A. I do not know.   02:26   10 Q. Do you know whether the California   02:26   11 Department of Public Health takes any actions to   02:26   12 enforce compliance with the California State   02:26   13 Codes?   02:26   14 A. I do not know whether the California State   02:26   15 Q. Do you know whether the California State   02:26   16 Lands Commission takes any actions to enforce with   02:26   17 respect to California Building   02:27   18 A. I do not know.   02:27   20 Compliance with California State   02:27   21 Compliance with California State   02:27   22 A. I do not.   02:27   23 Q. How about - the last one is the Building   02:27   24 Stundards Commission, so strike that.   02:27   25 Want to call your attention back to   02:27   26 C. Why is it importance.   Do you see that language?   02:27   27 Q. Why is it importance.   Do you see that language?   02:27   28 published at that date?   02:28   29 Q. Are you aware whether the California California State   02:28   21 Q. Are you aware whether the California California Dialog   02:28   21 Q. Are you ware whether the California Banager   02:28   22 (Particular Inspection of California California Electrical Code mas available on the NFPA reading   02:29   25 (Particular Inspection of California California Dialog   02:29   26 (Particular Inspection California California California California Dialog   02:29   27 (Particular Inspection California Californ	1 enforce compliance with the California Building 02:26	1 strike that. 02:29
4 as of July 1st, 2016?   02.29	2 Codes? 02:26	2 Do you know whether any free public access 02:29
5 A. 1 do not.	3 A. Yes. For hospital safety, yes. 02:26	3 to the 2016 California Electrical Code was available 02:29
6 Q. Do you know whether the California Energy 02:26 7 Commission takes any actions to enforce compliance or 02:26 9 A. I do not know. 02:29 9 A. I do not know whether the California 02:26 11 Department of Public Health takes any actions to 02:26 12 enforce compliance with the California Building 02:26 13 Codes? 02:26 14 A. I do not know that. 02:26 15 Q. Do you know whether the California State 02:26 16 Lands Commission takes any action to enforce with 02:26 17 respect to California Building Codes? 02:27 18 A. I do not know. 02:29 19 Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27 20 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce 02:27 21 compliance with California Building Codes? 02:27 22 A. I do not. 02:27 23 Q. Ilova about – the last one is the Building 02:27 24 Stundards Commission, so strike that. 02:27 25 Want to call your attention back to 02:27 26 A. Uh-luh. 02:27 3 Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27 3 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 5 Crifical Importance." Do you see that language? 02:27 6 A. Yes. 02:28 11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28 12 effective date, That's part of building standards? 02:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 Q. Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that — strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 29 A. Yes. 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 26 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 27 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 28 C. Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 29 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 C. Do you kno	4 Q. Do you know what actions they take? 02:26	4 as of July 1st, 2016? 02:29
7   THE WITNESS; Yeah. I don't know.   02:29	5 A. I do not. 02:26	5 MR. FEE: Objection to form, calls for 02:29
8 with the California Building Codes? 02:26 9 A. 1 do not know. 02:26 11 Department of Public Health takes any actions to 02:26 12 enforce compliance with the California Building 02:26 13 Codes? 02:26 14 A. 1 do not know that. 02:26 15 Q. Do you know whether the California State 02:26 16 Lands Commission takes any action to enforce with 02:26 17 respect to California Building Codes? 02:27 10 Community Corrections takes any action to enforce with 02:27 10 Community Corrections takes any action to enforce with 02:27 11 Compliance with California Building Codes? 02:27 12 A. I do not. how. 02:27 13 Q. How about — the last one is the Building Codes? 02:27 14 Standards Commission, so strike that. 02:27 15 Q. How about — the last one is the Building 02:27 16 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 17 Section H of Exhibit 7. 02:27 18 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 02:27 19 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 20 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 21 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 22 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 23 Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27 24 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 02:27 25 Papalished at that date? 02:27 26 A. Yes. 02:27 27 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 28 published at that date? 02:28 29 Q. The syou aware whether that —strike that. 02:28 20 Q. A The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 21 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 22 Q. Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 23 Q. A The commission sets the effective date, 02:28 24 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 26 Q. A rey you aware whether that —strike that. 02:28 27 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 28 A. 1 do not know. 02:28 29 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 20 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 Toom as of that date? 02:28 24 A. 1 do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was — 02:28 26 Q. Do you know whether any free accesses was — 02:	6 Q. Do you know whether the California Energy 02:26	6 speculation. 02:29
9 A. 1 do not know.  0 2:26 110 O. Do you know whether the California 0:226 121 cenforce compliance with the California Building 0:226 131 Codes? 1326 14 A. 1 do not know that 14 A. 1 do not know that 15 Q. Do you know whether the California State 0:226 15 Q. Do you know whether the California State 0:226 16 Lands Commission takes any actions to enforce with 0:226 16 Lands Commission takes any actions to enforce with 0:226 16 Lands Commission takes any actions to enforce with 0:227 16 A. 1 do not know. 19 Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 0:2:27 20 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce 0:227 21 compliance with California Building Codes? 22 compliance with California Building 0:227 22 A. 1 do not. 22 compliance with California Building 0:227 23 Q. How about - the last one is the Building 0:227 24 Standards Commission, so strike that. 25 Want to call your attention back to 0:227 26 A. Uh-huh. 27 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 0:227 28 published at that date? 28 Q:228 29 A. The commission sets the effective date, 0:228 21 publication must occur 180 days perior to the 0:228 21 ceffective date. That's part of building standards 0:228 22 effective date. That's part of building standards 0:228 23 comma so fithat date? 20:228 24 A. Yes. 20 Do you know whether the 2016 California 0:228 25 Q. Do you know whether the 2016 California 0:228 26 Q. A Yes. 27 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 0:227 28 published at that date? 28 Q:28 29 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 29 Q:28 20 Q. What is called that date; and 0:227 20 Q. What is called that date; 0:227 21 Q. What is call your attention to the first 0:231 22 web person at the time. 29 Q:30 20 Q. What is this document? 20 Q:31 21 Sentence of this document dated? 20:217 22 A. I do not. 23 Q. And when is this document dated? 20:227 24 A. I do not. 25 Q. I want to call your attention to the first 0:231 25 What to call your attention to the first 0:231 26 Q. War avou aware whether the links were in 0:231 27 Q. Why is it important that the c	7 Commission takes any actions to enforce compliance 02:26	7 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know. 02:29
10 Q. Do you know whether the California   0.226   11 Department of Public Health takes any actions to   0.226   12 EYMR. NERCESSIAN:   0.2:30   13 Codes?   0.2:30   14 A. 1 do not know that.   0.2:26   15 Q. Do you know whether the California State   0.2:26   16 Lands Commission takes any action to enforce with   0.2:26   16 Lands Commission takes any action to enforce with   0.2:27   17 respect to California Building Codes?   0.2:27   18 A. 1 do not know.   0.2:27   19 Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and   0.2:27   19 Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and   0.2:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   0.2:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   0.2:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   0.2:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   0.2:27   19 Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and   0.2:27   19 Q. How about   the last one is the Building   0.2:27   21 Compliance with California Building   0.2:27   22 A. I do not   0.2:27   23 Q. How about   the last one is the Building   0.2:27   24 Standards Commission, so strike that   0.2:27   24 Standards Commission, so strike that   0.2:27   25 Want to call your attention back to   0.2:27   26 A. Vish.   0.2:27   27 Q. Why is it importance." Do you see that language?   0.2:27   28 published at that date?   0.2:28   19 Q. Do you know whether that estence gets   0.2:27   29 A. The commission sets the effective date,   0.2:28   11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the   0.2:28   12 effective date. That's part of building standards   0.2:28   13 law.   0.2:28   14 Q. So that's a legal mandate?   0.2:28   15 A. Yes.   0.2:28   16 Q. Are you aware whether that - strike that.   0.2:28   17 Q. Do you know whether the California   0.2:28   18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication   0.2:28   19 Q. Do you know whether the California   0.2:28   19 Q. Do you know whether the California   0.2:28   19 Q. Do you know whether the California   0.2:28   19 Q.	8 with the California Building Codes? 02:26	8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:29
11   Department of Public Health takes any actions to 02:26   12 enforce compliance with the California Building 02:26   13 Codes? 02:26   14   A. 1 do not know that. 02:26   15   4   A. 1 do not know whether the California State 02:26   15   4   document? 02:30   16   Lands Commission takes any action to enforce with 02:26   16   A. Uh-huh. Yes. 02:30   17 respect to California Building Codes? 02:27   18   A. 1 do not know. 02:27   18   A. 1 do not know. 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27   10   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27   18   A. 1 do not. 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Dard of State and 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Dard of State and 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Dard of State and 02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Ocitical State of Colorada and the publish data that date?   Q. Do you know whether the Ocitical State of Colorada and the published that date?   Q. State of Colorada and the published that date?   Q. State of Colorada and the publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28   19   Q. State of Colorada and the publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28   19   Q. State of Colorada and the Color	9 A. I do not know. 02:26	
12 enforce compliance with the California Building   02:26   13 Gods?   02:26   14 A. I do not know that.   02:26   15 Q. Do you know whether the California State   02:26   15 document?   02:30   16 Lands Commission takes any action to enforce with   02:27   17 Q. And would you have received this document?   02:31   18 A. I do not know.   02:27   18 A. I do not know.   02:27   19 Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections actions and the color of State and   02:27   19 Community Corrections actions to enforce   02:27   19 Community Corrections actions and the color of State and   02:27   19 Community Corrections actions and the color of State and   02:27   19 Community Corrections actions and   02:28   19 Community Corrections and   02:28   19 Community Corrections actions and   0	10 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:26	
13   Codes?   02:26   14   A. 1 do not know that.   02:26   15   Q. Do you know whether the California State   02:26   16   Lands Commission takes any action to enforce with   02:26   17 respect to California Building Codes?   02:27   18   A. 1 do not know.   02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and   02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and   02:27   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and   02:27   19   Compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   10   Q. And would you have received this, yes.   02:30   17   Q. And would you have received this, yes.   02:30   18   A. 1 am a member of the DGS Sacramento staff   02:30   19   Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and   02:27   19   Q. May bout - the last one is the Building   02:27   19   Q. May bout - the l	11 Department of Public Health takes any actions to 02:26	
14 A. I do not know that.   02:26   14 identification as Exhibit 8. Do you recognize this   02:30   15 Q. Do you know whether the California State   02:26   16 A. Uh-huh. Yes.   02:30   17 respect to California Building Codes?   02:27   18 A. I do not know.   02:27   19 Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and   02:27   19 Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and   02:27   19 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   19 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   19 Q. And when it shis document?   02:30   02:31   19 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   19 Q. And when it shis document?   02:30   02:31   19 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   19 Q. Mohy it is this document?   02:30   02:31   19 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   19 Q. Mohy it is the state of the Building Codes?   02:27   19 Q. And when it shis document?   02:30   19 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   19 Q. And when it shis document?   02:30   19 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   19 Q. And when it shis document?   02:31   19 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:31   19 compliance with California Codes was page.   02:31   19 compliance was page.   02:31   19 compliance was page.   02:31   19 compli	12 enforce compliance with the California Building 02:26	
15 Q. Do you know whether the California State 02:26 16 Lands Commission takes any action to enforce with 02:26 17 respect to California Building Codes? 02:27 18 A. I do not know. 02:27 19 Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27 20 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce 02:27 21 compliance with California Building Codes? 02:27 22 A. I do not. 02:27 23 Q. How about the last one is the Building 02:27 24 Standards Commission, so strike that. 02:27 25 Want to call your attention back to 02:27 26 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 27 Q. What is this document dated? 02:28 28 Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27 29 A. Why is it important that the code is of 02:27 20 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 21 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 22 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 23 Q. And when is this document which says "Online portions 02:31 24 sentence." Do you see that language? 02:27 25 published at that date? 02:27 26 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 27 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 28 published at that date? 02:28 11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28 12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:32 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 10 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:32 26 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:32 27 Q. What is this document? 02:30 28 Do you know whether the California 02:32 29 Q. Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:32 21 Q. Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:32 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 Toom as of	13 Codes? 02:26	
16   Lands Commission takes any action to enforce with   02:26   17 respect to California Building Codes?   02:27   18   A. 1 do not know.   02:27   18   A. 1 do not know.   02:27   19 community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   10 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   12 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   13 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   14 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   15 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   15 compliance.   02:28   15 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   15 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   15 compliance.   02:28   16 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   16 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:28   17 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:28   18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication   02:28   18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication   02:28   19 date?   02:28   10 compliance whether the California   02:28   12 compliance whether the California   02:28   19 date?   02:28   10 compliance whether the California   02:28   10 compliance whether th	14 A. I do not know that. 02:26	
17 respect to California Building Codes?   02:27   18 A. I do not know.   02:27   19 Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and   02:27   20 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce   02:27   21 compliance with California Building Codes?   02:27   22 A. I do not.   02:27   23 Q. How about the last one is the Building   02:27   24 Standards Commission, so strike that.   02:27   24 Standards Commission, so strike that.   02:27   25 Want to call your attention back to   02:27   26 A. Uh-huh.   02:27   27   27   28 A. Uh-huh.   02:27   28   29 Q. Do you see that language?   02:27   29 Q. What is this document dated?   02:31   25 Q. I want to call your attention to the first   02:31   25 Q. I want to call your attention to the first   02:31   26 fife 2016 Building Standards Code published/primed 02:31   3 by ICC are now linked on our code's web page."   Do   02:31   4 you see that?   02:31   2 of the 2016 Building Standards Code published primed 02:31   3 by ICC are now linked on our code's web page."   Do   02:31   4 you see that?   02:31   2 of the 2016 Building Standards Code published/primed 02:31   3 by ICC are now linked on our code's web page."   Do   02:31   4 you see that?   02:31   3 by ICC are now linked on our code's web page."   Do   02:31   4 you see that?   02:31   5 A. I do not know whether that strike that.   02:28   10 Q. According to this email, the California   02:32   11 that.   02:32   12 you have any eavent whether that strike that.		
18 A. I am a member of the DGS Sacramento staff 02:30 19 Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27 20 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce 02:27 21 compliance with California Building Codes? 02:27 22 A. I do not. 02:27 23 Q. How about - the last one is the Building 02:27 24 Standards Commission, so strike that. 02:27 25 Want to call your attention back to 02:27 26 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 27 Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27 28 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 02:27 29 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 30 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 31 published at that date? 02:27 32 p. A. The commission sets the effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 31 law. 02:28 32 of An dwhen is this document dated? 02:31 33 by ICC are now linked on our code's web page." Do 02:31 4 you see that? 4 you see that! 1 you see that! 1 you shich is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 02:28 31 law. 02:28 32 of An dwhen is this document dated? 02:31 33 by ICC are now linked on our code's web page." Do 02:31 4 you see that? 4 you see that! 02:31 5 A. I don't recall. 02:31 9 Q. And according to this email, the California 02:31 10 which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 02:28 11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28 12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that - strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was - 02:28 26 Q. Do you know whether any free access was - 02:28 27 O. Do you know whether any free access was - 02:28 28 Do you know whether any free access was - 02:28 29 Do you know whether any free access was - 02:28 20 Do y		
19 Q. Do you know whether the Board of State and 02:27 20 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce 02:27 21 compliance with California Building Codes? 02:27 22 A. I do not. 02:27 23 Q. How about — the last one is the Building 02:27 24 Standards Commission, so strike that. 02:27 25 Want to call your attention back to 02:27 26 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 27 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 28 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 29 Q. What is this document? 02:31 29 web person at the time. 02:31 20 Q. And when is this document dated? 02:31 21 A. That's an email from Alex Hunter who was our 02:32 22 web person at the time. 02:31 23 Q. And when is this document dated? 02:31 24 A. 8-1-2016. 02:31 25 Q. I want to call your attention to the first 02:31 26 Q. And when is this document? 02:31 27 Q. What is this document? 02:31 28 web person at the time. 02:31 29 Q. And when is this document? 02:31 20 Q. What is this document? 02:31 21 A. That's an email from Alex Hunter who was our 02:32 22 web person at the time. 02:31 23 Q. I and when is this document dated? 02:31 24 A. 8-1-2016. 02:31 25 Q. I want to call your attention to the first 02:31 26 The 2016 Building Standards Code published/printed 02:31 27 The published at that date to published printed 02:31 28 published at that date? 02:27 29 Q. What is this document? 02:31 21 A. That's an email from Alex Hunter who was our 02:34 22 web person at the time. 02:31 23 Q. And when is this document dated? 02:31 24 A. 8-1-2016. 02:31 25 Q. I want to call your attention to the first 02:31 26 the 2016 Building Standards Code published/printed 02:31 27 The published at that date to published operations 02:31 28 published at that date? 02:27 29 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 30 Q. And when is this document which says "Online pertions 02:31 31 published at that date to 02:27 32 Q. And when is this document which says "Online pertions 02:31 32 of the 2016 Building Standards Code published/printed 02:31 33 by ICC are now linked on our code's web page." Do 02:31 4 yous ce that?  4 A. I do. 02:31 5 A. I d		
20 Community Corrections takes any actions to enforce 02:27 21 compliance with California Building Codes? 02:27 22 A. I do not. 02:27 23 Q. How about the last one is the Building 02:27 24 Standards Commission, so strike that. 02:27 25 Want to call your attention back to 02:27 26 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 27 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 28 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 02:27 29 Q. Why is it importance." Do you see that language? 02:27 20 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 21 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 22 muly 1st 30 days beyond that date, and so the 02:28 23 Q. And when is this document dated? 02:31 24 A. 8-1-2016. 02:31 25 Page 132 26 I want to call your attention to the first 02:31 27 Q. Why is it important that the code is of 02:27 28 published at that date? 02:27 29 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 30 Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27 40 Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 41 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 42 A. A loon. 02:31 43 published at that date? 02:28 44 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 45 A. Yes. 02:28 46 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 47 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 48 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 40 A. Yes. 02:28 41 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 41 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 42 A. 8-1-2016. 02:31 43 by the 2016 Building Standards Ode published/printed 02:31 44 you see that? 02:31 45 you see that? 02:31 46 Q. Are you aware whether the flinks were in 02:31 47 A. That's part of building standards 02:28 48 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 40 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 41 A. I don't recall. 02:32 42 A. I do not know. 02:28 41 A. I do not know. 02:28 42 A. I do not know. 02:28 43 A. I do not know. 02:28 44 A. I do not know. whether any free access was 02:28 45 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 46 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 47 A. That was the tim		
21 compliance with California Building Codes? 22 A. 1 do not. 22 (27) 23 Q. How about the last one is the Building 24 Standards Commission, so strike that. 25 Want to call your attention back to 26 (27) 27 Q. Unit of Exhibit 7. 28 (29) 29 A. Uh-huh. 20 (20 (27) 4 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 20 (227) 5 critical importance." Do you see that language? 20 (27) 6 A. Yes. 20 (27) 9 A. The commission sets the effective date, 20 (227) 10 which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 20 (228) 11 geffective date. That's part of building standards 20 (228) 12 effective date. That's part of building standards 20 (228) 13 law. 20 (28) 21 Q. Do you know whether that strike that. 20 (28) 22 (28) 23 (20 (27) 24 A. That's an email from Alex Hunter who was our 02:31 24 A. And when is this document dated? 24 A. 8-1-2016. 25 Q. I want to call your attention to the first 02:31 Page 132 2 of the 2016 Building Standards Code published/printed 02:31 3 by ICC are now linked on our code's web page." Do 02:31 4 you see that? 20 (23) 4 you see that? 20 (23) 5 A. I do. 20:31 7 working order at any point before August 1st, 2016; 02:31 20 (23) 21 (24) 22 Was the California Electrical Code published 02:32 23 (25) 24 A. Yes. 25 (26) 26 (27) 27 (27) 28 published at that date? 26 (27) 27 (28) 28 published at that date? 27 (29) 29 (29) 20 (29) 20 (29) 21 (20) 21 (20) 22 (20) 23 (20) 24 (20) 25 (21) 25 (21) 26 (21) 27 (22) 27 (22) 28 (23) 29 (23) 20 (23) 20 (23) 20 (23) 21 (24) 20 (25) 21 (25) 22 (26) 23 (27) 24 (27) 25 (27) 26 (28) 26 (29) 27 (20) 28 (20) 29		
22 A. I do not. 23 Q. How about the last one is the Building 02:27 24 Standards Commission, so strike that. 25 Want to call your attention back to 02:27 26 A. Uh-huh. 27 Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27 27 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 02:27 28 published at that date? 29 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 29 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 30 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 31 published at that date? 32 Q. The commission sets the effective date, 02:28 31 published at that date? 32 Q. And when is this document dated? 32 Q. I want to call your attention to the first 02:31 32 point of the 2016 Building Standards Code published/printed 02:31 3 by ICC are now linked on our code's web page." Do 02:31 4 you see that? 4 you see that? 5 A. I do. 02:31 5 A. I do. 02:31 6 Q. Are you aware whether the links were in 02:31 7 working order at any point before August 1st, 2016? 02:31 8 published at that date? 9 Q. And according to this email, the California 02:31 10 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28 11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28 12 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 Q. Aceyou aware whether that strike that. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 10 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 27 A. I do not know. 02:28 28 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 29 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 20 A. The would be online access from our 02:31 20 A. The colifornia 02:31 21 developed from the main date? 0		
23 Q. How about the last one is the Building 02:27 24 Standards Commission, so strike that. 02:27 25 Want to call your attention back to 02:27 26 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 27 Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27 28 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 02:27 29 A. Yes. 02:27 20 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 20 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 21 page 132 22 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 23 Q. And when is this document dated? 02:31 24 A. 8-1-2016. 02:31 25 Q. I want to call your attention to the first 02:31 26 fith 2016 Building Standards Code published/printed 02:31 3 by ICC are now linked on our code's web page." Do 02:31 4 you see that? 02:31 5 critical importance." Do you see that language? 02:27 6 A. Yes. 02:27 9 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 10 which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 02:28 11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28 12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether thatstrike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. Id on to know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 27 A. Id on to know. 02:28 28 A. Id on to know. 02:28 29 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 20 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 21 A. Id on to know. 02:28 22 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 23 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		
24 Standards Commission, so strike that. 25 Want to call your attention back to  26 Description H of Exhibit 7.  2 A. Uh-huh.  3 Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27 to ritical importance." Do you see that language?  4 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 02:27 to ritical importance." Do you see that language?  5 critical importance." Do you see that language?  6 A. Yes.  7 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 to which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28 to effective date. That's part of building standards  12 effective date. That's part of building standards  13 law.  14 Q. So that's a legal mandate?  15 Q. So that's a legal mandate?  16 Q. Are you aware whether the 2016 California 02:28 to Q. Are you aware whether the 12-16 California 02:28 to Q. Are you aware whether the 2016 California 02:28 to Q. Are you aware whether the 2016 California 02:28 to Q. Are you aware whether the 2016 California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:32 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:32 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:32 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:32 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:32 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:32 to Q. Do you know whether the California 02:32 to		_
25 Want to call your attention back to  02:27 Page 130  25 Q. I want to call your attention to the first 02:31 Page 132  1 Section H of Exhibit 7. 02:27 Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 02:27 Scritical importance." Do you see that language? 02:27 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 Published at that date? 02:28 Published at that date? 02:28 Publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 Q. A. Yes. 02:28 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:32 Q. Do you know whether the O2:28 A. I do not know. 02:28 C. I want to call your attention to the first 02:31 Page 132  2      Q. I want to call your attention to the first 02:31 Page 132  2      Q. I want to call your attention to the first 02:31 Page 132  2      Q. I want to call your attention to the first 02:31 Page 132  2      Q. I want to call your attention to the first 02:31  2      Section H of Exhibit 7.  2      Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27 A. Uh-huh. 02:27  3      by ICC are now linked on our code's web page." Do 02:31 4      you see that? 02:31  4      you see that? 02:31  7      working order at any point before August 1st, 2016? 02:31  8      A. I do. 02:31  8      A. I do. 02:31  9      Q. And according to this email, the California 02:31  10      Electrical Code, as of August 1st, 2016 - strike 02:31  11      that. 02:31  12      Was the California Electrical Code published 02:32  13      by the NFPA available for online access at that time? 02:32  14      A. I don't recall. 02:32  15      Q. According to the email, does it appear that 02:32  16      there was online access available at that time		
Page 130  Page 132  1 Section H of Exhibit 7. 02:27  2 A. Uh-huh. 02:27  3 Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27  4 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 02:27  5 critical importance." Do you see that language? 02:27  6 A. Yes. 02:27  7 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27  8 published at that date? 02:27  9 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27  10 which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 02:28  11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28  12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28  13 law. 02:28  14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28  15 A. Yes. 02:28  16 Q. Are you aware whether the 1 strike that. 02:28  17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28  18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28  18 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28  20 A. Yes. 02:28  21 Q. Do you know know whether the California 02:28  22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28  23 room as of that date? 02:28  24 A. I do not know. 02:28  25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28  26 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28  27 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28  28 A. I do not know. 02:32  29 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		
2 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 3 Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27 4 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 02:27 5 critical importance." Do you see that language? 02:27 6 A. Yes. 02:27 7 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 8 published at that date? 02:27 9 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 10 which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 1publication must occur 180 days prior to the 1publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28 11 published at that 'S part of building standards 02:28 12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 22:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 27 A. Idon't recall. 02:31 28 of the 2016 Building Standards Code published on our code's web page." Do 02:31 4 you see that? 02:31  4 you see that? 02:31  4 you see that? 02:31  7 working order at any point before August 1st, 2016? 02:31  8 A. I do. De:31  7 working order at any point before August 1st, 2016? 02:31  10 Electrical Code, as of August 1st, 2016 - strike 02:31  11 that. 02:31  12 Was the California Electrical Code published 02:32  13 by the NFPA available for online access at that time? 02:32  14 A. I don't recall. 02:32  15 Q. According to the email, does it appear that 02:32  16 there was online access available at that time? 02:32  17 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:32  18 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:32  29 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:32  20 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:	The state of the s	,
2 A. Uh-huh. 02:27 3 Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27 4 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 02:27 5 critical importance." Do you see that language? 02:27 6 A. Yes. 02:27 7 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 8 published at that date? 02:27 9 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 10 which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 1publication must occur 180 days prior to the 1publication must occur 180 days prior to the 20:28 11 published at that 'S part of building standards 02:28 12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 27 A. Idon't recall. 02:31 28 of the 2016 Building Standards Code published on our code's web page." Do 02:31 4 you see that? 02:31  4 you see that? 02:31  4 you see that? 02:31  6 Q. Are you aware whether the links were in 02:31 7 working order at any point before August 1st, 2016? 02:31 10 Electrical Code, as of August 1st, 2016? os:31 11 that. 02:31 12 Was the California Electrical Code published 02:32 13 by the NFPA available for online access at that time? 02:32 14 A. I don't recall. 02:32 15 Q. According to the email, does it appear that 02:32 16 there was online access available at that time? 02:32 17 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:32 18 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 21 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 22 Electrical Code published by NFPA and the California 02:32 23	1 Section H of Exhibit 7. 02:27	1 sentence of this document which says "Online portions 02:31
3 Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27 4 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 02:27 5 critical importance." Do you see that language? 02:27 6 A. Yes. 02:27 7 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 8 published at that date? 02:27 8 published at that date? 02:27 9 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 10 which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 12 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 12 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 10 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 12 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 12 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 14 A. I do not know. 02:28 15 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:32 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 15 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 25 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 25 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 26 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 26 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 26 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 27 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 27 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 27 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 27 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 27 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 28 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 28 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 28 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 28 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 28 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		
4 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of critical importance." Do you see that language? 02:27 5 critical importance." Do you see that language? 02:27 6 A. Yes. 02:27 7 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 8 published at that date? 02:27 9 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 10 which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 02:28 11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28 12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:32 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 C. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 27 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 28 C. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 29 C. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 20 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 21 C. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 22 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 23 Toom as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 27 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 28 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 29 A. That would be online access from our 02:32	3 Q. Looking at sentence two now that says "The 02:27	
6 A. Yes. 02:27 7 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27 8 published at that date? 02:27 9 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:28 11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28 12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether that 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 27 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 28 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 29 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 20 A. That would be online access from our 02:31 21 don't recall. 02:31 22 don't recall. 02:31 23 vowrking order at any point before August 1st, 2016? 02:31 24 A. I don't recall. 02:31 25 A. I don't recall. 02:31 26 Q. Are you aware whether that date? 02:28 27 vowrking order at any point before August 1st, 2016? 02:31 28 A. I don't recall. 02:31 29 Q. And according to this email, the California 02:32 21 Was the California Electrical Code published 02:32 23 by the NFPA available for online access at that time? 02:32 24 A. I don't recall. 02:32 25 D. A. Yes. 02:28 26 Q. Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 27 A. I don't recall. 02:31 28 A. I don't recall. 02:31 29 Q. According to the email, does it appear that 02:32 21 determine was online access available at that time? 02:32 21 determine was online access available at that time? 02:32 21 statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 22 statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 23 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 24 not yet available for online access from our	4 July 1st, 2016, date to publish the code is of 02:27	
7 Q. Why is it important that the code gets published at that date? 02:27 8 published at that date? 02:27 9 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 10 which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 02:28 11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28 12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 27 On you know whether any free access was 02:28 28 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 29 C. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 20 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 20 A. Train would be online access from our 02:32 21 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 22 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 23 Tomm as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 A. That would be online access from our 02:32	5 critical importance." Do you see that language? 02:27	5 A. I do. 02:31
8 published at that date?  9 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27  10 which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 02:28  11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28  12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28  13 law. 02:28  14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28  15 A. Yes. 02:28  16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28  17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28  18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28  19 Q. And according to this email, the California 02:31  10 Electrical Code, as of August 1st, 2016 strike 02:31  11 that. 02:31  12 Was the California Electrical Code published 02:32  13 by the NFPA available for online access at that time? 02:32  14 A. I don't recall. 02:32  15 Q. According to the email, does it appear that 02:32  16 there was online access available at that time? 02:32  17 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:32  18 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:32  19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:32  20 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 02:32  21 statement in this email that says "the California 02:32  22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28  23 room as of that date? 02:28  24 A. I do not know. 02:28  25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28  26 A. That would be online access from our 02:32	6 A. Yes. 02:27	6 Q. Are you aware whether the links were in 02:31
9 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27 10 which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 02:28 11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28 12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 10 Do you know whether the California 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 27 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 28 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 29 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 20 A. That would be online access from our 02:32 21 Code manually 12 that. 02:31 22 Do you know whether the California 02:32 23 Rechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 24 not yet available for online access from our 02:32	7 Q. Why is it important that the code gets 02:27	7 working order at any point before August 1st, 2016? 02:31
10 which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 12:28 11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 12:28 12 effective date. That's part of building standards 12:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 12:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 12:28 19 date? 02:28 19 Was the California 12:28 16 there was online access available at that time? 02:32 17 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:32 18 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:32 19 MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:32 19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:32 20 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 21 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 25 A. That would be online access from our 02:31	8 published at that date? 02:27	8 A. I don't recall. 02:31
11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28	9 A. The commission sets the effective date, 02:27	9 Q. And according to this email, the California 02:31
12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28 13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 27 A. That would be online access at that time? 02:32 28 Use the California Electrical Code published 02:32 19 by the NFPA available for online access at that time? 02:32 14 A. I don't recall. 02:32 15 Q. According to the email, does it appear that 02:32 16 there was online access available at that time? 02:32 18 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:32 19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:32 20 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 02:32 21 statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 24 not yet available for online access from our 02:32	10 which is 180 days beyond that date, and so the 02:28	10 Electrical Code, as of August 1st, 2016 strike 02:31
13 law. 02:28 14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 02:28 15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 A. Yes 02:28 27 A. I do not know. 02:28 28 A. That would be online access at that time? 02:32 19 A. I don't recall. 02:32 10 A. A. I don't recall. 02:32 11 A. I don't recall. 02:32 12 By MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:32 13 by the NFPA available for online access at that time? 02:32 14 A. I don't recall. 02:32 15 Q. According to the email, does it appear that 02:32 16 there was online access available at that time? 02:32 17 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:32 18 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:32 19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:32 20 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 02:32 21 statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 24 not yet available for online access from our 02:32	11 publication must occur 180 days prior to the 02:28	11 that. 02:31
14 Q. So that's a legal mandate? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 19 date? 20 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 22:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 22:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 22:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 22:28 23 room as of that date? 24 A. I do not know. 25 Q. According to the email, does it appear that 02:32 16 there was online access available at that time? 20:32 21 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 20:32 21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 22 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 23 Statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 24 Statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 26 Q. Do you know in the mail, does it appear that 02:32 26 there was online access available at that time? 27 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 28 Oz:32 29 Do you have any reason to doubt the 29 Oz:32 20 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 29 Oz:32 21 statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 22 Electrical Code published by NFPA and the California 02:32 23 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 24 not yet available for online access from our 20:32 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 20 Q. Do you know doubt the 20:32 24 not yet available for online access from our 20:33	12 effective date. That's part of building standards 02:28	Was the California Electrical Code published 02:32
15 A. Yes. 02:28 16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 C. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 27 Do. According to the email, does it appear that 02:32 28 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:32 29 Pay MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:32 20 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 02:32 21 statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 23 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 24 not yet available for online access from our 02:32 25 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		13 by the NFPA available for online access at that time? 02:32
16 Q. Are you aware whether that strike that. 02:28 17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 19 date? 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 there was online access available at that time? 02:32 27 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:32 28 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:32 29 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 02:32 20 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 02:32 21 statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 22 Electrical Code published by NFPA and the California 02:32 23 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 24 not yet available for online access from our 02:32 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		
17 Do you know whether the 2016 California 02:28 17 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:32 18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 18 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:32 19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:32 20 A. Yes. 02:28 20 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 22:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 23:20 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 02:32 23 room as of that date? 02:28 22 Electrical Code published by NFPA and the California 02:32 23 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 25 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		
18 Electrical Code met that July 1st, 2016, publication 02:28 18 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:32 19 date? 02:28 19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:32 20 A. Yes. 02:28 20 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 02:32 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 21 statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 02:28 22 Electrical Code published by NFPA and the California 02:32 23 room as of that date? 02:28 23 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 24 not yet available for online access "? 02:32 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 25 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		
19 date? 02:28 20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 22:28 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 27 OD:28 28 Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 29 Py MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:32 20 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 02:32 20 Electrical Code published by NFPA and the California 02:32 21 Statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 22 Electrical Code published by NFPA and the California 02:32 23 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 24 not yet available for online access "? 02:32 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		
20 A. Yes. 02:28 21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 23 room as of that date? 02:28 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 02:32 27 Statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 28 Electrical Code published by NFPA and the California 02:32 29 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 20 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 02:32 21 Statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 23 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 24 not yet available for online access"? 02:32 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 26 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		
21 Q. Do you know whether the California 02:28 21 statement in this email that says "the California 02:32 22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 23 room as of that date? 02:28 22 Electrical Code published by NFPA and the California 02:32 23 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 24 not yet available for online access"? 02:32 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 25 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		
22 Electrical Code was available on the NFPA reading 23 room as of that date? 24 A. I do not know. 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 26 Do you know whether any free access was 27 Electrical Code published by NFPA and the California 02:32 28 Delectrical Code published by NFPA and the California 02:32 29 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 20 Not yet available for online access. 02:32 20 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		
23 room as of that date? 02:28 23 Mechanical and Plumbing Codes published by IAPMO are 02:32 24 A. I do not know. 02:28 24 not yet available for online access"? 02:32 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 25 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		
24 A. I do not know. 02:28 24 not yet available for online access"? 02:32 25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 25 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		
25 Q. Do you know whether any free access was 02:28 25 A. That would be online access from our 02:32		
1 age 155		Page 133

1 website. I can't state whether or not the model code 02:32	1 Q. So those are the depository libraries 02:37
2 developers had it on their website yet. 02:32	2 identified as Exhibit A, Attachment A, and Exhibit A, 02:37
3 Q. So you read this to say just the link wasn't 02:32	3 Attachment B, of this document? 02:37
4 up? 02:32	4 A. Yes. 02:37
5 A. Correct. 02:32	5 Q. Do those complimentary copies go to any 02:37
	6 organization or person not identified on these 02:37
6 Q. And you don't know whether the electrical 02:32 7 code was accessible on the NFPA website? 02:33	
8 A. I don't know that. 02:33	8 A. I don't know. 02:37
9 Q. And what is IAPMO? 02:33	9 Q. I see on these attachments there are some 02:37
10 A. IAPMO. 02:33	10 libraries that are called Complete Depository 02:38
11 Q. IAPMO. 02:33	11 Libraries and other libraries called Selective 02:38
12 A. Is the International Association of Plumbing 02:33	12 Depository Libraries. Do you see that? 02:38
13 Mechanical Officials. It's another publisher that we 02:33	13 A. I do. 02:38
14 use. 02:33	14 Q. What's the difference between those 02:38
15 Q. And what part of Title 24 does IAPMO deliver 02:33	15 designations? 02:38
16 model codes with respect to? 02:33	16 A. I don't know. 02:38
17 A. The Uniform Mechanical Code and the Uniform 02:33	17 Q. Another line down in Section K, it says 02:38
18 Plumbing Code which are adopted by State agencies. 02:33	18 "NFPA may wholesale the code to other resellers in 02:38
19 Q. Want to call your attention back to 02:34	19 California." Do you see that? 02:38
20 Exhibit 7 and want to call your attention to Section 02:34	20 A. I do see that. 02:38
21 K-1 of the agreement. K-1, it's on page seven of 02:34	21 Q. Do you know whether NFPA has? 02:38
22 seven of Exhibit A. 02:34	22 A. I do not know. 02:38
23 A. Seven of seven. Okay. 02:34	23 Q. Do you know whether NFPA has made any 02:38
24 Q. All right. Section K-1 states "NFPA shall 02:34	24 efforts to deliver the code to print disabled 02:38
25 establish a distribution method in consultation with 02:34	25 individuals? 02:38
Page 134	Page 136
1 the CBSC." Do you see that language? 02:34	1 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 02:38
2 A. Yes. 02:35	2 foundation and calls for speculation. 02:38
3 Q. What distribution method has NFPA 02:35	3 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 02:38
4 established in consulting with the CBSC? 02:35	4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:39
5 A. I don't recall. 02:35	5 Q. Do you know whether NFPA has made any 02:39
6 Q. Are you aware of any distribution method? 02:35	6 efforts to deliver the code to mobility impaired 02:39
7 A. In context with this item? I don't know in 02:35	7 individuals? 02:39
8 what context this is referring, so 02:36	8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:39
9 Q. Do you recall any discussions you have had 02:36	9 foundation. 02:39
10 with NFPA regarding any distribution method for the 02:36	10 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. 02:39
11 2016 California Electrical Code? 02:36	11 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:39
12 A. Well, it would be how it applies with the 02:36	12 Q. Do you know whether NFPA has made any 02:39
13 rest of the contract statement. So, for example, one 02:36	13 efforts to deliver the code to individuals that lack 02:39
14 distribution method would be linked to our website. 02:36	14 sight? 02:39
15 Q. And you're not aware of any distribution 02:36	15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:39
16 method aside from that? 02:36	16 foundation. 02:39
17 A. No, not right now, no. 02:36	17 THE WITNESS: I do not know that. 02:39
18 Q. The next line down says "The NFPA shall 02:36	18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:39
19 distribute a minimum of 200 complimentary copies of 02:36	19 Q. Has the CBSC taken any steps to deliver the 02:39
1) distribute a minimum of 200 complimentally codies of 02:50	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
• • •	20 code to those who are print disabled? 02:39
20 the code as per list provided by CBSC on or before 02:37	1
20 the code as per list provided by CBSC on or before 02:37 21 July 1st, 2016." Do you see that? 02:37	21 A. We've not received a request for that, no. 02:39
20 the code as per list provided by CBSC on or before 02:37 21 July 1st, 2016." Do you see that? 02:37 22 A. I do. 02:37	21 A. We've not received a request for that, no. 02:39 22 Q. Has CBSC taken any steps to deliver the code 02:39
20 the code as per list provided by CBSC on or before 02:37 21 July 1st, 2016." Do you see that? 02:37 22 A. I do. 02:37 23 Q. For whom are those 200 complimentary copies? 02:37	21 A. We've not received a request for that, no. 02:39 22 Q. Has CBSC taken any steps to deliver the code 02:39 23 to individuals who are mobility impaired? 02:40
20 the code as per list provided by CBSC on or before 02:37 21 July 1st, 2016." Do you see that? 02:37 22 A. I do. 02:37	21 A. We've not received a request for that, no. 02:39 22 Q. Has CBSC taken any steps to deliver the code 02:39

1 available the code to those who lack sight? 02:40	1 Q. And that's 1-1-17 is the effective date 02:43
2 A. We've not been requested that, no. 02:40	2 of the 2016 edition of the California Electrical 02:43
3 Q. To your knowledge, has the State of 02:40	3 Code? 02:43
4 California taken any efforts to make the code 02:40	4 A. It's the effective date of all of the codes, 02:43
5 available to those who have accessibility issues? 02:40	5 yes, that's correct. 02:43
6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:40	6 Q. Want to call your attention to Exhibit B of 02:43
7 foundation. 02:40	7 this agreement. It's almost at the end. 02:44
8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I can't answer for the 02:40	8 A. Okay. Before C? 02:44
9 State of California. 02:40	9 Q. No. It's the second to last and the last 02:44
10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:40	10 page. 02:44
11 Q. But you're not aware of any efforts that the 02:40	11 A. Oh, sorry. Okay. Got it. I was going in 02:44
12 State has taken to make the code available to those 02:40	12 alphabetical order there. All right. 02:44
13 who do have accessibility issues? 02:40	13 Q. Yeah. So I want to call your attention to 02:44
14 A. I don't know. 02:40	14 Section B-1 02:44
15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Mischaracterizes her 02:40	15 A. Uh-huh. 02:44
16 testimony. 02:40	16 Q which states that "NFPA shall make the 02:44
17 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 02:40	17 code," and I'm excerpting language here, "available 02:44
18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:40	18 to the State, local governmental agencies and the 02:44
19 Q. Just have a few more questions about this 02:41	19 general public at a price established by NFPA." Do 02:44
20 document. Like to call your attention to Exhibit A, 02:41	20 you see that language? 02:45
21 Attachment C, and this page, you'll see, has the 02:41	21 A. I see the language. 02:45
22 heading the heading reads "2016 Intervening Code 02:41	22 Q. Is there any statement anywhere in this 02:45
23 Adoption Cycle Timeline." Do you see that? 02:41	23 agreement that specifies what that price is? 02:45
24 A. I do. 02:41	24 A. I don't know without reading the whole 02:45
25 Q. What is depicted in this chart? 02:41	25 agreement. 02:45
Page 138	Page 140
1 A. Actually, what's depicted is the 2015 02:42	1 Q. Are you aware of the price that NFPA makes 02:45
2 Triennial Code Adoption Cycle Timeline that we make 02:42	2 the quote available for? 02:45
3 available that the BSC makes available on its 02:42	3 A. I am not. 02:45
4 website for interested parties, and it talks about 02:42	4 Q. Do you know whether the code strike that. 02:45
5 the timing, that's a rough outline of the timing of 02:42	5 Do you know whether the NFPA makes the code 02:45
6 the cycle, from pre-cycle all the way to publication 02:42	6 available to the State for the same price that it 02:45
7 and effective date. It's pretty blurry, so I can't 02:42	7 makes it available to the general public? 02:45
8 tell you the details. 02:42	
	8 A. I don't know. 02:45
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42	8 A. I don't know. 02:45 9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45
1	
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:42	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45 11 the general public for access to the code? 02:46
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:42 12 THE WITNESS: What occurs? 02:42	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45 11 the general public for access to the code? 02:46 12 A. I do not know. 02:46
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:42 12 THE WITNESS: What occurs? 02:42 13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:42	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45 11 the general public for access to the code? 02:46 12 A. I do not know. 02:46 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: I'm going to object to 02:46
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:42 12 THE WITNESS: What occurs? 02:42 13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:42 14 Q. It looks like if I'm reading this 02:42	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45 11 the general public for access to the code? 02:46 12 A. I do not know. 02:46 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: I'm going to object to 02:46 14 that as vague. 02:46
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:42 12 THE WITNESS: What occurs? 02:42 13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:42 14 Q. It looks like if I'm reading this 02:42 15 correctly, it looks like there is a timeline leading 02:42	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45 11 the general public for access to the code? 02:46 12 A. I do not know. 02:46 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: I'm going to object to 02:46 14 that as vague. 02:46 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: What was that? 02:46
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:42 12 THE WITNESS: What occurs? 02:42 13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:42 14 Q. It looks like if I'm reading this 02:42 15 correctly, it looks like there is a timeline leading 02:42 16 up to July 1st, 2016. Do you see that? 02:43	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45 11 the general public for access to the code? 02:46 12 A. I do not know. 02:46 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: I'm going to object to 02:46 14 that as vague. 02:46 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: What was that? 02:46 16 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Just preserving 02:46
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:42 12 THE WITNESS: What occurs? 02:42 13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:42 14 Q. It looks like if I'm reading this 02:42 15 correctly, it looks like there is a timeline leading 02:42 16 up to July 1st, 2016. Do you see that? 02:43 17 A. Uh-huh. 02:43	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45 11 the general public for access to the code? 02:46 12 A. I do not know. 02:46 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: I'm going to object to 02:46 14 that as vague. 02:46 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: What was that? 02:46 16 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Just preserving 02:46 17 objection that that was vague. 02:46
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:42 12 THE WITNESS: What occurs? 02:42 13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:42 14 Q. It looks like if I'm reading this 02:42 15 correctly, it looks like there is a timeline leading 02:42 16 up to July 1st, 2016. Do you see that? 02:43 17 A. Uh-huh. 02:43 18 Q. And then there's activity afterwards leading 02:43	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45 11 the general public for access to the code? 02:46 12 A. I do not know. 02:46 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: I'm going to object to 02:46 14 that as vague. 02:46 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: What was that? 02:46 16 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Just preserving 02:46 17 objection that that was vague. 02:46 18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:42 12 THE WITNESS: What occurs? 02:42 13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:42 14 Q. It looks like if I'm reading this 02:42 15 correctly, it looks like there is a timeline leading 02:42 16 up to July 1st, 2016. Do you see that? 02:43 17 A. Uh-huh. 02:43 18 Q. And then there's activity afterwards leading 02:43 19 up through January 1st, 2017. What occurs during 02:43	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45 11 the general public for access to the code? 02:46 12 A. I do not know. 02:46 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: I'm going to object to 02:46 14 that as vague. 02:46 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: What was that? 02:46 16 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Just preserving 02:46 17 objection that that was vague. 02:46 18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 19 Q. Going to hand you one document. We can mark 02:46
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:42 12 THE WITNESS: What occurs? 02:42 13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:42 14 Q. It looks like if I'm reading this 02:42 15 correctly, it looks like there is a timeline leading 02:42 16 up to July 1st, 2016. Do you see that? 02:43 17 A. Uh-huh. 02:43 18 Q. And then there's activity afterwards leading 02:43 19 up through January 1st, 2017. What occurs during 02:43 20 that intervening period? 02:43	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45 11 the general public for access to the code? 02:46 12 A. I do not know. 02:46 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: I'm going to object to 02:46 14 that as vague. 02:46 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: What was that? 02:46 16 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Just preserving 02:46 17 objection that that was vague. 02:46 18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 19 Q. Going to hand you one document. We can mark 02:46 20 this next in order. I believe it's 9. 02:46
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:42 12 THE WITNESS: What occurs? 02:42 13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:42 14 Q. It looks like if I'm reading this 02:42 15 correctly, it looks like there is a timeline leading 02:42 16 up to July 1st, 2016. Do you see that? 02:43 17 A. Uh-huh. 02:43 18 Q. And then there's activity afterwards leading 02:43 19 up through January 1st, 2017. What occurs during 02:43 20 that intervening period? 02:43 21 A. I can't read what the graphic says, and it's 02:43	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45 11 the general public for access to the code? 02:46 12 A. I do not know. 02:46 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: I'm going to object to 02:46 14 that as vague. 02:46 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: What was that? 02:46 16 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Just preserving 02:46 17 objection that that was vague. 02:46 18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 19 Q. Going to hand you one document. We can mark 02:46 20 this next in order. I believe it's 9. 02:46 21 (Exhibit 9 marked) 02:46
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:42 12 THE WITNESS: What occurs? 02:42 13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:42 14 Q. It looks like if I'm reading this 02:42 15 correctly, it looks like there is a timeline leading 02:42 16 up to July 1st, 2016. Do you see that? 02:43 17 A. Uh-huh. 02:43 18 Q. And then there's activity afterwards leading 02:43 19 up through January 1st, 2017. What occurs during 02:43 20 that intervening period? 02:43 21 A. I can't read what the graphic says, and it's 02:43 22 not what occurs in the intervening period. It is the 02:43	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45 11 the general public for access to the code? 02:46 12 A. I do not know. 02:46 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: I'm going to object to 02:46 14 that as vague. 02:46 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: What was that? 02:46 16 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Just preserving 02:46 17 objection that that was vague. 02:46 18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 19 Q. Going to hand you one document. We can mark 02:46 20 this next in order. I believe it's 9. 02:46 21 (Exhibit 9 marked) 02:46 22 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:46
9 Q. So what occurs after the publication has 02:42 10 been placed of the 2016? 02:42 11 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Vague. 02:42 12 THE WITNESS: What occurs? 02:42 13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:42 14 Q. It looks like if I'm reading this 02:42 15 correctly, it looks like there is a timeline leading 02:42 16 up to July 1st, 2016. Do you see that? 02:43 17 A. Uh-huh. 02:43 18 Q. And then there's activity afterwards leading 02:43 19 up through January 1st, 2017. What occurs during 02:43 20 that intervening period? 02:43 21 A. I can't read what the graphic says, and it's 02:43 22 not what occurs in the intervening period. It is the 02:43 23 wait period from the publication date to the 02:43	9 Q. Do you know whether the NFPA charges local 02:45 10 government agencies the same price as it charges to 02:45 11 the general public for access to the code? 02:46 12 A. I do not know. 02:46 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: I'm going to object to 02:46 14 that as vague. 02:46 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: What was that? 02:46 16 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Just preserving 02:46 17 objection that that was vague. 02:46 18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 19 Q. Going to hand you one document. We can mark 02:46 20 this next in order. I believe it's 9. 02:46 21 (Exhibit 9 marked) 02:46 22 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:46 23 Q. You've been handed a document marked for 02:46

1 A. No. 02:46	1 Q. How long ago was it? 02:49
2 Q. Do you know what the NCB coordination 02:47	1 2
3 process is? 02:47	3 Q. Would it have been before 2018? 02:49
4 A. Vaguely. 02:47	4 A. I don't know. 02:49
5 Q. What do you know of the NCB coordination 02:47	
6 process? 02:47	6 bid process successfully for the 2019 cycle? 02:50
7 A. It's conducted by DGS prior to entering a 02:47	7 A. Yes. 02:50
8 contract for a noncompetitive bid with a outside 02:47	8 Q. Did the California Building Standards 02:50
9 entity. 02:47	9 Commission always go through a process by strike 02:51
10 Q. And so "NCB" stands for noncompetitive bid? 02:47 11 A. Yes. 02:47	
	11 To your knowledge, did the State of 02:51
	12 California always rely on model codes in developing 02:51
13 noncompetitive bids with outside entities? 02:47  14 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:47	13 its building standards? 02:51
15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know. 02:47	MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 02:51
16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:47	15 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:51
17 Q. Do you know what the process entails? 02:47	16 THE WITNESS: That's a very broad question. 02:51 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:51
18 A. No. 02:47	
19 MR. FEE: Same objection. 02:47	18 Q. Is it one that you have any knowledge of? 02:51 19 MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 02:51
20 THE WITNESS: No. 02:47	
21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:47	20 THE WITNESS: Only in the recent history, 02:51 21 we've used model codes. I couldn't go back years and 02:51
22 Q. Have you had to go through a noncompetitive 02:47	
23 bid process with respect to your work on the 2019 02:47	23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:51
24 triennial edition of the California Building 02:48	24 Q. Are you aware of any time when California 02:51
25 Standards Codes? 02:48	25 would separately publish California amendments from 02:51
Page 142	Page 144
1 A. Yes. 02:48	1 model codes? 02:51
2 Q. Did you fill out forms similar to this as a 02:48	2 A. Yeah. It was a number of years ago. 02:51
3 part of that process? 02:48	3 Q. Do you recall when that was? 02:51
4 A. Yes. 02:48	4 A. No. 02:51
5 Q. Did you fill out the same forms that you're 02:48	5 Q. Do you have any knowledge of why a switch 02:51
6 seeing here? 02:48	
	6 was made to create single incorporated volumes? 02:51
7 A. I don't know if they're the exact same 02:48	6 was made to create single incorporated volumes? 02:51 7 MR. FEE: Objection. 02:52
7 A. I don't know if they're the exact same 02:48 8 forms. I don't know. 02:48	
	7 MR. FEE: Objection. 02:52
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48	7 MR. FEE: Objection. 02:52 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:52
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48 9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48	7 MR. FEE: Objection. 02:52 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:52 9 THE WITNESS: No. 02:52
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48 9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48 10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48	7 MR. FEE: Objection. 02:52 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:52 9 THE WITNESS: No. 02:52 10 Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48 9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48 10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48 11 the process? 02:48	7 MR. FEE: Objection. 02:52 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:52 9 THE WITNESS: No. 02:52 10 Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52 11 another 10, 15 minutes, can we take a quick break? 02:52
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48 9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48 10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48 11 the process? 02:48 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:48 13 foundation. 02:48 14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:48	7 MR. FEE: Objection. 02:52 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:52 9 THE WITNESS: No. 02:52 10 Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52 11 another 10, 15 minutes, can we take a quick break? 02:52 12 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yeah. There's no question 02:52
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48 9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48 10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48 11 the process? 02:48 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:48 13 foundation. 02:48 14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:48 15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:48	7 MR. FEE: Objection. 02:52 8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 02:52 9 THE WITNESS: No. 02:52 10 Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52 11 another 10, 15 minutes, can we take a quick break? 02:52 12 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yeah. There's no question 02:52 13 pending, so 02:52
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48 9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48 10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48 11 the process? 02:48 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:48 13 foundation. 02:48 14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:48 15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:48 16 Q. What did you need to show in going through 02:48	7       MR. FEE: Objection.       02:52         8       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.       02:52         9       THE WITNESS: No.       02:52         10       Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52         11       another 10, 15 minutes, can we take a quick break?       02:52         12       MR. NERCESSIAN: Yeah. There's no question 02:52         13       pending, so       02:52         14       THE WITNESS: Okay.       02:52         15       VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record. It's 2:52. 02:52         16       (Recess)       02:52
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48 9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48 10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48 11 the process? 02:48 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:48 13 foundation. 02:48 14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:48 15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:48 16 Q. What did you need to show in going through 02:48 17 the noncompetitive bid process during the 2019 cycle? 02:49	7       MR. FEE: Objection.       02:52         8       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.       02:52         9       THE WITNESS: No.       02:52         10       Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52         11       another 10, 15 minutes, can we take a quick break? 02:52         12       MR. NERCESSIAN: Yeah. There's no question 02:52         13       pending, so 02:52         14       THE WITNESS: Okay. 02:52         15       VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record. It's 2:52. 02:52         16       (Recess) 02:52         17       VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're back on the 03:07
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48 9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48 10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48 11 the process? 02:48 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:48 13 foundation. 02:48 14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:48 15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:48 16 Q. What did you need to show in going through 02:48	7       MR. FEE: Objection.       02:52         8       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.       02:52         9       THE WITNESS: No.       02:52         10       Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52         11       another 10, 15 minutes, can we take a quick break?       02:52         12       MR. NERCESSIAN: Yeah. There's no question 02:52         13       pending, so       02:52         14       THE WITNESS: Okay.       02:52         15       VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record. It's 2:52. 02:52         16       (Recess)       02:52
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48 9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48 10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48 11 the process? 02:48 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:48 13 foundation. 02:48 14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:48 15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:48 16 Q. What did you need to show in going through 02:48 17 the noncompetitive bid process during the 2019 cycle? 02:49	7       MR. FEE: Objection.       02:52         8       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.       02:52         9       THE WITNESS: No.       02:52         10       Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52         11       another 10, 15 minutes, can we take a quick break? 02:52         12       MR. NERCESSIAN: Yeah. There's no question 02:52         13       pending, so 02:52         14       THE WITNESS: Okay. 02:52         15       VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record. It's 2:52. 02:52         16       (Recess) 02:52         17       VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're back on the 03:07
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48  9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48  10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48  11 the process? 02:48  12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:48  13 foundation. 02:48  14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:48  15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:48  16 Q. What did you need to show in going through 02:48  17 the noncompetitive bid process during the 2019 cycle? 02:49  18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:49	7       MR. FEE: Objection.       02:52         8       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.       02:52         9       THE WITNESS: No.       02:52         10       Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52         11       another 10, 15 minutes, can we take a quick break?       02:52         12       MR. NERCESSIAN: Yeah. There's no question 02:52         13       pending, so       02:52         14       THE WITNESS: Okay.       02:52         15       VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record. It's 2:52. 02:52         16       (Recess)       02:52         17       VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're back on the 03:07         18       record. It's 3:08.       03:07
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48 9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48 10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48 11 the process? 02:48 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:48 13 foundation. 02:48 14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:48 15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:48 16 Q. What did you need to show in going through 02:48 17 the noncompetitive bid process during the 2019 cycle? 02:49 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:49 19 foundation. 02:49	7       MR. FEE: Objection.       02:52         8       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.       02:52         9       THE WITNESS: No.       02:52         10       Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52         11       another 10, 15 minutes, can we take a quick break?       02:52         12       MR. NERCESSIAN: Yeah. There's no question 02:52         13       pending, so       02:52         14       THE WITNESS: Okay.       02:52         15       VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record. It's 2:52. 02:52         16       (Recess)       02:52         17       VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're back on the 03:07         18       record. It's 3:08.       03:07         19       BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:08
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48 9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48 10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48 11 the process? 02:48 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:48 13 foundation. 02:48 14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:48 15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:48 16 Q. What did you need to show in going through 02:48 17 the noncompetitive bid process during the 2019 cycle? 02:49 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:49 19 foundation. 02:49 20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:49	7       MR. FEE: Objection.       02:52         8       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.       02:52         9       THE WITNESS: No.       02:52         10       Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52         11       another 10, 15 minutes, can we take a quick break?       02:52         12       MR. NERCESSIAN: Yeah. There's no question 02:52         13       pending, so       02:52         14       THE WITNESS: Okay.       02:52         15       VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record. It's 2:52. 02:52         16       (Recess)       02:52         17       VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're back on the 03:07         18       record. It's 3:08.       03:07         19       BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:08         20       Q. Ms. Marvelli, I'd like to return back to 03:08
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48 9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48 10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48 11 the process? 02:48 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:48 13 foundation. 02:48 14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:48 15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:48 16 Q. What did you need to show in going through 02:48 17 the noncompetitive bid process during the 2019 cycle? 02:49 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:49 19 foundation. 02:49 20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:49 21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:49	7       MR. FEE: Objection.       02:52         8       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.       02:52         9       THE WITNESS: No.       02:52         10       Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52         11       another 10, 15 minutes, can we take a quick break?       02:52         12       MR. NERCESSIAN: Yeah. There's no question 02:52         13       pending, so       02:52         14       THE WITNESS: Okay.       02:52         15       VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record. It's 2:52. 02:52         16       (Recess)       02:52         17       VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're back on the 03:07         18       record. It's 3:08.       03:07         19       BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:08         20       Q. Ms. Marvelli, I'd like to return back to 03:08         21       Exhibit 7 a moment. Who at CBSC had principal roles 03:08         22       in approving the terms of this contract? 03:08         23       A. Well, I signed off on it, and then also, I 03:08
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48  9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48  10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48  11 the process? 02:48  12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:48  13 foundation. 02:48  14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:48  15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:48  16 Q. What did you need to show in going through 02:48  17 the noncompetitive bid process during the 2019 cycle? 02:49  18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:49  19 foundation. 02:49  20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:49  21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:49  22 Q. Did you have to provide any information to 02:49	7       MR. FEE: Objection.       02:52         8       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.       02:52         9       THE WITNESS: No.       02:52         10       Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52         11       another 10, 15 minutes, can we take a quick break?       02:52         12       MR. NERCESSIAN: Yeah. There's no question 02:52         13       pending, so       02:52         14       THE WITNESS: Okay.       02:52         15       VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record. It's 2:52. 02:52         16       (Recess)       02:52         17       VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're back on the 03:07         18       record. It's 3:08.       03:07         19       BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:08         20       Q. Ms. Marvelli, I'd like to return back to 03:08         21       Exhibit 7 a moment. Who at CBSC had principal roles 03:08         22       in approving the terms of this contract? 03:08
8 forms. I don't know. 02:48 9 Q. When going through this process in the 2019 02:48 10 cycle, what disclosures needed to be made as part of 02:48 11 the process? 02:48 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:48 13 foundation. 02:48 14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:48 15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:48 16 Q. What did you need to show in going through 02:48 17 the noncompetitive bid process during the 2019 cycle? 02:49 18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lack of 02:49 19 foundation. 02:49 20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 02:49 21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 02:49 22 Q. Did you have to provide any information to 02:49 23 the Department of General Services in going through 02:49	7       MR. FEE: Objection.       02:52         8       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form.       02:52         9       THE WITNESS: No.       02:52         10       Quick question. If we're going to go on for 02:52         11       another 10, 15 minutes, can we take a quick break?       02:52         12       MR. NERCESSIAN: Yeah. There's no question 02:52         13       pending, so       02:52         14       THE WITNESS: Okay.       02:52         15       VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record. It's 2:52. 02:52         16       (Recess)       02:52         17       VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're back on the 03:07         18       record. It's 3:08.       03:07         19       BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:08         20       Q. Ms. Marvelli, I'd like to return back to 03:08         21       Exhibit 7 a moment. Who at CBSC had principal roles 03:08         22       in approving the terms of this contract? 03:08         23       A. Well, I signed off on it, and then also, I 03:08

1 the terms? 03:08	1 question. It would be just clarity. 03:11
2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for 03:08	2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:11
3 speculation. 03:08	3 Q. I know. 03:11
4 THE WITNESS: The only other staff member at 03:08	4 A. Yeah. 03:11
5 CBSC was our staff services manager. 03:08	5 Q. But you can still answer it. 03:11
6 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:08	6 A. I don't know the specifics. It was just 03:11
7 Q. And who was that? 03:08	7 clarity for how the contract read and certain wording 03:11
8 A. Katrina Benny. 03:09	8 of the codes and yeah. That's about it. 03:12
9 Q. What role did she play? 03:09	9 Q. Did you instruct Ms. Benny to make any 03:12
10 A. Drafting the information. 03:09	10 substantive changes to the contract terms? 03:12
11 Q. Drafting what information? 03:09	11 A. I don't recall. 03:12
12 A. The 2000 the information that you see in 03:09	12 Q. Did anyone tell you that any particular 03:12
13 the exhibit. 03:09	13 terms of the contract were important to the State? 03:12
14 Q. Did the information in this exhibit 03:09	14 A. That's 03:12
15 originate from CBSC? 03:09	MR. YEN: You can exclude communications 03:1
16 A. I don't know. It was similar to what was in 03:09	16 with lawyers 03:12
17 the last edition of the contract, so it wasn't 03:09	17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 03:12
18 originated. Not sure where it was originated from. 03:09	MR. YEN: in answering your question. 03:12
19 Q. Did Katrina Benny do the first draft of this 03:09	THE WITNESS: Yeah. So it would just be the 03:13
20 contract? 03:10	20 discussions we had with the contracts unit. 03:12
21 A. I don't recall. 03:10	21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:12
Q. Was this the first contract you had worked 03:10	22 Q. And what were the substance of those 03:12
23 on? 03:10	23 discussions? 03:12
24 A. Yes. 03:10	24 A. Just the contents of the contract and the 03:12
25 Q. Who at DGS played a role in approving the 03:10 Page 146	25 way it's written. 03:13 Page 148
1 terms of the contract? 03:10	1 Q. Did they call out any particular terms of 03:13
2 A. I don't have that. I don't know. 03:10	2 the contract that were important to the State? 03:13
3 Q. Did you have any conversations with anybody 03:10	3 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 03:13
4 at DGS regarding the terms of this contract? 03:10	4 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know how to 03:1:
5 MR. YEN: It's a "yes" or "no" question. 03:10	5 answer that. It's sort of a standard contract that 03:13
6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 03:10	6 is modified for a specific year. There isn't really 03:13
7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:10	7 a negotiation back and forth between BSC and the 03:13
8 Q. Who at DGS did you have conversations with 03:10	8 contracts unit on what is good or bad. It's more of 03:13
9 regarding the terms of this contract? 03:10	9 just the contents of it for this particular cycle. 03:13
10 A. It would have been reviewed by Legal as 03:10	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:13
11 well. 03:11	11 Q. Do you recall how long the contract took to 03:13
12 Q. In addition to any other persons at DGS? 03:11	12 finalize? 03:13
13 A. The contract staff at DGS, but I don't know 03:11	13 A. I do not recall that. 03:13
14 who by name. 03:11	14 Q. Was it more than a month? 03:13
15 Q. Anybody else at DGS? 03:11	15 A. Yes, it was more than a month. 03:13
16 A. Not that I'm aware of. 03:11	16 Q. Was it more than two months? 03:13
17 Q. Did you have any discussions with Katrina 03:11	17 A. I don't recall. 03:13
18 Benny regarding the terms in this contract? 03:11	18 Q. Could it have been more than two months? 03:13
19 A. Yes. 03:11	MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 03:14
20 Q. What were those conversations? 03:11	20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 03:14
21 A. Just edits to the contract. 03:11	21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:14
22 Q. What edits did you prescribe to Ms. Benny 03:11	22 Q. You can still answer. 03:14
23 concerning the contract? 03:11	23 A. I don't recall. 03:14
24 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 03:11	24 Q. Could it have been more than six months? 03:14
25 THE WITNESS: Just that's a broad 03:11	25 MR. YEN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 03:14
Page 147	Page 149

1 THE WITNESS: I honestly don't recall. 03:14	1 was consistent with contracts with other model code 03:18
2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:14	2 providers? 03:18
3 Q. Were you aware of any flexibility in 03:14	3 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That 03:18
4 particular terms of the contract? 03:14	4 mischaracterizes her testimony. 03:18
5 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 03:14	5 THE WITNESS: When we develop the contracts 03:18
6 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 03:14	6 with the other model, the contracts are generally 03:18
7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:14	7 developed in the same amount of same timeframe 03:18
8 Q. Does that mean you weren't aware of any 03:14	8 because we're adopting the codes at the same cycle, 03:18
9 flexibility that existed in the terms of the 03:15	9 so the contract with IAPMO and the contract with ICC 03:19
10 contract? 03:15	10 are developed the similar time, and so they're 03:19
11 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 03:15	11 similar in nature. When I review them, I can see 03:19
12 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm not aware. 03:15	12 that they're similar in nature. 03:19
13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:15	13 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:19
14 Q. And it's your testimony that you modelled 03:15	14 Q. What do you understand that this lawsuit is 03:19
15 this contract for the 2016 edition on past contracts? 03:15	15 about? 03:19
16 A. Yes. 03:15	16 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's 03:19
17 Q. Past contracts with NFPA? 03:15	17 outside the scope of the subpoena. 03:19
18 A. With other model code developers as well. 03:15	18 THE WITNESS: I wish I knew. 03:19
19 O. Like this document marked next in order. 03:15	19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:19
20 (Exhibit 10 marked) 03:16	20 Q. Well, what do you know about 03:19
21 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:16	21 Public.Resource? 03:19
22 Q. You have been handed a document marked for 03:16	22 A. They're a company that has codes online. 03:19
23 identification as Exhibit 10. What is this document? 03:16	23 That's all I know. 03:19
24 A. I don't know. 03:16	24 Q. What do you know about Carl Malamud? 03:19
25 Q. Have you seen this document? 03:16	25 A. They submitted he submitted a PRA request 03:19
Page 150	Page 152
1 A. Not prior to today, no. 03:16	1 through Public.Resource. 03:19
2 Q. Do you recall consulting this document or 03:16	2 Q. Have you had any communications regarding 03:19
3 any other similar documents in developing the terms 03:16	3 Public.Resource with anyone? 03:19
4 of the 2016 contract? 03:17	4 A. No. Just in regards to answering the PRAs 03:20
5 MR. YEN: Objection. Vague. 03:17	5 and just staff and coordinating the PRA requests. 03:20
6 THE WITNESS: I have not seen this document 03:17	6 Q. No communications outside of the context of 03:20
7 before. 03:17	7 the PRA requests? 03:20
8 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:17	8 A. No, not that I'm aware of, no. 03:20
9 Q. This document identifies an agreement 03:17	9 Q. Have you had any communications about Carl 03:20
9 Q. This document identifies an agreement (93.17)	
10 between BNi_NFPA and CBSC_does it not? 03-17	
10 between BNi, NFPA and CBSC, does it not? 03:17	10 Malamud? 03:20
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17	10 Malamud? 03:20 11 A. No. 03:20
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17	10 Malamud? 03:20 11 A. No. 03:20 12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17 13 the document, to the signature page. 03:17	10 Malamud?       03:20         11 A. No.       03:20         12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20         13 lawsuit?       03:20
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17 13 the document, to the signature page. 03:17 14 A. Uh-huh. 03:17	10 Malamud?       03:20         11 A. No.       03:20         12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20         13 lawsuit?       03:20         14 MR. YEN: Again, excluding communications 03:20
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17 13 the document, to the signature page. 03:17 14 A. Uh-huh. 03:17 15 Q. And underneath "Department of General 03:17	10 Malamud?       03:20         11 A. No.       03:20         12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20         13 lawsuit?       03:20         14 MR. YEN: Again, excluding communications 03:20         15 with any lawyers.       03:20
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17 13 the document, to the signature page. 03:17 14 A. Uh-huh. 03:17 15 Q. And underneath "Department of General 03:17 16 Services Procurement Division for the California	10 Malamud?       03:20         11 A. No.       03:20         12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20         13 lawsuit?       03:20         14 MR. YEN: Again, excluding communications 03:20         15 with any lawyers.       03:20         16 THE WITNESS: No.       03:20
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17 13 the document, to the signature page. 03:17 14 A. Uh-huh. 03:17 15 Q. And underneath "Department of General 03:17 16 Services Procurement Division for the California 17 Building Standards Commission," there's a signature. 03:17	10 Malamud?       03:20         11 A. No.       03:20         12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20         13 lawsuit?       03:20         14 MR. YEN: Again, excluding communications 03:20         15 with any lawyers.       03:20         16 THE WITNESS: No.       03:20         17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       03:20
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17 13 the document, to the signature page. 03:17 14 A. Uh-huh. 03:17 15 Q. And underneath "Department of General 03:17 16 Services Procurement Division for the California 17 Building Standards Commission," there's a signature. 03:17 18 Can you read the name of the individual signing? 03:18	10 Malamud?       03:20         11 A. No.       03:20         12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20         13 lawsuit?       03:20         14 MR. YEN: Again, excluding communications 03:20         15 with any lawyers.       03:20         16 THE WITNESS: No.       03:20         17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       03:20         18 Q. Like to return back to Exhibit 2 a moment, 03:21
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17 13 the document, to the signature page. 03:17 14 A. Uh-huh. 03:17 15 Q. And underneath "Department of General 03:17 16 Services Procurement Division for the California 17 Building Standards Commission," there's a signature. 03:17 18 Can you read the name of the individual signing? 03:18 19 A. It says "Marnell Voss, Manager of the 03:18	10 Malamud?       03:20         11 A. No.       03:20         12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20         13 lawsuit?       03:20         14 MR. YEN: Again, excluding communications 03:20         15 with any lawyers.       03:20         16 THE WITNESS: No.       03:20         17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       03:20         18 Q. Like to return back to Exhibit 2 a moment, 03:21         19 and I'd like to call your attention again to the 03:21
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17 13 the document, to the signature page. 03:17 14 A. Uh-huh. 03:17 15 Q. And underneath "Department of General 03:17 16 Services Procurement Division for the California 17 Building Standards Commission," there's a signature. 03:17 18 Can you read the name of the individual signing? 03:18 19 A. It says "Marnell Voss, Manager of the 03:18 20 Contracts and Acquisitions." 03:18	10 Malamud?       03:20         11 A. No.       03:20         12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20         13 lawsuit?       03:20         14 MR. YEN: Again, excluding communications 03:20         15 with any lawyers.       03:20         16 THE WITNESS: No.       03:20         17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       03:20         18 Q. Like to return back to Exhibit 2 a moment, 03:21         19 and I'd like to call your attention again to the 03:21         20 front page of the exhibit. Aside from those 03:21
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17 13 the document, to the signature page. 03:17 14 A. Uh-huh. 03:17 15 Q. And underneath "Department of General 03:17 16 Services Procurement Division for the California 17 Building Standards Commission," there's a signature. 03:17 18 Can you read the name of the individual signing? 03:18 19 A. It says "Marnell Voss, Manager of the 03:18 20 Contracts and Acquisitions." 03:18 21 Q. Do you know Marnell Voss? 03:18	10 Malamud?       03:20         11 A. No.       03:20         12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20         13 lawsuit?       03:20         14 MR. YEN: Again, excluding communications 03:20         15 with any lawyers.       03:20         16 THE WITNESS: No.       03:20         17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       03:20         18 Q. Like to return back to Exhibit 2 a moment, 03:21         19 and I'd like to call your attention again to the 03:21         20 front page of the exhibit. Aside from those 03:21         21 notations on the cover page of the exhibit, do you 03:21
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17 13 the document, to the signature page. 03:17 14 A. Uh-huh. 03:17 15 Q. And underneath "Department of General 03:17 16 Services Procurement Division for the California 17 Building Standards Commission," there's a signature. 03:17 18 Can you read the name of the individual signing? 03:18 19 A. It says "Marnell Voss, Manager of the 03:18 20 Contracts and Acquisitions." 03:18 21 Q. Do you know Marnell Voss? 03:18 22 A. No. 03:18	10 Malamud? 03:20 11 A. No. 03:20 12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20 13 lawsuit? 03:20 14 MR. YEN: Again, excluding communications 03:20 15 with any lawyers. 03:20 16 THE WITNESS: No. 03:20 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:20 18 Q. Like to return back to Exhibit 2 a moment, 03:21 19 and I'd like to call your attention again to the 03:21 20 front page of the exhibit. Aside from those 03:21 21 notations on the cover page of the exhibit, do you 03:21 22 recognize this document? 03:21
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17 13 the document, to the signature page. 03:17 14 A. Uh-huh. 03:17 15 Q. And underneath "Department of General 03:17 16 Services Procurement Division for the California 17 Building Standards Commission," there's a signature. 03:17 18 Can you read the name of the individual signing? 03:18 19 A. It says "Marnell Voss, Manager of the 03:18 20 Contracts and Acquisitions." 03:18 21 Q. Do you know Marnell Voss? 03:18 22 A. No. 03:18 23 Q. Do you know of Marnell Voss? 03:18	10 Malamud? 03:20 11 A. No. 03:20 12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20 13 lawsuit? 03:20 14 MR. YEN: Again, excluding communications 03:20 15 with any lawyers. 03:20 16 THE WITNESS: No. 03:20 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:20 18 Q. Like to return back to Exhibit 2 a moment, 03:21 19 and I'd like to call your attention again to the 03:21 20 front page of the exhibit. Aside from those 03:21 21 notations on the cover page of the exhibit, do you 03:21 22 recognize this document? 03:21 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 03:21
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17 13 the document, to the signature page. 03:17 14 A. Uh-huh. 03:17 15 Q. And underneath "Department of General 03:17 16 Services Procurement Division for the California 17 Building Standards Commission," there's a signature. 03:17 18 Can you read the name of the individual signing? 03:18 19 A. It says "Marnell Voss, Manager of the 03:18 20 Contracts and Acquisitions." 03:18 21 Q. Do you know Marnell Voss? 03:18 22 A. No. 03:18 23 Q. Do you know of Marnell Voss? 03:18 24 A. No. 03:18	10 Malamud? 03:20 11 A. No. 03:20 12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20 13 lawsuit? 03:20 14 MR. YEN: Again, excluding communications 03:20 15 with any lawyers. 03:20 16 THE WITNESS: No. 03:20 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:20 18 Q. Like to return back to Exhibit 2 a moment, 03:21 19 and I'd like to call your attention again to the 03:21 20 front page of the exhibit. Aside from those 03:21 21 notations on the cover page of the exhibit, do you 03:21 22 recognize this document? 03:21 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 03:21 24 Authenticity. 03:21
11 A. That's what the top reads, yes. 03:17 12 Q. Want to call your attention to page 10 of 03:17 13 the document, to the signature page. 03:17 14 A. Uh-huh. 03:17 15 Q. And underneath "Department of General 03:17 16 Services Procurement Division for the California 17 Building Standards Commission," there's a signature. 03:17 18 Can you read the name of the individual signing? 03:18 19 A. It says "Marnell Voss, Manager of the 03:18 20 Contracts and Acquisitions." 03:18 21 Q. Do you know Marnell Voss? 03:18 22 A. No. 03:18 23 Q. Do you know of Marnell Voss? 03:18	10 Malamud? 03:20 11 A. No. 03:20 12 Q. Have you spoken to anyone at DGS about this 03:20 13 lawsuit? 03:20 14 MR. YEN: Again, excluding communications 03:20 15 with any lawyers. 03:20 16 THE WITNESS: No. 03:20 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:20 18 Q. Like to return back to Exhibit 2 a moment, 03:21 19 and I'd like to call your attention again to the 03:21 20 front page of the exhibit. Aside from those 03:21 21 notations on the cover page of the exhibit, do you 03:21 22 recognize this document? 03:21 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 03:21

2 MR. NERCESSIAN: Aside -okay. Strike   03-21     0, So is there anything you can point to apart   03-24   1		
3 halt	1 page? 03:21	
4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:   0.3:21   4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Same objection.   0.3:24   0.3:24   0.3:24   0.3:24   0.3:24   0.3:24   0.3:25   0.3:22   0.3:22   0.3:25   0.3:25   0.3:25   0.3:25   0.3:26   0.3:26   0.3:26   0.3:26   0.3:26   0.3:27   0.3:26   0.3:27   0.3:26   0.3:27   0.3:27   0.3:26   0.3:27   0.3:27   0.3:27   0.3:27   0.3:27   0.3:27   0.3:28   0.3:28   0.3:28   0.3:29   0	_	2 from the cover that makes you doubt that this is the 03:24
5 Q. I want to direct your attention to the   03/21   6 page that reads "2016 California Electrical Code,   03/22   7 MS. MILLER.ZIGGLER: The document's a   03/24   9 A. I see that.   03/22   9 A. I see that.   03/22   1 you recognize this document'   03/22   1 you recognize this document'   03/22   1 you recognize this document'   03/22   1 you recognize this document   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/24   1 to say that it's consistent, but I can't tell you   03/25   1 to pyou recognize sin's flow for something   03/25   1 to pyou recognize sin's flow for something   03/25   1 to pyou recognize sin's flow flow flow flow flow flow flow flow		3 California Electrical Code? 03:24
6 page that reads "2016 California Electrical Code, 03:22 7 California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 3." 03:22 8 Do you see that? 03:22 9 A. I see that. 03:22 9 A. I see that. 03:22 10 Q. Aside from the notations on this page, do 03:22 11 you recognize this document? 03:22 12 12 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 03:22 12 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 03:22 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 03:22 14 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 03:22 15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she 03:22 15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she 03:22 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: 103:22 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: 103:22 18 she recognize this document. 03:22 18 she recognize this document. 03:22 18 she recognize this document. 03:22 19 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 03:22 18 she recognize this document. 03:22 19 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 03:22 19 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Same objection. 03:25 14 Q. Do you recognize anything that suggests it 03:25 14		<u> </u>
7 California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 3," 03:22 8 Do you see that? 9 A. I see that. 93:22 10 Q. A side from the notations on this page, do 03:22 11 you recognize this document? 03:22 12 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 03:22 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection form. 03:22 14 MR. FEE: You're asking him to review every. 03:22 15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she 03:22 16 recognizes if? What's the question? 03:22 17 MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:22 18 she recognize this document. 03:22 19 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 03:22 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. It appears that it's 03:22 22 the California Electrical Code, but, nagari, it's a 03:22 23 huge document to take a look at. 03:22 24 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:22 25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations on the title — strike 03:22 2 that. 0 on the cover page, that includes the title — strike 03:23 10 on the cover page, that includes the title — strike 03:23 11 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," in cash is every 10:22 16 that looks out of — strike that. 03:23 17 is there anything apart from the cover that does not 03:23 18 the recognistential from the cover that does not 03:23 19 then — 03:23 10 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 11 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23 12 TITLE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? in mean is there anything o3:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," in mean is there anything o3:23 16 that looks out of — strike that. 03:23 17 is there anything apart from the cover that does not 03:23 18 to make sure that you can answer his question. 03:23 19 Sy MR. VERCESSIAN: 03:23 10 THE WITNESS: - what do you mean by 03:23 11 MR. YEN: — go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: - what do you mean by 03:23 13 The with the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:24 0 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 0 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 0 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: O		
8 Do you see that?		6 Q. You can take time to skim it. 03:24
9 A. I see that.   03:22   03 A side from the notations on this page, do   03:22   10 Q. Aside from the notations on this page, do   03:22   12 MR. FEE: Objection to form.   03:22   12 MR. FEE: Objection to form.   03:22   13 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:22   15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she   03:22   15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she   03:22   15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she   03:22   15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she   03:22   15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she   03:22   15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she   03:22   15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she   03:22   16 recognizes if? What's the question?   03:22   17 MR. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:22   18 she recognize this document.   03:22   19 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:22   19 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:22   19 TILE WITNESS: Yeah. It appears that it's   03:22   22 the California Electrical Code, but, again, it's a   03:22   23 huge document to take a look at.   03:22   25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations of 3:22   25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations on the title - strike   03:22   26 hat.   03:23   27   28   28   29   29   29   29   29   29		
10 Q. Aside from the notations on this page, do   03:22   11 you recognize this document?   03:22   12   MR. FEE: Objection form.   03:22   13   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:22   13   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:22   15   Day of Poth of these binders and tell you if she   03:22   16 recognizes it? What's the question?   03:22   16 frecognizes it? What's the question?   03:22   17   A. Well, I'm not -   03:25   16 through and look for something.   03:25   17   A. Well, I'm not -   03:25   17   A. Well, I'm not -   03:25   18   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:22   19   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:22   20   Authenticity.   03:22   21   THE WITNESS: Yeah. It appears that it's   03:22   22   22   the California Electrical Code, but, again, it's a   03:22   23   huge document to take a look at.   03:22   24   MY MR. NERCESSIAN:   03:25   25   Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations   03:22   25   Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations   03:22   25   Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations on the title   03:23   3   Leaving aside the notations on the title   03:23   3   Leaving aside the notations on the title   03:23   4   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection, voluntered   03:23   5   Ook consistent with the 2016 California Electrical   03:23   5   Ook consistent with the 2016 California Electrical   03:23   6   Ook consistent with the 2016 California Electrical   03:23   7   MR. YEN: - go ahead.   03:23   15   Ook government   03:24   Ook government   03:24   Ook government   03:25   Ook government   03:25   Ook government   03:25   Ook governmen		· ·
11 you recognize this document?		
12 MR. FEE: Objection to form.   03:22   13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:25   14 MS. PEE: You're asking him to review every   03:22   15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she   03:22   16 recognizes it? What's the question?   03:22   16 recognizes it? What's the question?   03:22   16 trecognize it? What's the question?   03:22   17 MR. NERCESSIAN:   03:25   18 she recognize this document.   03:22   18 she recognize this document.   03:22   19 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:25   18 she recognize this document.   03:22   19 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:25   18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Some objection.   03:25   18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Same objection.   03:25   21 so form to see something.   03:25   22 couldn't - 1'd have to look at every page, and I'm   03:25   22 couldn't - 1'd have to look at every page, and I'm   03:25   23 not an expert on the California Electrical Code.   03:25   24 MF MR. NERCESSIAN:   03:24   25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations on the title   03:23   25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations on the title   03:23   25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations on the title   03:23   25 Q. MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it   03:23   25 Q. MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it   03:23   26 Code?   03:23   27 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it   03:23   27 MR. YEN: and a consistent with the 2016 California Electrical   03:23   27 MR. YEN: a go ahead.   03:23   17 Is there anything apart from the condations of this   03:24   19 isn't the California Electrical Code?   03:27   13 'consistent," mean is there anything   03:23   15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection, You're   03:27		
13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:22   14 MK. FEE: You're asking him to review every   03:22   15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she   03:22   16 recognizes it? What's the question?   03:22   16 through and look for something.   03:25   18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:25   18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Same objection.   03:25   19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not an expert on the California Electrical Code.   03:25   22 couldn't - I'd have to look at every page, and I'm   03:25   22 couldn't - I'd have to look at every page, and I'm   03:25   23 mot an expert on the California Electrical Code.   03:26   24 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:26   25 Q. I'm just looking for something.   03:26   26 Ms. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:26   27 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:26   27 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:26   28 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:27   28 MS. MILLER		
14   MR. FEE: You're asking him to review every   03:22   15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she   03:22   16 frecognizes: it? Wharfs the question?   03:22   16 frecognizes: it? Wharfs the question, does   03:22   17   MR. NERCESSIAN: That's the question, does   03:22   17   MR. NERCESSIAN: That's the question, does   03:22   18 she recognize this document.   03:22   18 she recognize this document.   03:22   18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:22   19   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Chloeiton.   03:22   19   THE WITNESS: Yeah. It appears that it's   03:22   19   THE WITNESS: Yeah. It appears that it's   03:22   19   The WITNESS: And you don't have to look at every page, and Tm   03:25   22 couldn't - Id have to look at every page, and Tm   03:25   23 huge document to take a look at.   03:22   22 couldn't - Id have to look at every page, and Tm   03:25   23 huge document to take a look at.   03:22   23 not an expert on the California Electrical Code.   03:25   24 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:   03:24   24 page, anything apart from the cover that does not   03:23   3 Leaving aside the notations on the title   03:23   4 land, anything apart from the cover that does not   03:23   4 land, anything apart from the cover that does not   03:23   4 land, anything apart from the cover that does not   03:23   4 land, anything apart from the cover that does not   03:23   4 land, anything apart from the cover that does not   03:23   4 land, anything apart from the cover that does not   03:23   4 land, anything apart from the   03:26   5 given her a copy of the California Electrical Code.   03:26   6 land, anything apart from the   03:23   6 land, anything	_	
15 page of both of these binders and tell you if she   03:22   16 recognizes it? What's the question?   03:22   17 MR. NERCESSIAN: That's the question, does   03:22   18 she recognize this document.   03:22   18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:25   18 she recognize this document.   03:22   18 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Same objection.   03:25   19 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:22   19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. It appears that it's   03:22   20 everything that's in the California Electrical Code, but, again, it's a   03:22   21 so for me to see something that is inconsistent, I   03:25   22 couldn't – I'd have to look at every page, and I'm   03:25   23 hot an expert on the California Electrical Code.   03:25   24 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:   03:22   25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations   03:22   25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations of 3:23   25 Q. Thijust looking for something, one thing   03:26   25 Q. Thijust looking for something, one thing   03:26   26 Q. Thijust looking for something, one thing   03:26   26 Q. Thijust looking for something, one thing   03:26   26 Q. Thijust looking for something, one thing   03:26   26 Q. Thijust looking for something, one thing   03:26   27 Q. Thijust looking for something, one thing   03:26   28 YMR. NERCESSIAN:   03:23   28 YMR. NERCESSIAN:   03:23   29 Q. Thijust looking for something, one thing   03:26   29 Q. Thijust looking for something, one thing   03:26   29 Q. Thijust looking for something, one thing   03:26   29 Q. Thijust looking for something, one thing   03:26   29 Q. Thijust looking for something, one thing   03:26   29 Q. Thijust looking for something, one thing   03:26   29 Q. Thijust look in the cover page that cuse of the page   03:27   29 Q. Thijust look		
16 recognizes it? What's the question?   03:22   16 through and look for something.   03:25   17   MR. NERCESSIAN: That's the question, does   03:22   18   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Same objection.   03:25   18   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Same objection.   03:25   19   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:25   19   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Same objection.   03:25   10   Size in the California Electrical Code,		
17    MR. NERCESSIAN: That's the question, does   03:22   17    A. Well, I'm not		
18   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:22   19   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:25   20   Authenticity.   03:22   21   THE WITNESS: Yeah. It appears that it's   03:22   22   the California Electrical Code, but, again, it's a   03:22   23   huge document to take a look at.   03:22   23   huge document to take a look at.   03:22   24   BY MR. NERCESSIAN:   03:22   25   Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations   03:22   25   Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations   03:22   26   dat.   03:23   27   28   29   dat.   03:23   28   29   dat.   03:23   29   dat.   03:25   dat.   03:26   date over that does not dose not brittle   03:23   29   date over that the 2016 California Electrical   03:24   29   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over that you can answer his question,   03:23   date over the date	_	
19   MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.   03:22   20   Authenticity.   03:22   21   THE WITNESS: Yeah. It appears that it's   03:22   22   the California Electrical Code, but, again, it's a   03:22   23   huge document to take a look at.   03:22   24   BY MR. NERCESSIAN:   03:22   25   Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations   03:22   25   Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations   03:22   25   Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations   03:23   26   Page 154   27   Day of the California Electrical Code.   03:25   28   WMR. NERCESSIAN:   03:26   29   4   MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it   03:23   3   Leaving aside the notations on the title   03:23   4   MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it   03:23   5   10   THE WITNESS: Okay. And	_	
20 Authenticity. 03:22 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. It appears that it's 03:22 22 the California Electrical Code, but, again, it's a 03:22 23 huge document to take a look at. 03:22 24 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:22 25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations 03:22 26 that includes the title strike 03:22 27 that cover page, that includes the title strike 03:23 3 Leaving aside the notations on the title 03:23 4 page, anything apart from the cover that does not 03:23 5 look consistent with the 2016 California Electrical Code. 03:26 6 Code? 03:23 8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 11 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23 8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 24 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26 25 Q. I'm just looking for something, one thing 03:26 26 Page 156 27 One that those notations that makes you doubt that 03:26 28 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code. Can you 03:26 29 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code. O3:26 4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't 03:26 5 given her a copy of the California Electrical Code. 03:26 6 Page 156  1 other than those notations that makes you doubt that 03:26 2 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code. O3:26 3 do that? 3 do that? 4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't 03:26 5 given her a copy of the California Electrical Code. 03:26 6 Page 156  1 other than those notations that makes you doubt that 03:26 2 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code. 03:26 3 do that?  1 other than those notations that makes you doubt that 03:26 2 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code. 03:26		,
21		<u> </u>
22 the California Electrical Code, but, again, it's a 03:22 23 huge document to take a look at. 03:22 24 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:22 25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations 03:22 26 Lat. 03:23 3 Leaving aside the notations on the title 03:23 4 page, anything apart from the cover that does not 03:23 5 look consistent with the 2016 California Electrical 03:23 6 Code? 03:23 7 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23 8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23 11 MR. YEN: go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:24 19 jisn't the California Electrical Code, 03:24 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't 03:26 4 Page 156  21 on the cover page that makes you doubt that 03:26 22 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code. Can you 03:26 3 do that? 03:26 4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't 03:26 6 The not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 6 The not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 7 determination right now. 03:26 8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 10 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 11 MR. YEN: go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:24 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 press to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:25 26 Code? 03:26 27 that the California Electrical Code. 03:26 28 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code. 03:26 29 the notations of the cover page that reads "2016 03:26 3 to there may thing one thing other than the 03:26 3 to the activation of the cover		
23 huge document to take a look at.  24 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations  30:22 25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations  30:22 26 Unit on the cover page, that includes the title strike 03:22 27 that.  28 Leaving aside the notations on the title  30:23 3 Leaving aside the notations on the title  30:23 4 page, anything apart from the cover that does not  30:23 5 look consistent with the 2016 California Electrical  30:23 6 Code?  30:23 7 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it  30:23 8 to make sure that you can answer his question,  30:23 9 then  30:23 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And  30:23 11 MR. YEN: —go shead.  30:23 12 THE WITNESS: —what do you mean by  30:23 13 "consistent"?  30:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  30:23 15 Q. I'm just looking for something, one thing  4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't  30:26 2 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code. Can you  30:26 4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't  30:26 6 Code?  4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't  30:26 6 Trun to sure how she's supposed to make that  30:26 6 Trun to sure how she's supposed to make that  30:26 6 Trun to sure how she's supposed to make that  30:26 7 MR. YEN: —go shead.  30:23 8 THE WITNESS: I don't — sorry. Repeat the  30:26 7 Question again.  30:26 8 THE WITNESS: I don't — sorry. Repeat the  30:26 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  30:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the  30:26 12 Inotations on the cover page that reads "2016  30:27 13 California Electrical Code?  30:27 14 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code?  30:26 15 given her a copy of the California Electrical Code?  4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't  30:26 16 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that  30:26 17 Getermination right now.  30:26 18 THE WITNESS: I don't — sorry. Repeat the  30:26 19 question again.  30:26 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  30:26 11 Q. Is there anything, one thing other than the  30:26 12 Inotations on the cover page that reads "2016 On3:27 13 California Electrical Co		
24 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  03:22 Page 154  25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations 03:22 Page 154  1 on the cover page, that includes the title – strike 03:22 2 that. 03:23 3 Leaving aside the notations on the title 03:23 4 page, anything apart from the cover that does not 03:23 5 look consistent with the 2016 California Electrical 03:23 6 Code? 03:23 7 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23 8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23 11 MR. YEN: — go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: — what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations of that makes you doubt that 03:26 6 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 6 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 6 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 6 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 6 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 6 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 6 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 7 determination right now. 03:26 8 THE WITNESS: I don't sorry. Repeat the 03:26 9 question again. 03:26 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 11 Q. Is there anything, one thing other than the 03:26 12 notations on the cover page that reads "2016 o 03:27 14 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27 16 do so. 03:27 17 haven't given her an actual copy of that document to 03:27 18 do so. 03:27 19 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 20 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:27 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 26 WHA. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 27 Wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 28 unuthenticate it. I'm asking		1
25 Q. Any reason why, leaving aside the notations 03:22 Page 154  1 on the cover page, that includes the title — strike 03:22 2 that. 03:23 3 Leaving aside the notations on the title 03:23 4 page, anything apart from the cover that does not 03:23 5 look consistent with the 2016 California Electrical 03:23 6 Code? 03:23 7 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23 8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 9 then — 03:23 11 MR. YEN: — go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. And — 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of — strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 26 University of the California Electrical Code? 03:27 27 Consistent with the 2016 California Electrical Code. 03:26 2 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code. Can you 03:26 3 do that? 03:26 4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't 03:26 6 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 6 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 7 determination right now. 03:26 8 THE WITNESS: I don't — sorry. Repeat the 03:26 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 11 Q. Is there anything, one thing of the California Electrical Code? 03:27 12 (a list is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27 13 California Electrical Code? 13:27 14 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of — strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations on 3:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 29 ignit now. 03:27 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 20 griptin now. 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:		- I
Page 154  1 on the cover page, that includes the title strike 03:22 2 that. 03:23 3 Leaving aside the notations on the title 03:23 4 page, anything apart from the cover that does not 03:23 5 look consistent with the 2016 California Electrical 03:23 6 Code? 03:23 7 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23 8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 9 then 03:23 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23 11 MR. YEN: go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:24 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't 03:26 5 given her a copy of the California Electrical Code. 03:26 6 Tm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 6 Tm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 7 determination right now. 03:26 8 THE WITNESS: I don't sorry. Repeat the 03:26 9 question again. 03:26 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 11 Q. Is there anything, one thing other than the 03:26 12 notations on the cover page that reads "2016 03:27 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? that makes you doubt that 03:27 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 haven't given her an actual copy of that document to 03:27 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:24 20 mS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 26 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		
1 on the cover page, that includes the title strike 03:22 2 that: 03:23 3 Leaving aside the notations on the title 03:23 4 page, anything apart from the cover that does not 03:23 5 look consistent with the 2016 California Electrical 03:23 6 Code? 03:23 7 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23 8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 9 then 03:23 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23 11 MR. YEN: go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:24 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't 03:26 6 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 7 determination right now. 03:26 8 THE WITNESS: I don't sorry. Repeat the 03:26 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 11 Galifornia Electrical Code? 03:27 12 Tight now. 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 26 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		
2 that. 03:23 3 Leaving aside the notations on the title 03:23 4 page, anything apart from the cover that does not 03:23 5 look consistent with the 2016 California Electrical 03:23 6 Code? 03:23 7 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23 8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 9 then 03:23 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23 11 MR. VEN: go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:24 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't 03:26 3 do that? 03:26 4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't 03:26 5 given her a copy of the California Electrical Code. 03:26 6 l'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 7 determination right now. 03:26 8 THE WITNESS: I don't sorry. Repeat the 03:26 9 question again. 03:26 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything, one thing other than the 03:26 12 notations on the cover page that reads "2016 03:27 13 California Electrical Code? 03:27 14 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:27 10 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 20 wish or a copy of the California Electrical Code on 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 22 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 26 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2	
3 Leaving aside the notations on the title 03:23 4 page, anything apart from the cover that does not 03:23 5 look consistent with the 2016 California Electrical 03:23 6 Code? 03:23 7 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23 8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 9 then 03:23 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23 11 MR. YEN: - go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: - what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:24 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 19 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 26 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't 03:26 4 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't 03:26 5 given her a copy of the California Electrical Code. 03:26 6 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 6 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 7 determination right now. 03:26 8 THE WITNESS: I don't sorry. Repeat the 03:26 9 question again. 03:26 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything, one thing other than the 03:26 12 Inotations on the cover page that reads "2016 03:27 13 California Electrical Code! that makes you doubt that 03:27 14 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27 16 asking her to authenticate a document, and you 03:27 17 haven't given her an actual copy of that document to 03:27 18 do so. 03:27 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I'm not an 03:27 20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 22 authenticate. 03:27 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4 page, anything apart from the cover that does not 03:23 5 look consistent with the 2016 California Electrical 03:23 6 Code? 03:23 7 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23 8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 9 then 03:23 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23 11 MR. YEN: go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:24 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 26 AMS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You haven't 03:26 5 given her a copy of the California Electrical Code. 03:26 6 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 7 determination right now. 03:26 8 THE WITNESS: I don't sorry. Repeat the 03:26 9 question again. 03:26 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything, one thing other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything one thing other than the 03:26 12 A shing the cover page that reads "2016 Oka" one the cover page that reads "2016 Oka" one the		
5 look consistent with the 2016 California Electrical 03:23 6 Code? 03:23 7 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23 8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 9 then 03:23 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23 11 MR. YEN: - go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:24 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:24 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 26 Code? 03:23 27 determination right now. 03:26 28 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 29 (I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 20 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 21 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 22 (I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 23 (I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 24 (I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 25 (I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 26 (I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 26 (I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 27 determination right now. 03:26 28 THE WITNESS: I don't sorry. Repeat the 03:26 29 question again. 03:26 21 (I) BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26 21 (I) BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26 21 (I) BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26 22 (I) BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26 23 (I) BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26 24 (I) BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26 25 (I) BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26 26 (I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 27 (Id determination right now. 03:26 28 (I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 29 (I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 29 (I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26 29 (I'm not sure how she's suppose to 10:26 21 (I'm not sure how she's suppose to 10		
6 Code? 03:23  7 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23  8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23  9 then 03:23  10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23  11 MR. YEN: go ahead. 03:23  12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23  13 "consistent"? 03:23  14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23  15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23  16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23  17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23  18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24  19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:24  20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24  21 right now. 03:24  22 right now. 03:24  23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24  24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24  25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27  16 I'm not sure how she's supposed to make that 03:26  17 determination right now. 03:26  18 THE WITNESS: I don't sorry. Repeat the 03:26  10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26  11 Q. Is there anything, one thing other than the 03:26  12 notations on the cover page that reads "2016 03:27  13 California Electrical Code" that makes you doubt that 03:27  14 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27  15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27  16 asking her to authenticate a document, and you 03:27  17 haven't given her an actual copy of that document to 03:27  18 do so. 03:27  19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I'm not an 03:27  20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27  21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27  22 authenticate. 03:27  23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24  24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27  25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24  25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		- I
7 MR. YEN: And if you need to look through it 03:23 8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 9 then 03:23 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23 11 MR. YEN: go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:24 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 21 right now. 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 26 that looks during a part for the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 26 SBY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 27 SBY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 28 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 29 GY can answer his question, 03:23 3 California Electrical Code? 03:27 3 THE WITNESS: Discource of the cover page that cause you doubt that 03:27 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23 4 THE WITNESS: Pack and I'm not an 03:27 4 determination right now. 03:26  8 THE WITNESS: I don't sorry. Repeat the 03:26 9 question again. 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything, one thing other than the 03:26 12 notations on the cover page that reads "2016 03:27 13 California Electrical Code? that makes you doubt that 03:27 14 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27 16 asking her to authenticate a document, and you 03:27 17 haven't given her an actual copy of that document to 03:27 18 do so. 03:27 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I'm not an 03:27 20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 22 authenticate. 03:27 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		
8 to make sure that you can answer his question, 03:23 9 then 03:23 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23 11 MR. YEN: go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:27 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 21 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24  8 THE WITNESS: I don't sorry. Repeat the 03:26 9 question again. 03:26 10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything, one thing other than the 03:26 12 notations on the cover page that reads "2016 03:27 13 California Electrical Code? 03:27 14 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27 16 asking her to authenticate a document, and you 03:27 17 haven't given her an actual copy of that document to 03:27 18 do so. 03:27 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I'm not an 03:27 20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 22 authenticate. 03:27 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27		
9 then 03:23  10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23  11 MR. YEN: go ahead. 03:23  12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23  13 "consistent"? 03:23  14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27  15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23  16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23  17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:24  18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24  19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:27  20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24  21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24  22 right now. 03:24  23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24  24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24  25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:25  10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26  10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26  11 Q. Is there anything other than the 03:26  12 notations on the cover page that reads "2016 03:27  13 California Electrical Code" that makes you doubt that 03:27  14 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27  15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27  16 asking her to authenticate a document, and you 03:27  17 haven't given her an actual copy of that document to 03:27  18 do so. 03:27  19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I'm not an 03:27  20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27  21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27  22 authenticate. 03:24  23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27  24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24  25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		_
10 THE WITNESS: Okay. And 03:23 11 MR. YEN: go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:27 19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:27 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24  10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:26 11 Q. Is there anything, one thing other than the 03:26 12 notations on the cover page that reads "2016 03:27 13 California Electrical Code? 03:27 14 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27 16 asking her to authenticate a document, and you 03:27 17 haven't given her an actual copy of that document to 03:27 18 do so. 03:27 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I'm not an 03:27 20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 22 authenticate. 03:27 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		
11 MR. YEN: go ahead. 03:23 12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:27 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:25  11 Q. Is there anything, one thing other than the 03:26 12 notations on the cover page that reads "2016 03:27 13 California Electrical Code? 03:27 14 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27 16 asking her to authenticate a document, and you 03:27 17 haven't given her an actual copy of that document to 03:27 18 do so. 03:27 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I'm not an 03:27 20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 22 authenticate. 03:27 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27		
12 THE WITNESS: what do you mean by 03:23 13 "consistent"? 03:23 14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23 15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:27 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 26 In otations on the cover page that reads "2016 03:27 27 13 California Electrical Code? 03:27 28 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27 29 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 22 authenticate. 03:27 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27	-	
13 "consistent"? 03:23  14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:23  15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23  16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23  17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23  18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24  19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:27  20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24  21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24  22 right now. 03:24  23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24  24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24  25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24  16 California Electrical Code? 03:27  17 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27  18 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27  18 do so. 03:27  19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I'm not an 03:27  20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27  21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27  22 authenticate. 03:27  23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27  24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27  25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27	Č.	
14 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  03:23  15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23  16 that looks out of strike that.  03:23  17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23  18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24  19 isn't the California Electrical Code?  03:24  20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24  21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24  22 right now.  03:24  23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24  24 appears to be an enormous amount of material.  03:25  14 this is the 2016 California Electrical Code? 03:27  15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27  16 asking her to authenticate a document, and you 03:27  17 haven't given her an actual copy of that document to 03:27  18 do so.  03:27  19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I'm not an 03:27  20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27  21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27  22 authenticate.  03:27  23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:  03:27  24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27  25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		· ·
15 Q. By "consistent," I mean is there anything 03:23 16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:24 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 26 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. You're 03:27 27 haven't given her an actual copy of that document to 03:27 28 do so. 03:27 29 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 22 authenticate. 03:27 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		· ·
16 that looks out of strike that. 03:23 17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:24 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24  16 asking her to authenticate a document, and you 03:27 17 haven't given her an actual copy of that document to 03:27 18 do so. 03:27 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I'm not an 03:27 20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 22 authenticate. 03:27 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		
17 Is there anything apart from those notations 03:23 18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24 19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:24 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24  17 haven't given her an actual copy of that document to 03:27 18 do so. 03:27 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I'm not an 03:27 20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 22 authenticate. 03:27 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 26 EXPENDITE OF THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I'm not an 03:27 27 EXPENDITE OF THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I'm not an 03:27 28 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 29 authenticate. 03:27 20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 22 authenticate. 03:27 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		· ·
18 on the cover page that cause you to believe this 03:24  19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:24  20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24  21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24  22 right now. 03:24  23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24  24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24  25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27  26 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		
19 isn't the California Electrical Code? 03:24 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 26 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 27 uvouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 28 authenticate. 03:27 29 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 22 authenticate. 03:27 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 26 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 27 authenticate. 03:27 28 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 29 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 20 expert on the California Electrical Code, so I 03:27 21 wouldn't know where to look to authenticate or not 03:27 22 authenticate. 03:27 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27	, , ,	.,
20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. She can't 03:24 21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 26 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		
21 possibly look through all thousand pages of this 03:24 22 right now. 03:24 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 26 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 27 authenticate. 03:27 28 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 29 authenticate. 03:27 20 APPEAR And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 20 SY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27		
22 right now. 03:24 22 authenticate. 03:27 23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		
23 THE WITNESS: I can't verify that. It 03:24 23 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:27 24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		
24 appears to be an enormous amount of material. 03:24 24 Q. Yeah. And I'm not asking for you to 03:27 25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		
25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:24 25 authenticate it. I'm asking whether you see 03:27		
	Page 155	·

1 anything, aside from those notations, that makes you 03:27	1 Q. Are you aware whether any other State 03:31
2 doubt its authenticity. 03:27	2 agencies sell the California Electrical Code? 03:31
3 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: I'll renew my 03:28	3 A. I don't know that either. 03:31
4 objection. 03:28	4 Q. No further questions. 03:31
5 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't know. I'd 03:28	5 A. You're done-done? 03:31
6 have I don't know the whole code. It's similar. 03:28	6 Q. I'm done-done. 03:31
7 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:28	7 A. Okay. Sorry.
8 Q. So there's nothing that you see aside from 03:28	8 No, I know, I know. I'm not done, he's 03:31
9 those two notations on the cover page that makes you 03:28	9 done. 03:31
10 doubt the authenticity of this document as the 2016 03:28	10 MR. YEN: You want to go off the record? 03:31
11 California Electrical Code? 03:28	11 VIDEO OPERATOR: We're off the record. It's 03:31
12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That 03:28	12 3:31. 03:31
13 mischaracterizes what she said. 03:28	
14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's not what I said. 03:28	14 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're back on the 03:35
15 There's nothing I can find either way, so I don't 03:28	15 record. It's 3:35. 03:35
16 have an answer. 03:28	16 EXAMINATION 03:35
17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:28	17 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:35
18 Q. And do you recognize any part of this 03:28	18 Q. Ms. Marvelli, my name is Rachel 03:35
19 document as including a portion that you recognize to 03:28	19 Miller-Ziegler. I represent National Fire Protection 03:35
20 be the California Electrical Code? 03:28	20 Association, and we just have few areas that we want 03:35
21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 03:28	21 to follow up on or ask additional questions on. 03:35
22 Authenticity. 03:28	So the first question is have the two of us 03:35
23 THE WITNESS: There's one section I 03:28	23 ever met before today? 03:35
24 recognize because the Building Standards Commission 03:29	24 A. No. 03:35
25 proposed regulations, so I do see one section that is 03:29	25 Q. Have we ever spoken before today? 03:35
Page 158	Page 160
Fage 138	8
1 in the code. 03:29	1 A. No. 03:35
1 in the code. 03:29	1 A. No. 03:35
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30 13 Q. Who handles the advertising for the 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36 13 Q. It's at minute 22:15. I think you said 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30 13 Q. Who handles the advertising for the 03:30 14 California Electrical Code? 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36 13 Q. It's at minute 22:15. I think you said 03:36 14 these are reference stats that are very detail 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30 13 Q. Who handles the advertising for the 03:30 14 California Electrical Code? 03:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36 13 Q. It's at minute 22:15. I think you said 03:36 14 these are reference stats that are very detail 03:36 15 oriented. Would you say that the standards that CBSC 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30 13 Q. Who handles the advertising for the 03:30 14 California Electrical Code? 03:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 03:30 16 foundation. 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36 13 Q. It's at minute 22:15. I think you said 03:36 14 these are reference stats that are very detail 03:36 15 oriented. Would you say that the standards that CBSC 03:36 16 relies on are detailed? 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30 13 Q. Who handles the advertising for the 03:30 14 California Electrical Code? 03:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 03:30 16 foundation. 03:30 17 THE WITNESS: Not the Building Standards 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36 13 Q. It's at minute 22:15. I think you said 03:36 14 these are reference stats that are very detail 03:36 15 oriented. Would you say that the standards that CBSC 03:36 16 relies on are detailed? 03:36 17 A. Yes. 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30 13 Q. Who handles the advertising for the 03:30 14 California Electrical Code? 03:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 03:30 16 foundation. 03:30 17 THE WITNESS: Not the Building Standards 03:30 18 Commission. 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36 13 Q. It's at minute 22:15. I think you said 03:36 14 these are reference stats that are very detail 03:36 15 oriented. Would you say that the standards that CBSC 03:36 16 relies on are detailed? 03:36 17 A. Yes. 03:36 18 Q. They tend to be rather long documents? 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30 13 Q. Who handles the advertising for the 03:30 14 California Electrical Code? 03:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 03:30 16 foundation. 03:30 17 THE WITNESS: Not the Building Standards 03:30 18 Commission. 03:30 19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36 13 Q. It's at minute 22:15. I think you said 03:36 14 these are reference stats that are very detail 03:36 15 oriented. Would you say that the standards that CBSC 03:36 16 relies on are detailed? 03:36 17 A. Yes. 03:36 18 Q. They tend to be rather long documents? 03:36 19 A. The reference standards in the various codes 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30 13 Q. Who handles the advertising for the 03:30 14 California Electrical Code? 03:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 03:30 16 foundation. 03:30 17 THE WITNESS: Not the Building Standards 03:30 18 Commission. 03:30 19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:30 20 Q. Are you aware what entity does the 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36 13 Q. It's at minute 22:15. I think you said 03:36 14 these are reference stats that are very detail 03:36 15 oriented. Would you say that the standards that CBSC 03:36 16 relies on are detailed? 03:36 17 A. Yes. 03:36 18 Q. They tend to be rather long documents? 03:36 19 A. The reference standards in the various codes 03:36 20 are detailed, yes. 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30 13 Q. Who handles the advertising for the 03:30 14 California Electrical Code? 03:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 03:30 16 foundation. 03:30 17 THE WITNESS: Not the Building Standards 03:30 18 Commission. 03:30 19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:30 20 Q. Are you aware what entity does the 03:30 21 advertising for the California Electrical Code? 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36 13 Q. It's at minute 22:15. I think you said 03:36 14 these are reference stats that are very detail 03:36 15 oriented. Would you say that the standards that CBSC 03:36 16 relies on are detailed? 03:36 17 A. Yes. 03:36 18 Q. They tend to be rather long documents? 03:36 19 A. The reference standards in the various codes 03:36 20 are detailed, yes. 03:36 21 Q. And are you familiar with the process that 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30 13 Q. Who handles the advertising for the 03:30 14 California Electrical Code? 03:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 03:30 16 foundation. 03:30 17 THE WITNESS: Not the Building Standards 03:30 18 Commission. 03:30 19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:30 20 Q. Are you aware what entity does the 03:30 21 advertising for the California Electrical Code? 03:30 22 A. I don't want to speculate. I don't know. 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36 13 Q. It's at minute 22:15. I think you said 03:36 14 these are reference stats that are very detail 03:36 15 oriented. Would you say that the standards that CBSC 03:36 16 relies on are detailed? 03:36 17 A. Yes. 03:36 18 Q. They tend to be rather long documents? 03:36 19 A. The reference standards in the various codes 03:36 20 are detailed, yes. 03:36 21 Q. And are you familiar with the process that 03:36 22 goes into developing those standards? 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30 13 Q. Who handles the advertising for the 03:30 14 California Electrical Code? 03:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 03:30 16 foundation. 03:30 17 THE WITNESS: Not the Building Standards 03:30 18 Commission. 03:30 19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:30 20 Q. Are you aware what entity does the 03:30 21 advertising for the California Electrical Code? 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36 13 Q. It's at minute 22:15. I think you said 03:36 14 these are reference stats that are very detail 03:36 15 oriented. Would you say that the standards that CBSC 03:36 16 relies on are detailed? 03:36 17 A. Yes. 03:36 18 Q. They tend to be rather long documents? 03:36 19 A. The reference standards in the various codes 03:36 20 are detailed, yes. 03:36 21 Q. And are you familiar with the process that 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30 13 Q. Who handles the advertising for the 03:30 14 California Electrical Code? 03:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 03:30 16 foundation. 03:30 17 THE WITNESS: Not the Building Standards 03:30 18 Commission. 03:30 19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:30 20 Q. Are you aware what entity does the 03:30 21 advertising for the California Electrical Code? 03:30 22 A. I don't want to speculate. I don't know. 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36 13 Q. It's at minute 22:15. I think you said 03:36 14 these are reference stats that are very detail 03:36 15 oriented. Would you say that the standards that CBSC 03:36 16 relies on are detailed? 03:36 17 A. Yes. 03:36 18 Q. They tend to be rather long documents? 03:36 19 A. The reference standards in the various codes 03:36 20 are detailed, yes. 03:36 21 Q. And are you familiar with the process that 03:36 22 goes into developing those standards? 03:36
1 in the code. 03:29 2 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:29 3 Q. And what section is that? 03:29 4 A. It's Article 625. It's in the second 03:29 5 volume. It's on page 70-567. It's Article 625, and 03:29 6 it's Section 625.1.1, and where you see the "BSC-CG" 03:29 7 banner, the Building Standards Commission proposed 03:29 8 that amendment with HCD. 03:29 9 Q. And this provision has, along the side, a 03:29 10 notation that reads vertically "CACACAC." Is that to 03:30 11 indicate that this is a California amendment? 03:30 12 A. Yes. 03:30 13 Q. Who handles the advertising for the 03:30 14 California Electrical Code? 03:30 15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Lacks 03:30 16 foundation. 03:30 17 THE WITNESS: Not the Building Standards 03:30 18 Commission. 03:30 19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:30 20 Q. Are you aware what entity does the 03:30 21 advertising for the California Electrical Code? 03:30 22 A. I don't want to speculate. I don't know. 03:30 23 Q. Are you aware of whether any other State 03:30	1 A. No. 03:35 2 Q. Thanks. As we've talked some today about 03:35 3 the standards that CBSC uses to develop the 03:35 4 California Building Standards Code, I'd like to talk 03:35 5 to you a little bit more about those to start. 03:36 6 Earlier in your testimony, you described 03:36 7 these standards as very detail-oriented. Do you 03:36 8 remember saying that? 03:36 9 A. No. 03:36 10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:36 11 Mischaracterizes testimony. 03:36 12 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:36 13 Q. It's at minute 22:15. I think you said 03:36 14 these are reference stats that are very detail 03:36 15 oriented. Would you say that the standards that CBSC 03:36 16 relies on are detailed? 03:36 17 A. Yes. 03:36 18 Q. They tend to be rather long documents? 03:36 19 A. The reference standards in the various codes 03:36 20 are detailed, yes. 03:36 21 Q. And are you familiar with the process that 03:36 22 goes into developing those standards? 03:36 23 A. Not completely. 03:36

1 A. Vague level, yes. 03:36	1 allowed to participate in that process? 03:38
2 Q. I want to talk wet, let's talk generally. 03:36	2 A. I don't know. 03:38
3 Could you tell me what you know about that process? 03:36	3 Q. Okay. Let's assume for a moment that the 03:38
4 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. Calls for 03:37	4 CBSC couldn't rely on something like the National 03:38
5 speculation. 03:37	5 Electrical Code to develop the California Electrical 03:38
6 THE WITNESS: All I know is that it's the 03:37	6 Code. How would the Building Standards Commission go 03:39
7 amendments, at a national level, to any model code, 03:37	7 about developing the CEC if it didn't have the NEC? 03:39
8 are done through a national vetting. The reference 03:37	8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:39
9 standards within those, I'm not familiar with that 03:37	9 THE WITNESS: I don't know how that would 03:39
10 process. 03:37	10 happen. 03:39
11 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:37	11 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:39
12 Q. When you say that the amendments at a 03:37	12 Q. Would it be an incredibly resource-intensive 03:39
13 national level, are you talking about, for example, 03:37	13 process? 03:39
14 amendments to the National Electrical Code? 03:37	14 MR. NERCESSIAN: Same objection. 03:39
15 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:37	15 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't want to 03:39
16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 03:37	16 speculate. 03:39
17 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:37	17 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:39
18 Q. Okay. Let's talk a little bit about the 03:37	18 Q. Would you agree that it would be more 03:39
19 process to develop something like the electrical 03:37	19 difficult for the CBSC to make the California 03:39
20 code. Are you familiar with what that process looks 03:37	20 Electrical Code if it couldn't incorporate elements 03:39
21 like? 03:37	21 of the National Electrical Code? 03:39
22 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:37	22 MR. NERCESSIAN: Same objection. 03:39
23 THE WITNESS: Less familiar with the 03:37	23 THE WITNESS: I would agree that it would be 03:39
24 electrical code and more familiar with the 03:37	24 more difficult, yes. 03:39
25 International Code Council's process for the various 03:37	25 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:39 Page 164
Page 162	1 agc 104
1 codes that they develop. 03:37	1 Q. You said, I think, earlier that your office 03:39
2 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:37	2 has 14 staff; is that correct? 03:39
3 Q. Could you tell me what your understanding of 03:37	3 A. Yes. 03:39
4 that process is? 03:37	4 Q. Do you think it would be possible for that 03:39
5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. Vague, calls 03:3	5 14 staff office to write the California Electrical 03:39
6 for speculation. 03:38	6 Code from scratch? 03:40
7 THE WITNESS: The process for the 03:38	7 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:40
8 International Building Code, for example, is there 03:38	8 THE WITNESS: No, would not be possible. 03:40
9 are entities out there that propose code changes, and 03:38	9 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:40
10 they go through a code development process, they're 03:38	10 Q. Do you think it would be possible for your 03:40
11 voted on at a national level, and then they're 03:38	11 office and the commissioners to write the code from 03:40
12 correlated and published into the next edition of 03:38	12 scratch? 03:40
13 the for example, the International Building Code. 03:38	13 MR. NERCESSIAN: Same objection. 03:40
14 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:38	14 THE WITNESS: No. 03:40
15 Q. Is it your understanding that there's 03:38	15 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:40
16 technical experts involved in that process? 03:38	16 Q. Would it be fair to say that the California 03:40
17 A. Yes. 03:38	17 Building Codes Commission relies a great deal on the 03:40
18 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:38	18 National Electrical Code? 03:40
19 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:38	19 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:40
20 Q. Is it your understanding that there is some 03:38	THE WITNESS: We're required by law to adopt 03:40
21 industry participation in that process? 03:38	21 the National Electrical Code, so that's where we rely 03:40
22 A. Yes. 03:38	22 on, yeah. 03:40
23 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:38	23 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:40
24 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:38	24 O Doog your office view the ended that you 02.40
A	24 Q. Does your office view the codes that you 03:40
25 Q. Is it your understanding that the public is 03:38 Page 163	25 excuse me the standards that you adopt as  03:40  Page 165

1 high-quality standards? 03:41	1 final sentence, it reads "The National Fire 03:43
2 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:41	2 Protection Association, Inc. (NFPA) owns a copyright 03:43
THE WITNESS: Well, they're the minimum 03:41	3 to the National Electrical Code and the acronym 03:43
4 building standards for the State of California to 03:41	4 (NEC)." Is that what it says? 03:43
5 use. 03:41	5 A. Yes. 03:43
6 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:41	6 Q. And then if I could have you look at just a 03:43
7 Q. I think earlier you talked about these 03:41	7 little farther down, section one, paragraph A. So 03:43
8 standards, or at least some of these standards are 03:41	8 that says "NFPA is the sole owner of the copyright to 03:44
9 important for health and safety in the State; is that 03:41	9 the NFPA 70 National Electrical Code 2014 Edition." 03:44
10 correct? 03:41	10 Is that what that says? 03:44
11 A. That is correct. 03:41	11 A. Yes. 03:44
12 Q. Would CBSC rely on codes that would CBSC 03:41	12 Q. So earlier today, you got asked some 03:44
13 rely on inferior or poor-quality codes to regulate 03:41	13 questions about whether publishers of the code 03:44
14 health and safety in the State? 03:41	14 claimed copyright in the California Electrical Code, 03:44
15 A. I'm not sure ow to answer. I don't have an 03:41	15 and I just want to clarify for the record that based 03:44
16 answer for that question. Sorry. It's vague. 03:41	16 on these paragraphs, what NFPA is claiming copyright 03:44
17 Q. Sure. Earlier, I think you said that one of 03:41	17 in is the National Electrical Code, not the 03:44
17 Q. Sure. Earlier, I think you said that one of 03:41 18 the nine-point criteria is that California codes are 03:41	18 California Electrical Code; is that right? 03:44
19 supposed to protect public health and safety; is that 03:42	19 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:44
20 right? 03:42	20 THE WITNESS: That's what this contract 03:44
21 A. Yes. 03:42	
	21 says, yes. 03:44
	22 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:44
23 parts of the NEC. In doing so, do you think that 03:42	23 Q. Do you see any did anything I just read 03:44
24 reflects a judgment that the NEC adequately protects 03:42 25 health and safety? 03:42	24 say anything about NFPA claiming copyright in the 03:44 25 California Electrical Code? 03:44
Page 166	
1 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:42	1 A. Not in these, no. 03:44
2 THE WITNESS: That the nine-point criteria 03:42	2 Q. Did anything in what I just read say 03:44
3 is met, then yes. 03:42	3 anything about the NFPA claiming copyright in the 03:4-
4 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:42	4 California amendments? 03:44
5 Q. So in relying on the NEC as part of the CEC, 03:42	5 A. No. 03:44
6 the commissioners are making a judgment that those 03:42	6 Q. You also spoke some today about the process 03:44
7 parts of the NEC that they incorporate are methods of 03:42	7 that goes into developing the California Electrical 03:45
8 protecting public health and safety in the State; is 03:42	8 Code. I won't try to summarize the entire thing, but 03:45
9 that right? 03:42	9 is it fair to say that at a high level, various State 03:45
10 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:42	10 agencies and the commissioners, among others, are 03:4:
THE WITNESS: That's the determination that 03:42	11 involved in developing the California Electrical 03:45
12 the commissions have made if they so choose to adopt 03:42	12 Code? 03:45
13 those codes. 03:42	13 A. Yes. 03:45
14 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:42	14 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:45
	15 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:45
15 Q. I want to talk to you some about your 03:42	
16 agreements with NFPA in particular. If you could 03:43	16 Q. State agencies sometimes draft amendments 03:45
16 agreements with NFPA in particular. If you could 03:43 17 turn to Exhibit 7 in the stack. 03:43	16 Q. State agencies sometimes draft amendments 03:45 17 for the California Electrical Code; is that right? 03:45
16 agreements with NFPA in particular. If you could 03:43 17 turn to Exhibit 7 in the stack. 03:43 18 A. Uh-huh. Okay. 03:43	16 Q. State agencies sometimes draft amendments 03:45 17 for the California Electrical Code; is that right? 03:45 18 A. Yes. 03:45
16 agreements with NFPA in particular. If you could 03:43 17 turn to Exhibit 7 in the stack. 03:43 18 A. Uh-huh. Okay. 03:43 19 Q. Do you remember talking about this earlier? 03:43	16 Q. State agencies sometimes draft amendments 03:45 17 for the California Electrical Code; is that right? 03:45 18 A. Yes. 03:45 19 Q. And the commission is the one that's 03:45
16 agreements with NFPA in particular. If you could 03:43 17 turn to Exhibit 7 in the stack. 03:43 18 A. Uh-huh. Okay. 03:43 19 Q. Do you remember talking about this earlier? 03:43 20 A. Yes. 03:43	16 Q. State agencies sometimes draft amendments 03:45 17 for the California Electrical Code; is that right? 03:45 18 A. Yes. 03:45 19 Q. And the commission is the one that's 03:45 20 ultimately responsible for taking one of those four 03:45
16 agreements with NFPA in particular. If you could 03:43 17 turn to Exhibit 7 in the stack. 03:43 18 A. Uh-huh. Okay. 03:43 19 Q. Do you remember talking about this earlier? 03:43 20 A. Yes. 03:43 21 Q. And this was the 2016 agreement for the 2016 03:43	16 Q. State agencies sometimes draft amendments 03:45 17 for the California Electrical Code; is that right? 03:45 18 A. Yes. 03:45 19 Q. And the commission is the one that's 03:45 20 ultimately responsible for taking one of those four 03:45 21 actions that you spoke about earlier with respect to 03:45
16 agreements with NFPA in particular. If you could 03:43 17 turn to Exhibit 7 in the stack. 03:43 18 A. Uh-huh. Okay. 03:43 19 Q. Do you remember talking about this earlier? 03:43 20 A. Yes. 03:43 21 Q. And this was the 2016 agreement for the 2016 03:43 22 CEC; is that right? 03:43	16 Q. State agencies sometimes draft amendments 03:45 17 for the California Electrical Code; is that right? 03:45 18 A. Yes. 03:45 19 Q. And the commission is the one that's 03:45 20 ultimately responsible for taking one of those four 03:45 21 actions that you spoke about earlier with respect to 03:45 22 the code; is that right? 03:45
16 agreements with NFPA in particular. If you could       03:43         17 turn to Exhibit 7 in the stack.       03:43         18 A. Uh-huh. Okay.       03:43         19 Q. Do you remember talking about this earlier?       03:43         20 A. Yes.       03:43         21 Q. And this was the 2016 agreement for the 2016 03:43         22 CEC; is that right?       03:43         23 A. Yes.       03:43	16 Q. State agencies sometimes draft amendments 03:45 17 for the California Electrical Code; is that right? 03:45 18 A. Yes. 03:45 19 Q. And the commission is the one that's 03:45 20 ultimately responsible for taking one of those four 03:45 21 actions that you spoke about earlier with respect to 03:45 22 the code; is that right? 03:45 23 A. Yes. 03:45
16 agreements with NFPA in particular. If you could 03:43 17 turn to Exhibit 7 in the stack. 03:43 18 A. Uh-huh. Okay. 03:43 19 Q. Do you remember talking about this earlier? 03:43 20 A. Yes. 03:43 21 Q. And this was the 2016 agreement for the 2016 03:43 22 CEC; is that right? 03:43	16 Q. State agencies sometimes draft amendments 03:45 17 for the California Electrical Code; is that right? 03:45 18 A. Yes. 03:45 19 Q. And the commission is the one that's 03:45 20 ultimately responsible for taking one of those four 03:45 21 actions that you spoke about earlier with respect to 03:45 22 the code; is that right? 03:45 23 A. Yes. 03:45

1 Exhibit A. It begins, paragraph six, "Work Details." 03:45	1 agreement signed? When was your signature on this 03:47
2 So if you look in the first few lines of paragraph A, 03:45	2 agreement? 03:48
3 it says "NFPA shall, in accordance with the specific 03:46	3 A. May 16th, 2016. 03:48
4 formatting requirements and editorial and education 03:46	4 Q. And if you look at the second page, that top 03:48
5 timetable set forth herein, print, publish and make 03:46	5 paragraph under "Scope of Work," what version of the 03:48
6 available for sale to the State of California, local 03:46	6 NEC does the 2016 CEC incorporate? 03:48
7 government agencies and to the general public, on or 03:46	7 A. The 2014 National Electrical Code. 03:48
8 before July 1st, 2016, or at a later date as set by 03:46	8 Q. Is it your understanding that the 2014 NEC 03:48
9 CBSC, copies of the 2016 CEC"; is that right? 03:46	9 was already completed in 2016? 03:48
10 A. Yes. 03:46	10 A. Yes. 03:48
11 Q. So would it be correct to say that what I 03:46	11 Q. In fact, wasn't the 2014 NEC already 03:48
12 just read indicates that NFPA is responsible for 03:46	12 copyrighted in 2016? 03:48
13 printing and publishing the 2016 CEC? 03:46	13 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:48
MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:46	14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know the answer 03:48
15 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's accurate. 03:46	
16 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:46	16 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:48
17 Q. Does the portion of the sentence I read say 03:46	17 Q. Sure. So if you look at that top 03:48
18 anything about NFPA being responsible for determining 03:46	18 paragraph sorry. Actually, if you look at 03:48
19 the content of the 2016 CEC? 03:46	19 paragraph 1-A where it says "NFPA is the sole owner 03:48
20 A. No, not here, no, not what you just read. 03:46	20 of the copyright to the NFPA 70 National Electrical 03:48
21 Q. Did what I just read say anything about NFPA 03:46	21 Code 2014 Edition," that sentence would seem to 03:48
22 drafting California amendment for the CEC? 03:46	22 suggest that at the time this agreement was signed, 03:49
23 A. No. 03:47	23 the NFPA held copyright in the 2014 Edition of the 03:49
24 Q. Did what I just read say anything about NFPA 03:47	24 NEC. Does that sound right? 03:49
25 approving or taking any of those four actions that 03:47	25 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:49
Page 170	Page 172
1 the commission takes with respect to the California 03:47	1 THE WITNESS: That's what this reads, yes. 03:49
2 Electrical Code? 03:47	2 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:49
3 A. No. 03:47	3 Q. So if the 2014 NEC was already completely 03:49
4 Q. Are you aware of any way in which NFPA is 03:47	4 drafted at the time that you signed this agreement, 03:49
5 involved in dictating the content of the California 03:47	5 could this agreement have dictated the content of the 03:49
6 Electrical Code? 03:47	6 2014 NEC? 03:49
7 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:47	7 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:49
8 THE WITNESS: I don't know what you mean by 03:47	8 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so. 03:49
	9 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:49
9 "dictating the content." 03:47	
10 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:47	
11 Q. Sure. So are you aware of any way in which 03:47	11 how people get access to the CEC. Are there ways 03:49 12 that somebody could view the CEC for free? 03:49
12 NFPA drafts amendments excuse me drafts 03:47	
13 California amendments for the California Electrical 03:47	13 A. Yes. 03:49
14 Code? 03:47	14 Q. Could you tell me what those ways include? 03:49
15 A. Not California amendments, no. 03:47	15 A. Through our website, there's a link to the 03:49
16 Q. Are you aware of any way in which NFPA 03:47	16 publisher, and they could view the code, the CEC for 03:49
17 approves the California Electrical Code? 03:47	17 free there. 03:50
18 A. No. 03:47	18 Q. So it doesn't cost any money to view the CEC 03:50
19 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:47	19 online in that method? 03:50
20 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:47	20 A In that mathed compat
20 BT MS. MILLER ZIEGLER.	20 A. In that method, correct. 03:50
21 Q. I want to talk to you about the other side 03:47	21 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:50
21 Q. I want to talk to you about the other side 03:47	21 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:50
21 Q. I want to talk to you about the other side 03:47 22 of things, California's role in determining the 03:47	21 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:50 22 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:50
21 Q. I want to talk to you about the other side 03:47 22 of things, California's role in determining the 03:47 23 content of the National Electrical Code. If you keep 03:47	21 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:50 22 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 03:50 23 Q. Do you know if the NEC is available online? 03:50

Little Little Control Control	
1 whether you had ever viewed the CEC online. Do you 03:50	1 to the deposit libraries in Attachment A, selected 03:52
2 remember that? 03:50	2 deposit libraries in Attachment B, and copies to 03:52
3 A. Yes. 03:50	3 State agencies? 03:52
4 Q. You were asked whether you had tried to 03:50	4 A. Yes. 03:52
5 print or extract text from the CEC? 03:50	5 Q. Okay. If I could have you take a look at 03:52
6 A. Yes. 03:50	6 Attachment A. It's numbered 263332 in the bottom if 03:52
7 Q. And you said you hadn't attempted to do 03:50	7 that helps. 03:52
8 that; right? 03:50	8 A. I'm sorry. Which one? 03:52
9 A. Correct. 03:50	9 Q. Exhibit A, Attachment A. 03:52
10 Q. You were, however, able to view the CEC 03:50	10 A. Attachment Exhibit A, Attachment A. 03:52
11 online; correct? 03:50	11 Okay. Hold on here. Okay. Ending in 32? 03:53
12 A. Yes. 03:50	12 Q. Yes. Exactly. 03:53
13 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:50	13 A. Okay. 03:53
14 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:50	14 Q. So could you tell me what's listed on this 03:53
15 Q. You were able to read the code online 03:50	15 page? 03:53
16 through free access; is that right? 03:50	16 A. It appears it's a complete depository 03:53
17 A. Yes. 03:50	17 library list that we provided NFPA. 03:53
18 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:50	18 Q. So under the agreement with NFPA, NFPA is 03:53
19 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:50	19 required to deliver copies of the CEC to each of 03:53
20 Q. So is there another way that somebody could 03:50	20 these; is that right? 03:53
21 read the CEC for free? Are there print copies 03:50	21 A. That's requirement, yes. 03:53
22 available for free anywhere? 03:50	22 Q. And if you could flip two pages forward to 03:53
23 A. Yes. 03:50	23 Exhibit A, Attachment B. 03:53
24 Q. Where are those print copies available? 03:50	24 A. Yes. 03:53
25 A. I spoke earlier about local 03:50	25 Q. Could you tell me what's on this page? 03:53
Page 174	Page 176
1 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:50	1 A. Selective Depository Libraries, a list. 03:53
2 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:51	2 Q. And under the agreement with CBSC, NEC would 03:53
3 Q. Go ahead. 03:51	3 be required to deliver copies to each of these 03:53
4 A. Okay. I spoke earlier about local 03:51	4 libraries; is that right? 03:54
5 jurisdictions make available the code and also the 03:51	5 A. Yes. 03:54
6 repository libraries and law libraries that were in 03:51	6 Q. Not going to make you count all the 03:54
7 the back of the exhibit. They're made available 03:51	7 libraries on this page or in this attachment, but 03:54
8 there. 03:51	8 could you just tell me how many pages the attachment 03:54
9 Q. Great. And so if we could look at let's 03:51	9 is? 03:54
10 see. If you could look at the very last page of 03:51	10 A. Are you referring to Attachment B? 03:54
11 Exhibit 7. So on that top paragraph, the first full 03:51	11 Q. Yes. Exhibit A, Attachment B. 03:54
12 sentence, it reads "During the term of this 03:51	12 A. Four pages. 03:54
13 agreement, NFPA shall deliver complimentary copies of 03:51	13 Q. Can you flip back to Exhibit A, 03:54
14 the 2016 CEC and automatically any 2016 CEC 03:51	14 Attachment A? 03:54
15 supplements, supplements to the 2016 CEC in response 03:51	15 A. Okay. 03:54
16 to emergency regulations, and all related errata 03:51	16 Q. So you were asked earlier today whether you 03:54
17 sheets, directly to the deposit libraries listed in 03:52	17 knew where the closest law library was that you could 03:54
18 Attachment A hereto in the quantities set forth 03:52	18 view a copy of the California Electrical Code. Do 03:54
19 therein, and one copy each to the Selective 03:52	19 you remember that? 03:54
20 Depository Libraries listed in Attachment B hereto,	20 A. Yes. 03:54
21 and copies to the State agencies as directed by 03:52	21 Q. So if you can look at the complete 03:54
22 CBSC." Do you see that on that page? 03:52	22 depository list. What city is the first item in the 03:54
23 A. Yes. 03:52	23 list? 03:54
24 Q. So am I correct in saying that based on this 03:52	24 A. Sacramento. 03:54
25 sentence I read, NFPA is required to deliver copies 03:52	25 Q. And what city is the second item in the 03:54
Page 175	Page 177

1 list? 03:54	1 available to print disabled individuals? 03:56
2 A. Sacramento. 03:54	2 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56
3 Q. If I can have you turn to Exhibit A, 03:54	3 THE WITNESS: Not for the electrical code, 03:56
4 Attachment B, the first page. If you look at that 03:54	4 I'm not aware of any questions. 03:56
5 list, third from the bottom, what city is that 03:55	5 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:56
6 library? 03:55	6 Q. Are you aware of any requests that CBSC has 03:56
7 A. Sacramento. 03:55	7 received about making the California Electrical Code 03:5'
8 Q. If I can have you turn to the next page. 03:55	8 available to mobility impaired individuals? 03:57
9 Second library down, what city is that? 03:55	9 A. No. 03:57
10 A. Sacramento. 03:55	10 Q. Are you aware of any requests CBSC has 03:57
11 Q. If you look near the bottom, the McGeorge 03:55	11 received about making the California Electrical Code 03:5
12 School of Law, what city is that library? 03:55	12 available to those who lack sight? 03:57
13 A. Sacramento. 03:55	13 A. No. 03:57
14 Q. If I can have you flip to the next page. 03:55	14 Q. Are you aware of any requests CBSC has 03:57
15 Looks like sixth one down. Sixth one down, what city 03:55	15 received about making California Electrical Code 03:57
16 is that library? 03:55	16 available to those with accessibility issues? 03:57
17 A. Sacramento. 03:55	17 A. No. 03:57
18 Q. And the one underneath that, what city is 03:55	18 Q. If I could have you take a look at 03:57
19 that library there? 03:55	19 Exhibit 6. We talked some earlier today you 03:57
20 A. Sacramento. 03:55	20 talked some earlier today about this first email in 03:57
21 Q. So there would be at least seven different 03:55	21 this stack. 03:57
22 places within the Sacramento area that you could view 03:55	22 A. Uh-huh. 03:57
23 a copy of the California Electrical Code for free; is 03:55	23 Q. So I'll read that first sentence. "Just 03:57
24 that right? 03:55	24 received a phone call from Suren at (818) 506-6671, 03:57
25 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:55 Page 178	25 and he tried to review the code, Part 2.5, on the BSC 03:57 Page 180
1 THE WITNESS: That's what this document 03:55	1 website, and it came up with screen print below the 03:58
2 states, yes. 03:55	2 ICC page and wanting him to pay for the premium 03:5
3 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:55	3 access in order to view the code." Do you see that 03:58
4 Q. Would it be fair to say that there's a 03:55	4 written there? 03:58
5 number of places that somebody in this area could 03:56	5 A. I see that written there. 03:58
6 view the CEC for free then? 03:56	6 Q. So that refers to Part 2.5 of the code; is 03:58
7 A. Yes. 03:56	7 that right? 03:58
8 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56	8 A. Yes. 03:58
9 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:56	9 Q. So that's not the California Electrical 03:58
10 Q. Are you aware of anyone who wanted to access 03:56	10 Code; right? 03:58
11 the California Electrical Code who was unable to do 03:56	11 A. That's correct. 03:58
	12 Q. And it refers to the ICC; is that right? 03:58
12 so? 03:56	12 Q. And it felers to the ICC, is that fight: 03.36
12 so? 03:56 13 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56	13 A. That's correct. 03:58
13 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56	13 A. That's correct. 03:58
13 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56 14 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 03:56	13 A. That's correct. 03:58 14 Q. It's not the NFPA; is that correct? 03:58
13 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56 14 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 03:56 15 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:56	13 A. That's correct. 03:58 14 Q. It's not the NFPA; is that correct? 03:58 15 A. Correct. 03:58
MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56  14 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 03:56  15 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:56  16 Q. You said earlier that sometimes CBSC would 03:56	13       A. That's correct.       03:58         14       Q. It's not the NFPA; is that correct?       03:58         15       A. Correct.       03:58         16       Q. So this email is not about any issues with 03:58
MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56  14 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 03:56  15 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:56  16 Q. You said earlier that sometimes CBSC would 03:56  17 get calls about broken links. Do you recall if any 03:56  18 of those calls were about the California Electrical 03:56	13 A. That's correct. 03:58 14 Q. It's not the NFPA; is that correct? 03:58 15 A. Correct. 03:58 16 Q. So this email is not about any issues with 03:58 17 the California Electrical Code; is that correct? 03:58 18 A. That is correct. 03:58
MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56  14 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 03:56  15 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:56  16 Q. You said earlier that sometimes CBSC would 03:56  17 get calls about broken links. Do you recall if any 03:56  18 of those calls were about the California Electrical 03:56  19 Code specifically? 03:56	13 A. That's correct. 03:58 14 Q. It's not the NFPA; is that correct? 03:58 15 A. Correct. 03:58 16 Q. So this email is not about any issues with 03:58 17 the California Electrical Code; is that correct? 03:58 18 A. That is correct. 03:58 19 Q. Sorry to make you go through all these 03:58
MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56  14 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 03:56  15 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:56  16 Q. You said earlier that sometimes CBSC would 03:56  17 get calls about broken links. Do you recall if any 03:56  18 of those calls were about the California Electrical 03:56  19 Code specifically? 03:56  20 A. Yes. 03:56	13 A. That's correct. 03:58  14 Q. It's not the NFPA; is that correct? 03:58  15 A. Correct. 03:58  16 Q. So this email is not about any issues with 03:58  17 the California Electrical Code; is that correct? 03:58  18 A. That is correct. 03:58  19 Q. Sorry to make you go through all these 03:58  20 exhibits, but if you can turn to Exhibit 3. So if 03:58
MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56  14 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 03:56  15 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:56  16 Q. You said earlier that sometimes CBSC would 03:56  17 get calls about broken links. Do you recall if any 03:56  18 of those calls were about the California Electrical 03:56  19 Code specifically? 03:56  20 A. Yes. 03:56  21 Q. And you got asked some questions about 03:56	13 A. That's correct. 03:58  14 Q. It's not the NFPA; is that correct? 03:58  15 A. Correct. 03:58  16 Q. So this email is not about any issues with 03:58  17 the California Electrical Code; is that correct? 03:58  18 A. That is correct. 03:58  19 Q. Sorry to make you go through all these 03:58  20 exhibits, but if you can turn to Exhibit 3. So if 03:58  21 you could turn to page three of this exhibit. This 03:58
MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56  14 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 03:56  15 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:56  16 Q. You said earlier that sometimes CBSC would 03:56  17 get calls about broken links. Do you recall if any 03:56  18 of those calls were about the California Electrical 03:56  19 Code specifically? 03:56  20 A. Yes. 03:56  21 Q. And you got asked some questions about 03:56  22 whether CBSC has taken efforts to make the California 03:56	13 A. That's correct. 03:58  14 Q. It's not the NFPA; is that correct? 03:58  15 A. Correct. 03:58  16 Q. So this email is not about any issues with 03:58  17 the California Electrical Code; is that correct? 03:58  18 A. That is correct. 03:58  19 Q. Sorry to make you go through all these 03:58  20 exhibits, but if you can turn to Exhibit 3. So if 03:58  21 you could turn to page three of this exhibit. This 03:58  22 has some language from the CBSC website; is that 03:59
MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56  THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 03:56  We will approximate that sometimes CBSC would 03:56  Q. You said earlier that sometimes CBSC would 03:56  get calls about broken links. Do you recall if any 03:56  of those calls were about the California Electrical 03:56  Code specifically? 03:56  A. Yes. 03:56  Q. And you got asked some questions about 03:56  Whether CBSC has taken efforts to make the California 03:56  Electrical Code available to certain populations 03:56	13 A. That's correct. 03:58  14 Q. It's not the NFPA; is that correct? 03:58  15 A. Correct. 03:58  16 Q. So this email is not about any issues with 03:58  17 the California Electrical Code; is that correct? 03:58  18 A. That is correct. 03:58  19 Q. Sorry to make you go through all these 03:58  20 exhibits, but if you can turn to Exhibit 3. So if 03:58  21 you could turn to page three of this exhibit. This 03:58  22 has some language from the CBSC website; is that 03:59  23 right? 03:59
13 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 03:56 14 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 03:56 15 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 03:56 16 Q. You said earlier that sometimes CBSC would 03:56 17 get calls about broken links. Do you recall if any 03:56 18 of those calls were about the California Electrical 03:56 19 Code specifically? 03:56 20 A. Yes. 03:56 21 Q. And you got asked some questions about 03:56 22 whether CBSC has taken efforts to make the California 03:56	13 A. That's correct. 03:58  14 Q. It's not the NFPA; is that correct? 03:58  15 A. Correct. 03:58  16 Q. So this email is not about any issues with 03:58  17 the California Electrical Code; is that correct? 03:58  18 A. That is correct. 03:58  19 Q. Sorry to make you go through all these 03:58  20 exhibits, but if you can turn to Exhibit 3. So if 03:58  21 you could turn to page three of this exhibit. This 03:58  22 has some language from the CBSC website; is that 03:59

1 on page three that begins "All occupancies." Do you 03:59	1 State, it wouldn't be fully accurate to say that it's 04:01
2 remember talking about that? 03:59	2 just governed by the national model codes; is that 04:01
3 A. Yes. 03:59	3 right? 04:01
4 Q. The first part of that sentence reads "All 03:59	4 A. That's correct. 04:01
5 occupancies in California are subject to national 03:59	5 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:01
6 model codes adopted into Title 24." Do you see that? 03:59	6 THE WITNESS: It's the California Electrical 04:01
7 A. Yes. 03:59	7 Code. 04:01
8 Q. And you were asked whether this meant that 03:59	8 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 04:01
9 this applied to all office buildings and schools and 03:59	9 Q. The California Electrical Code and any other 04:01
10 hospitals. Do you remember being asked those 03:59	10 local jurisdiction's amendments; right? 04:01
11 questions? 03:59	11 A. Well, if we're speaking specifically about 04:01
12 A. Yes. 03:59	12 hospitals, I'm not the expert on that, so that would 04:01
13 Q. So you weren't asked about the second part 03:59	
14 of that sentence, though, which I'll read now: Says 03:59	14 Q. Let's say buildings generally within the 04:01
15 "and occupancies are further subject to amendments 03:59	15 State might be regulated by local jurisdiction's 04:01
16 adopted by State agencies and ordinances implemented 03:59	16 amendments that they would make? 04:01
17 by local jurisdictions' governing bodies." Do you 03:59	17 A. Local jurisdictions can amend the code on 04:01
18 see that on the page? 03:59	18 top of what California publishes as well. 04:01
19 A. Yes. 03:59	19 Q. And that would really determine what rules a 04:01
20 Q. So this means that something like a hospital 03:59	20 building in California would have to follow; does 04:02
21 in California would be subject to whatever local 03:59	21 that sound right? 04:02
22 amendments State agencies or other local 04:00	22 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:02
23 jurisdictions made; is that right? 04:00	THE WITNESS: That's a little vague. Sorry. 04:02
24 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:00	24 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 04:02
25 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you repeat 04:00	25 Q. No problem. That set of 04:02
Page 182	Page 184
1 the question? Sorry. 04:00	1 jurisdiction-specific rules would determine what 04:02
2 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 04:00	2 governed a building in California; is that correct? 04:02
3 Q. Sure. So the second part of the sentence 04:00	3 A. Not no. 04:02
4 indicates that, for example, a hospital in the State 04:00	4 Q. So let's any given building within the 04:02
5 would be subject to could be subject to amendments 04:00	5 State, what would it have to look to to determine how 04:02
6 adopted by State agencies and ordinances implemented 04:00	6 to comply with governing regulations? 04:02
7 by local jurisdictions; is that right? 04:00	7 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:02
8 A. Hospitals. 04:00	8 THE WITNESS: That's kind of a broad 04:02
_	9 question. 04:02
j	10 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 04:02
10 THE WITNESS: Hospitals would be subject to 04:00	
11 State agency amendments. I can't speak to whether 04:00	11 Q. Sure. I'll rephrase. 04:02
12 they would be subject to local jurisdiction governing 04:00	12 A. Okay. 04:02
13 bodies because OSHPD determines the building 04:00	13 Q. A building in the State would have to look 04:02
14 standards for hospitals. 04:00	14 to both the California Electrical Code and any local 04:02
15 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER:	15 jurisdiction's rules to determine whether it was 04:02
16 Q. Okay. And so those State agencies that 04:00	16 complying with the law; is that right? 04:03
17 might make amendments, they could make an amendment 04:00	17 A. Potentially. 04:03
18 that added language, added a requirement; is that 04:00	18 MR. NERCESSIAN: Same objection. 04:03
19 correct? 04:00	19 THE WITNESS: Potentially, yes. 04:03
20 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:01	20 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 04:03
21 THE WITNESS: They can make amendments to 04:01	21 Q. No further questions from us. 04:03
21 THE WITNESS: They can make amendments to 04:01 22 the model codes that add a requirement or take away a 04:01	21 Q. No further questions from us. 04:03 22 MR. FEE: I don't have any questions. 04:03
,	
22 the model codes that add a requirement or take away a 04:01	MR. FEE: I don't have any questions. 04:03
22 the model codes that add a requirement or take away a 04:01 23 requirement from the model code, yes. 04:01	MR. FEE: I don't have any questions. 04:03 MR. NERCESSIAN: I have a few. 04:03

1 MR. NERCESSIAN: Yeah, we should go off the 04:03	1 A. I don't recall how many State agencies, no. 04:07
2 record while we're switching. 04:03	2 Q. Was it more than one? 04:07
3 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're off the record 04:03	3 A. Yes, it was more than one. 04:08
4 at 4:03. 04:03	4 Q. More than three? 04:08
5 (Recess) 04:03	5 A. Yes. 04:08
6 VIDEO OPERATOR: Okay. We're back on the 04:04	6 Q. More than six? 04:08
7 record. It's 4:05. 04:05	7 A. Yes. 04:08
8 EXAMINATION 04:05	8 Q. More than ten? 04:08
9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:05	9 A. I would say less than twenty, more than one. 04:08
10 Q. All right. I have just a few more questions 04:05	10 Q. On the last round of examination, you 04:08
11 regarding this contract, so that's Exhibit 7, and if 04:05	11 referred to again to local jurisdictions that make 04:08
12 you could flip to the last page of that exhibit. 04:05	12 available copies of the California Electrical Code 04:08
13 During the past round of examination, you looked at 04:05	13 for free. To be clear, are you aware of any local 04:08
14 language that said that the NFPA shall deliver copies 04:05	14 jurisdictions that do so? 04:08
15 to the State agencies as directed by CBSC. Do you 04:05	15 A. I've not utilized that service, so I 04:08
16 see that language? 04:05	16 couldn't tell you which jurisdictions make it 04:08
17 A. Is that item one or item two or in the first 04:06	17 available. 04:08
18 paragraph? 04:06	18 Q. So that's a no, you're not aware of any 04:08
19 Q. It's in the first paragraph. 04:06	19 local jurisdictions that furnish freely available 04:08
20 A. Okay. 04:06	20 copies of the California Electrical Code? 04:09
21 Q. It lists that there's the deposit libraries 04:06	21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 04:09
22 in Attachment A 04:06	22 Mischaracterizes her testimony. 04:09
23 A. Sorry. 04:06	23 THE WITNESS: I don't know of a 04:09
24 Q and Attachment B and copies to the State 04:06	24 jurisdiction. 04:09
25 agencies as directed by CBSC. Do you see that 04:06 Page 186	25 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:09 Page 188
1 language? 04:06	1 Q. Do you have any understanding whether a 04:09
2 A. Copies to the State agencies. I know it's 04:06	2 California citizen is free to make a copy of the 04:09
3 here, but I'm looking. During the term of the 04:06	3 California Electrical Code without seeking permission 04:09
4 agreement, deliver complimentary copies of the 2016 04:06	4 from the NFPA or paying a fee? 04:09
5 and what line is it in the first paragraph? I'm 04:06	5 MR. FEE: Objection. 04:09
6 sorry. 04:06	6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for a 04:09
7 Q. It is starts six lines down. 04:06	7 legal conclusion. 04:09
8 A. One, two, three, four, five, six. "Selected 04:06	8 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I don't 04:09
9 depositories and copies to the State agencies as 04:06	9 have an answer for you. 04:09
	have an answer for you.
10 directed." Yes, I see that. I'm sorry. 04:06	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:09
10 directed." Yes, I see that. I'm sorry. 04:06 11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06	
	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:09
11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:09 11 Q. Do you have any understanding? 04:09
11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06 12 agencies that CBSC has directed NFPA to deliver 04:06	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:09 11 Q. Do you have any understanding? 04:09 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 04:09
11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06 12 agencies that CBSC has directed NFPA to deliver 04:06 13 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:09 11 Q. Do you have any understanding? 04:09 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 04:09 13 MR. FEE: Objection. 04:09
11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06 12 agencies that CBSC has directed NFPA to deliver 04:06 13 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 14 Electrical Code to? 04:07	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       04:09         11 Q. Do you have any understanding?       04:09         12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.       04:09         13 MR. FEE: Objection.       04:09         14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Calls for a legal       04:09
11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06 12 agencies that CBSC has directed NFPA to deliver 04:06 13 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 14 Electrical Code to? 04:07 15 A. I don't recall which State agencies. 04:07	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       04:09         11 Q. Do you have any understanding?       04:09         12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.       04:09         13 MR. FEE: Objection.       04:09         14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Calls for a legal       04:09         15 conclusion.       04:09
11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06 12 agencies that CBSC has directed NFPA to deliver 04:06 13 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 14 Electrical Code to? 04:07 15 A. I don't recall which State agencies. 04:07 16 Q. Do you recall whether there were any State 04:07	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       04:09         11 Q. Do you have any understanding?       04:09         12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.       04:09         13 MR. FEE: Objection.       04:09         14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Calls for a legal       04:09         15 conclusion.       04:09         16 THE WITNESS: No.       04:09
11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06 12 agencies that CBSC has directed NFPA to deliver 04:06 13 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 14 Electrical Code to? 04:07 15 A. I don't recall which State agencies. 04:07 16 Q. Do you recall whether there were any State 04:07 17 agencies that CBSC directed NFPA to deliver 04:07	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       04:09         11 Q. Do you have any understanding?       04:09         12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.       04:09         13 MR. FEE: Objection.       04:09         14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Calls for a legal       04:09         15 conclusion.       04:09         16 THE WITNESS: No.       04:09         17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       04:09
11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06 12 agencies that CBSC has directed NFPA to deliver 04:06 13 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 14 Electrical Code to? 04:07 15 A. I don't recall which State agencies. 04:07 16 Q. Do you recall whether there were any State 04:07 17 agencies that CBSC directed NFPA to deliver 04:07 18 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       04:09         11 Q. Do you have any understanding?       04:09         12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.       04:09         13 MR. FEE: Objection.       04:09         14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Calls for a legal       04:09         15 conclusion.       04:09         16 THE WITNESS: No.       04:09         17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       04:09         18 Q. Are you aware of any request made to the       04:09
11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06 12 agencies that CBSC has directed NFPA to deliver 04:06 13 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 14 Electrical Code to? 04:07 15 A. I don't recall which State agencies. 04:07 16 Q. Do you recall whether there were any State 04:07 17 agencies that CBSC directed NFPA to deliver 04:07 18 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 19 Electrical Code to? 04:07	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       04:09         11 Q. Do you have any understanding?       04:09         12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.       04:09         13 MR. FEE: Objection.       04:09         14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Calls for a legal       04:09         15 conclusion.       04:09         16 THE WITNESS: No.       04:09         17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       04:09         18 Q. Are you aware of any request made to the       04:09         19 CBSC to make another part of the California Building       04:09
11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06 12 agencies that CBSC has directed NFPA to deliver 04:06 13 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 14 Electrical Code to? 04:07 15 A. I don't recall which State agencies. 04:07 16 Q. Do you recall whether there were any State 04:07 17 agencies that CBSC directed NFPA to deliver 04:07 18 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 19 Electrical Code to? 04:07 20 A. Yes. Yes. And I would have thought that 04:07	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       04:09         11 Q. Do you have any understanding?       04:09         12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection.       04:09         13 MR. FEE: Objection.       04:09         14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Calls for a legal       04:09         15 conclusion.       04:09         16 THE WITNESS: No.       04:09         17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN:       04:09         18 Q. Are you aware of any request made to the       04:09         19 CBSC to make another part of the California Building       04:09         20 Standards Code, not the California Electrical Code,       04:10
11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06 12 agencies that CBSC has directed NFPA to deliver 04:06 13 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 14 Electrical Code to? 04:07 15 A. I don't recall which State agencies. 04:07 16 Q. Do you recall whether there were any State 04:07 17 agencies that CBSC directed NFPA to deliver 04:07 18 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 19 Electrical Code to? 04:07 20 A. Yes. Yes. And I would have thought that 04:07 21 would have been one of the attachments in here that 04:07	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:09 11 Q. Do you have any understanding? 04:09 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 04:09 13 MR. FEE: Objection. 04:09 14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Calls for a legal 04:09 15 conclusion. 04:09 16 THE WITNESS: No. 04:09 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:09 18 Q. Are you aware of any request made to the 04:09 19 CBSC to make another part of the California Building 04:09 20 Standards Code, not the California Electrical Code, 04:10 21 available to the print disabled? 04:10
11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06 12 agencies that CBSC has directed NFPA to deliver 04:06 13 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 14 Electrical Code to? 04:07 15 A. I don't recall which State agencies. 04:07 16 Q. Do you recall whether there were any State 04:07 17 agencies that CBSC directed NFPA to deliver 04:07 18 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 19 Electrical Code to? 04:07 20 A. Yes. Yes. And I would have thought that 04:07 21 would have been one of the attachments in here that 04:07 22 listed the State agencies, but maybe that comes 04:07	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:09 11 Q. Do you have any understanding? 04:09 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 04:09 13 MR. FEE: Objection. 04:09 14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Calls for a legal 04:09 15 conclusion. 04:09 16 THE WITNESS: No. 04:09 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:09 18 Q. Are you aware of any request made to the 04:09 19 CBSC to make another part of the California Building 04:09 20 Standards Code, not the California Electrical Code, 04:10 21 available to the print disabled? 04:10 22 A. I know of one other occurrence. 04:10
11 Q. No. No worries. Are you aware of any State 04:06 12 agencies that CBSC has directed NFPA to deliver 04:06 13 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 14 Electrical Code to? 04:07 15 A. I don't recall which State agencies. 04:07 16 Q. Do you recall whether there were any State 04:07 17 agencies that CBSC directed NFPA to deliver 04:07 18 complimentary copies of the 2016 California 04:07 19 Electrical Code to? 04:07 20 A. Yes. Yes. And I would have thought that 04:07 21 would have been one of the attachments in here that 04:07 22 listed the State agencies, but maybe that comes 04:07 23 later. 04:07	10 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:09 11 Q. Do you have any understanding? 04:09 12 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 04:09 13 MR. FEE: Objection. 04:09 14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Calls for a legal 04:09 15 conclusion. 04:09 16 THE WITNESS: No. 04:09 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:09 18 Q. Are you aware of any request made to the 04:09 19 CBSC to make another part of the California Building 04:09 20 Standards Code, not the California Electrical Code, 04:10 21 available to the print disabled? 04:10 22 A. I know of one other occurrence. 04:10 23 Q. What happened there? 04:10

1 Q. And who was the model code developer in that 04:10	1 Q. So the NFPA publishes the California 04:13
2 instance? 04:10	2 Electrical Code only in an edition that contains the 04:13
3 A. The International Code Council. 04:10	3 National Electrical Code with additions and 04:13
4 Q. And how did they help the person requesting 04:10	4 strikeouts showing the California amendments; is that 04:13
5 access? 04:10	5 correct? 04:13
6 A. I don't know. 04:10	6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Calls for 04:13
7 Q. Do you know whether the International Code 04:10	7 speculation. 04:13
8 Council required payment from the person requesting 04:10	8 THE WITNESS: That's all I'm aware of. 04:13
9 print disabled access? 04:10	9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:13
10 A. I do not know that. 04:10	10 Q. The NFPA does not publish the text that 04:13
11 Q. Are you aware of any request made to the 04:10	11 consists purely of the California Electrical Code; is 04:13
12 CBSC to make another part of the California Building 04:10	12 that right? 04:13
13 Standards Code, again, not the California Electrical 04:10	13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Form. 04:13
14 Code, available to the mobility impaired? 04:10	14 THE WITNESS: I don't know whether they do 04:13
15 A. I'm not aware of that, no. 04:11	15 or they don't. 04:13
16 Q. Are you aware of a request strike that. 04:11	16 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:13
17 Are you aware of a similar request made to 04:11	17 Q. Would you know if they did publish the 04:13
18 the CBSC by any person requesting access who lacked 04:11	18 California supplement as a standalone? 04:13
19 sight? 04:11	MR. FEE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 04:13
20 A. No, I'm not aware of that. 04:11	20 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I would 04:13
21 Q. Are you aware of a similar request made to 04:11	21 not know that. 04:13
22 the CBSC by any person requesting access for any 04:11	22 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:13
23 other accessibility related issue? 04:11	23 Q. Are you aware of any way someone can read 04:13
24 A. No, I'm not aware of that. 04:11	24 the entire California Electrical Code without the 04:14
25 Q. During the last round of examination, do you 04:11 Page 190	25 strikeouts from the National Electrical Code that 04:14 Page 192
1 recall the distinctions raised between the National 04:11	1 aren't in the California code? 04:14
2 Electrical Code and the California Electrical Code? 04:12	2 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 04:14
3 A. Yes, I remember the conversation, yes. 04:12	3 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm not aware. 04:14
4 Q. The NFPA, however, publishes the California 04:12	4 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:14
5 Electrical Code only in an edition that contains the 04:12	5 Q. So you don't know of any way you can just 04:14
6 National Electrical Code with additions and 04:12	6 see a clean copy of the California Electrical Code 04:14
7 strikeouts showing the California amendments; is that 04:12	7 without red lines? 04:14
8 correct? 04:12	8 A. I'm not aware of that. 04:14
9 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection to form. 04:12	9 Q. Have you had any discussions with any 04:14
10 THE WITNESS: That's not entirely a correct 04:12	10 representatives of NFPA? 04:14
11 statement. 04:12	11 A. It's a broad question. 04:14
12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:12	12 Q. You can still answer it. 04:14
13 Q. To what extent is it correct? 04:12	13 A. Okay. 04:14
14 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. 04:12	14 Q. I'm asking for a broad answer. 04:14
15 THE WITNESS: The publisher publishes the 04:12	15 A. Yes. 04:14
16 California Electrical Code with you stated that 04:12	16 Q. And what types of discussions have you had 04:14
17 with California amendments and strikeout, and that's 04:12	17 with the representatives of the NFPA? 04:14
18 not accurate. 04:12	18 A. One is about the periodical they mail out 04:15
19 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:12	19 monthly that is their NFPA magazine, and it has 04:15
20 Q. Not "in strikeout." "And strikeouts." 04:12	20 articles in there that contain a variety of 04:15
21 A. And strikeout, yes. 04:12	21 information about the NFPA standards, and I've spoken 04:15
22 Q. So I can rephrase. 04:12	22 to the representative about specific articles that 04:15
23 A. Okay. 04:12	23 are in there. 04:15
24 Q. I can restate the question. 04:12	24 Q. What other conversations have you had with 04:15
25 A. Okay. 04:13 Page 191	25 NFPA? 04:15 Page 193
1 agc 191	1 age 193

1 A. Just about the contract negotiations. 04:15	1 Q. How do the are the trainings with respect 04:17
2 Q. And what was the substance of those 04:15	2 to the National Electrical Code strike that. 04:18
3 conversations? 04:15	What kind of information does the NFPA 04:18
4 A. Just the wording of the contract. 04:15	4 provide to the CBSC with respect to training 04:18
5 Q. Did you propose any edits to the NFPA that 04:15	5 concerning the National Electrical Code? 04:18
6 they accepted to the contract? 04:15	6 MR. FEE: Objection. Beyond the scope of 04:18
7 A. Yes. 04:15	7 the cross. 04:18
8 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 04:15	8 THE WITNESS: We're invited to the training. 04:18
9 answered. 04:15	9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:18
THE WITNESS: But I don't know what they are 04:15	10 Q. Have you ever attended one of those 04:18
11 specifically. 04:15	11 trainings? 04:18
12 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:15	12 MR. FEE: Same objection. 04:18
13 Q. Do you recall the subject matter areas you 04:15	13 THE WITNESS: Years ago, I attended 04:18
14 proposed that as to the NFPA on? 04:15	14 training. 04:18
15 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. Asked and 04:16	15 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:18
16 answered. 04:16	16 Q. And for what purpose? 04:18
17 THE WITNESS: No, I don't recall. 04:16	17 MR. FEE: Same objection. 04:18
18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:16	18 THE WITNESS: Just to learn about the 04:18
19 Q. Do you recall any other discussions with 04:16	19 National Electrical Code. 04:18
20 representatives of the NFPA? 04:16	20 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19
21 A. No. 04:16	21 Q. We discussed earlier about your knowledge or 04:19
22 Q. So other than discussions about the 04:16	22 awareness of the code development process among the 04:19
23 newsletter and conversations consisting of contract 04:16	23 standards development organizations. Do you recall 04:19
24 negotiations, you don't recall any other 04:16	24 that? 04:19
25 conversations with the NFPA? 04:16	25 A. Yes. 04:19
Page 194	Page 196
1 A. Training. I have had conversations with 04:16	1 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Outside the scope of 04:19
1 A. Training. I have had conversations with 04:16 2 them about training that they make available 04:16	1 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Outside the scope of 04:19 2 cross. Objection. 04:19
9	_
2 them about training that they make available 04:16	2 cross. Objection. 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17 11 MR. FEE: Objection. This is beyond the 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19 11 of those employees who participate in writing model 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17 11 MR. FEE: Objection. This is beyond the 04:17 12 scope of cross. 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19 11 of those employees who participate in writing model 04:19 12 codes? 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17 11 MR. FEE: Objection. This is beyond the 04:17 12 scope of cross. 04:17 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Just they offer 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19 11 of those employees who participate in writing model 04:19 12 codes? 04:19 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17 11 MR. FEE: Objection. This is beyond the 04:17 12 scope of cross. 04:17 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Just they offer 04:17 14 training, and they put a notice out there in local 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19 11 of those employees who participate in writing model 04:19 12 codes? 04:19 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's 04:19 14 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17 11 MR. FEE: Objection. This is beyond the 04:17 12 scope of cross. 04:17 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Just they offer 04:17 14 training, and they put a notice out there in local 04:17 15 jurisdictions, and people interested in the code 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19 11 of those employees who participate in writing model 04:19 12 codes? 04:19 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's 04:19 14 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 15 MR. FEE: Also calls for speculation. 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17 11 MR. FEE: Objection. This is beyond the 04:17 12 scope of cross. 04:17 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Just they offer 04:17 14 training, and they put a notice out there in local 04:17 15 jurisdictions, and people interested in the code 04:17 16 changes can attend that training, and they let our 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19 11 of those employees who participate in writing model 04:19 12 codes? 04:19 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's 04:19 14 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 15 MR. FEE: Also calls for speculation. 04:19 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm not sure. 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17 11 MR. FEE: Objection. This is beyond the 04:17 12 scope of cross. 04:17 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Just they offer 04:17 14 training, and they put a notice out there in local 04:17 15 jurisdictions, and people interested in the code 04:17 16 changes can attend that training, and they let our 04:17 17 office know about it. 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19 11 of those employees who participate in writing model 04:19 12 codes? 04:19 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's 04:19 14 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 15 MR. FEE: Also calls for speculation. 04:19 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm not sure. 04:19 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17 11 MR. FEE: Objection. This is beyond the 04:17 12 scope of cross. 04:17 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Just they offer 04:17 14 training, and they put a notice out there in local 04:17 15 jurisdictions, and people interested in the code 04:17 16 changes can attend that training, and they let our 04:17 17 office know about it. 04:17 18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19 11 of those employees who participate in writing model 04:19 12 codes? 04:19 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's 04:19 14 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 15 MR. FEE: Also calls for speculation. 04:19 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm not sure. 04:19 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17 11 MR. FEE: Objection. This is beyond the 04:17 12 scope of cross. 04:17 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Just they offer 04:17 14 training, and they put a notice out there in local 04:17 15 jurisdictions, and people interested in the code 04:17 16 changes can attend that training, and they let our 04:17 17 office know about it. 04:17 18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:17 19 Q. Does CBSC provide any advice to the NFPA 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19 11 of those employees who participate in writing model 04:19 12 codes? 04:19 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's 04:19 14 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 15 MR. FEE: Also calls for speculation. 04:19 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm not sure. 04:19 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 18 Q. No further questions. 04:19 19 A. Okay. 04:20
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17 11 MR. FEE: Objection. This is beyond the 04:17 12 scope of cross. 04:17 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Just they offer 04:17 14 training, and they put a notice out there in local 04:17 15 jurisdictions, and people interested in the code 04:17 16 changes can attend that training, and they let our 04:17 17 office know about it. 04:17 18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:17 19 Q. Does CBSC provide any advice to the NFPA 04:17 20 with respect to the trainings regarding the 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19 11 of those employees who participate in writing model 04:19 12 codes? 04:19 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's 04:19 14 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 15 MR. FEE: Also calls for speculation. 04:19 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm not sure. 04:19 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 18 Q. No further questions. 04:19 19 A. Okay. 04:20 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We just have a few more 04:20
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17 11 MR. FEE: Objection. This is beyond the 04:17 12 scope of cross. 04:17 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Just they offer 04:17 14 training, and they put a notice out there in local 04:17 15 jurisdictions, and people interested in the code 04:17 16 changes can attend that training, and they let our 04:17 17 office know about it. 04:17 18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:17 19 Q. Does CBSC provide any advice to the NFPA 04:17 20 with respect to the trainings regarding the 04:17 21 California Electrical Code? 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19 11 of those employees who participate in writing model 04:19 12 codes? 04:19 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's 04:19 14 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 15 MR. FEE: Also calls for speculation. 04:19 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm not sure. 04:19 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 18 Q. No further questions. 04:19 19 A. Okay. 04:20 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We just have a few more 04:20 21 questions. We can probably just ask them from here. 04:20
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17 11 MR. FEE: Objection. This is beyond the 04:17 12 scope of cross. 04:17 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Just they offer 04:17 14 training, and they put a notice out there in local 04:17 15 jurisdictions, and people interested in the code 04:17 16 changes can attend that training, and they let our 04:17 17 office know about it. 04:17 18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:17 19 Q. Does CBSC provide any advice to the NFPA 04:17 20 with respect to the trainings regarding the 04:17 21 California Electrical Code? 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19 11 of those employees who participate in writing model 04:19 12 codes? 04:19 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's 04:19 14 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 15 MR. FEE: Also calls for speculation. 04:19 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm not sure. 04:19 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 18 Q. No further questions. 04:19 19 A. Okay. 04:20 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We just have a few more 04:20 21 questions. We can probably just ask them from here. 04:20 22 MR. NERCESSIAN: Okay. 04:20
2 them about training that they make available 04:16 3 throughout the State. 04:16 4 Q. And what sort of training? 04:16 5 A. They make available training for the 04:16 6 California Electrical Code and also the NEC 04:16 7 throughout California. 04:16 8 Q. All right. What kind of trainings does the 04:16 9 NFPA hold with respect to the California Electrical 04:17 10 Code? 04:17 11 MR. FEE: Objection. This is beyond the 04:17 12 scope of cross. 04:17 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Just they offer 04:17 14 training, and they put a notice out there in local 04:17 15 jurisdictions, and people interested in the code 04:17 16 changes can attend that training, and they let our 04:17 17 office know about it. 04:17 18 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:17 19 Q. Does CBSC provide any advice to the NFPA 04:17 20 with respect to the trainings regarding the 04:17 21 California Electrical Code? 04:17 22 A. No. 04:17 23 MR. FEE: Objection. Beyond the scope of 04:17	2 cross. Objection. 04:19 3 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 4 Q. Are you aware that governments authorize 04:19 5 employees to participate in writing model codes? 04:19 6 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. That's 04:19 7 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that. 04:19 9 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 10 Q. Are you aware that government pays expenses 04:19 11 of those employees who participate in writing model 04:19 12 codes? 04:19 13 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Objection. It's 04:19 14 outside the scope of the cross. 04:19 15 MR. FEE: Also calls for speculation. 04:19 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm not sure. 04:19 17 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:19 18 Q. No further questions. 04:19 19 A. Okay. 04:20 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: We just have a few more 04:20 21 questions. We can probably just ask them from here. 04:20 22 MR. NERCESSIAN: Okay. 04:20 23 EXAMINATION 04:20

Does the California Building Stundards   0420   2   2   THE REPORTER: And do you want rought?   0422   04.22		
3	1 Does the California Building Standards 04:20	1 MR. YEN: No. 04:22
4 Q. Do you offer trainings related to the   04:20   5 midling Standards Code?   04:20   5 midling Standards Code?   04:20   5 mimle?   04:22   6 MS. BILLER: When would your rough be   04:22   7 available?   04:22   7 available?   04:22   9 mimed that for nonresidential occupancies.   04:20   9 mimed that for nonresidential occupancies.   04:20   10 Q. You were asked about whether the NFPA holds   04:20   10 draining standards Code because we have authority to   04:20   10 draining standards Code because we have authority to   04:20   10 draining standards Code because we have authority to   04:20   10 draining Standards Code because we have authority to   04:20   10 draining Standards Code because we have authority to   04:20   10 draining Standards Code because we have authority to   04:20   10 draining Standards Code because we have authority to   04:20   10 draining Standards Code   04:20   11 draining standards Code   04:20   11 draining standards Code   04:20   12 draining standards to   04:20   13 draining standards Code   04:21   17 draining standards Code   04:21   18 draining standards Code   04:21   19 draining standards Code   04:21   19 draining standards Code   04:21   19 draining standards Code   04:21   22 draining standards Code   04:21   23 draining standards Code   04:21   24 draining standards Code   04:21   24 draining standards Code   04:21   25 draining standards Code   04:21   27 draining standards Code   04:21   28 draining standards Code   04:21   29 draining standards Code   04:21   20 draining standards Code	2 Commission offer any education and outreach? 04:20	2 THE REPORTER: And do you want roughs? 04:22
5 Building Standards Code?         04.20         5 minute?         04.22           6 A. We offer training more specifically to the process and then also for the California Green 04.20         6 MS. EHLER: When would your rough be 04.22           8 Building Standards Code because we have authority to 04.20         9 mend that for nonresidential occupancies.         04.20           10 Q. You were asked about whether the NFPA holds 04.20         10 MR. NERCESSIAN: We'll take a rough.         04.22           11 trainings related to the California Electrical Code?         04.20         11 MR. YEN: We don't need it.         04.22           12 Sidere are rough or properties.         04.20         13 4.22.         04.22         12 VIDEO OPERATOR: We're offle record. It's 04.22           13 organizations that hold trainings related to the 04.20         13 4.22.         04.22         14 California Electrical Code?         04.21         16 TIE WITNESS: I don't know.         04.21         16 TIE WITNESS: I don't know.         04.21         17 Why MS. MILLER ZIEGELER:         04.21         18 W. Ye're fine.         04.23           18 O, Can you think of any reason amother 04.21         21 Page 100         21 Page 100         21 Page 100         22 Page 100           21 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection.         04.21         22 Page 100         23 Page 100         24 Page 100           22 MYA. Mill LIRE-ZIEGELER: Why and the second followup 04.21         24 Pag	3 A. Yes, we do. 04:20	3 MR. FEE: I don't need one. 04:22
6 A. We offer trainings more specifically to the 04:20 7 process and then also for the California Green 04:20 9 amend that for noncresidential occupancies. 04:20 9 amend that for noncresidential occupancies. 04:20 11 trainings related to the California Electrical Code. 04:20 11 trainings related to the California Electrical Code. 04:20 12 ls ferre any reason — are you aware of any other 04:20 13 4:22. 14 California Electrical Code? 04:20 13 4:22. 14 California Electrical Code? 04:20 14 MR. NERCESSIAN: We're aff the record. It's 04:22 14 California Electrical Code? 04:21 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: Nobjection. 04:21 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: Nobjection. 04:21 16 THE WITHERSS: I does not couldn't hold it sown training about the 04:21 17 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 04:21 17 Data shall we have. Thank you. 04:21 12 12 THE WITHERSS: Thorat on questions. 04:21 12 12 THE WITHERSS: Thorat on questions. 04:21 12 12 13 Q. Shank MR. NERCESSIAN: Nobjection. 04:21 12 12 13 Q. What course materials, if any, does GBSC use 04:21 12 13 Q. What course materials, if any, does GBSC use 04:21 12 process. 04:21 12 12 process. 04:21 13 Q. Shank you. 04:21 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	4 Q. Do you offer trainings related to the 04:20	4 MR. YEN: Actually, can you give me one 04:22
7 process and then also for the California Green         04-20         8 Building Standards Code because we have authority to 04-20         8 The California Flactrical Code of 2-20         9 MS. EHLLER. We'll take a rough.         04-22           10 Q. You were asked about whether the NFPA holds.         04-20         10 MR. NERCESSIAN: We'll take a rough.         04-22           11 trainings related to the California Electrical Code.         04-20         11 MR. YEN: We don't need it.         04-22           12 shere are present — are you aware of any other.         04-20         13 4-22.         04-22           13 organizations that hold trainings related to the of-20         04-20         13 4-22.         04-22           14 California Electrical Code?         04-20         15 MR. NERCESSIAN: We're off the record).         04-22           15 MR. NEECESSIAN: Objection.         04-21         15 MR. YEN: We're fine.         04-22           16 THE WITNESS: I don't know.         04-21         17 MR. YEN: We're fine.         04-22           17 Why MS. MILLER. ZIEGLER:         04-21         18 MR. YEN: We're fine.         04-22           20 California Electrical Code?         04-21         22 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection.         04-21         23 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection.         04-21         24 MR. YEN: We're fine.         04-22 MR. YENE.           21 MR. FEE: I have no questions.         04-21 <t< td=""><td>5 Building Standards Code? 04:20</td><td>5 minute? 04:22</td></t<>	5 Building Standards Code? 04:20	5 minute? 04:22
8   Building Standards Code because we have nuthority to 04:20   9   amend that for nonresidential occupancies.   04:20   9   MS. EHLER: Well take a rough.   04:22   11   trainings related to the California Electrical Code.   04:20   12   NR. FERCESSIAN: But the the NPFA bolds   04:20   13   422.   04:22   14   California Electrical Code.   04:20   15   MR. NERCESSIAN: Well take a rough.   04:22   18   decrease and the fold training related to the 04:20   15   MR. VERNECSSIAN: Well take a rough.   04:22   18   decrease and the fold training related to the 04:20   15   MR. VERNECSSIAN: Well take a rough.   04:22   18   decrease and the fold training related to the 04:20   15   MR. VERNECSSIAN: Well take a rough.   04:22   18   decrease and the fold training related to the 04:20   15   MR. VERNECSSIAN: Well take a rough.   04:22   18   decrease and the fold training related to the 04:20   18   MR. VERNECSSIAN: Coljection.   04:21   18   decrease and the fold training about the 04:21   19   organization couldn't hold its own training about the 04:21   19   organization couldn't hold its own training about the 04:21   20   California Electrical Code?   04:21   22   23   BY MS. MILLER. ZIEGLER:   04:21   24   Q. Thar's all we have. Thank you.   04:21   24   Q. Thar's all we have. Thank you.   04:21   24   Q. Thar's all we have. Thank you.   04:21   25   26   MR. FEE: I have no questions.   04:21   27   28   MR. FEE: SIAN: I have one followyn.   04:21   29   29   MR. FEE: Code?   04:21   19   10   I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under 11   process.   04:21   10   I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under 11   process.   04:21   10   I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under 11   process.   04:21   10   I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under 11   process.   04:21   10   I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under 11   process.   04:21   10   I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under 11   process.   04:21   10   I, MIA D. MARVELLI   04   I   I   I   I   I   I   I   I   I	6 A. We offer trainings more specifically to the 04:20	6 MS. EHLER: When would your rough be 04:22
9 mmend that for nonresidential occupancies. 04:20 10 Q. You were asked about whether the NFPA holds 04:20 11 trainings related to the California Electrical Code 04:20 12 Is there any reason are you aware of any other 04:20 13 organizations that hold trainings related to the O4:20 14 California Electrical Code 04:20 15 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:20 16 TITLE WITNESS: I don't know. 04:21 17 BY MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: 04:21 18 Q. Can you think of any reason another 04:21 19 organization couldn't hold its own training about the 04:21 20 California Electrical Code 04:21 21 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:21 22 TITLE WITNESS: I most aware, no. 04:21 23 BY MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: 04:21 24 Q. That's all we have. Thank you. 04:21 25 A. Okay. 04:21 26 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:21 27 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:21 28 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:21 29 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:21 20 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:21 21 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:21 22 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:21 23 question within the scope of that. 04:21 24 MR. NERCESSIAN: I have one followup 04:21 25 BY MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: 04:21 26 Q. What course materials, if any, does CBSC use 04:21 27 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection 04:21 28 ELECTRICAL Odd? 04:21 29 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection 04:21 20 D. What course materials, if any, does CBSC use 04:21 21 process. 04:21 22 D. That's all we have. Thank you. 04:21 23 THE WITNESS: I any objection 04:21 24 D. D. MAR NERCESSIAN: Objection 04:21 25 D. Objection 04:21 26 D. D. What course materials that CBSC 04:21 27 Or provides with respect to the California Beterical 04:21 28 Electrical Code? 04:21 29 TO colores, the California Beterical 04:21 20 C. Thank you. 04:21 21 process. 04:21 22 TO California Electrical Code? 04:21 23 THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 24 W.S. MILLER.ZIEGLER: 98: 04:22 25 MS. MILLER.ZIEGLER: 98: 04:22 26 MIA D. MARVELLI. 27 MIA D. MARVELLI. 28 D. A. Objection 04:21 29 MR. NEFE: I have no other questions. 04:21 20 MR. NEFE: I have no other questions. 04:21 21 MR. NEFE: I have no	7 process and then also for the California Green 04:20	7 available? 04:22
10	8 Building Standards Code because we have authority to 04:20	8 THE REPORTER: Tonight. 04:22
11 trainings related to the California Electrical Code.   04-20   12   12   three my reason - are you aware of any other   04-20   13   4222   04-22   13   4222   04-22   14   California Electrical Code?   04-20   15   MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection.   04-20   15   MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection.   04-20   15   MR. YEN: We're fine.   04-23   04-23   16   THE WITHERSS: 1 don't know.   04-21   16   17   BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER:   04-21   17   18   NS. MILLER-ZIEGLER:   04-21   18   0-granization couldn't hold its own training about the 04-21   19   0-granization couldn't hold its own training about the 04-21   19   19   19   19   19   19   19	9 amend that for nonresidential occupancies. 04:20	9 MS. EHLER: We'll take a rough. 04:22
12   18 there any reason — are you aware of any other   04:20	10 Q. You were asked about whether the NFPA holds 04:20	10 MR. NERCESSIAN: We'll take a rough. 04:22
13   3   422   04.22   04.22   14   Chifornia Electrical Code?   04.20   15   MR, YEN: We're fine.   04.23   04.23   15   MR, YEN: We're fine.   04.23   04.	11 trainings related to the California Electrical Code. 04:20	11 MR. YEN: We don't need it. 04:22
14   California Electrical Code?	12 Is there any reason are you aware of any other 04:20	12 VIDEO OPERATOR: We're off the record. It's 04:22
15   MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection.   04:21   16     17     18   18   18   MR. YEN: We're fine.   04:23     18     19   19   19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19   19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19     19   19     19   19     19   19     19	13 organizations that hold trainings related to the 04:20	13 4:22. 04:22
16         THE WITNESS: I don't know.         04:21         16           17         BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER:         04:21         17           18         Q. Can you think of any reason another of operation of programation couldn't hold its own training about the 04:21         19           20         California Electrical Code?         04:21         20           21         MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection.         04:21         21           22         THE WITNESS: I'm not aware, no.         04:21         22           23         BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER:         04:21         23           24         Q. That's all we have. Thank you.         04:21         24           25         A. Okay.         04:21         25           26         A. Okay.         04:21         2           27         MR. NERCESSIAN: I have one followup         04:21         2           28         guestion within the scope of that.         04:21         3           4         EXAMINATION         04:21         4           5         BY MR. NERCESSIAN: I have one followup         04:21         5           6         Q. What course materials, if any, does CBSC use         04:21         5           7         Or provide in its trainings regarding the California 0	14 California Electrical Code? 04:20	14 (Discussion off the record) 04:22
17   BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER:	15 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:20	15 MR. YEN: We're fine. 04:23
18   Q. Can you think of any reason another   04:21   19   organization couldn't hold its own training about the 04:21   19   20   California Electrical Code?   04:21   20   21   21   22   22   23   24   24   25   26   28   29   29   29   29   29   29   29	16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 04:21	16
19   organization couldn't hold its own training about the 04:21   20   20   California Electrical Code?   04:21   20   21   22   22   23   24   24   25   25   25   25   27   27   27   27	17 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 04:21	17
20   California Electrical Code?   04:21   20	18 Q. Can you think of any reason another 04:21	18
21	19 organization couldn't hold its own training about the 04:21	19
22 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware, no. 04:21 23 3 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 04:21 24 24 Q. That's all we have. Thank you. 04:21 25 3 A. Okay. 04:21 25 3 question within the scope of that. 04:21 2 3 question within the scope of that. 04:21 3 4 EXAMINATION 04:21 4 5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 1 have one followup 04:21 5 6 Q. What course materials, if any, does CBSC use 04:21 7 7 or provide in its trainings regarding the California 04:21 8 5 Electrical Code? 04:21 9 9 A. Well, we don't do training for the 04:21 10 California Electrical Code. It's just for the 04:21 11 process. the California Building Standards Code 04:21 11 process. the California Building Standards Code 04:21 12 process. 04:21 13 Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21 14 provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21 15 Code? 04:21 15 Code? 04:21 15 Code? 04:21 17 Q. Thank you. 04:21 18 A. Uh-huh. 04:21 18 A. Uh-huh. 04:21 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 19 MR. FEE: I have no other questions. 04:21 21 19 MR. FEE: I have no other questions. 04:21 22 WIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record then. 04:22 23 MIA D. MARVELLI 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:21 24 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04	20 California Electrical Code? 04:21	20
23   BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER:   04:21   24   25   25   26   27   27   28   28   29   29   29   29   29   29	21 MR. NERCESSIAN: Objection. 04:21	21
24   Q. That's all we have. Thank you.   04:21   25   25   25   26   27   26   27   27   27   27   27	22 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware, no. 04:21	22
25   A. Okay.   04:21   Page 198   Page 200	23 BY MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: 04:21	23
Page 198   Page 200	24 Q. That's all we have. Thank you. 04:21	24
MR. FEE: I have no questions.   04:21   2   3   4   EXAMINATION   04:21   4   4   EXAMINATION   04:21   4   5   BY MR. NERCESSIAN:   04:21   5   6   Q. What course materials, if any, does CBSC use   04:21   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2         MR. NERCESSIAN: I have one followup         04:21         2           3         question within the scope of that.         04:21         4           4         EXAMINATION         04:21         4           5         BY MR. NERCESSIAN:         04:21         5           6         Q. What course materials, if any, does CBSC use 04:21         6           7         7 or provide in its trainings regarding the California 04:21         7           8         Electrical Code?         04:21         8           9         A. Well, we don't do training for the 04:21         10         I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under           11         process, the California Building Standards Code 04:21         11         penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing           12         process.         04:21         12         transcript; that I have made any corrections as           13         Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21         13         appear noted, in ink, initialed by me, or attached           14         provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21         15         corrected, is true and correct.           16         A. No.         04:21         16         EXECUTED this	Page 198	Page 200
2         MR. NERCESSIAN: I have one followup         04:21         2           3         question within the scope of that.         04:21         4           4         EXAMINATION         04:21         4           5         BY MR. NERCESSIAN:         04:21         5           6         Q. What course materials, if any, does CBSC use 04:21         6           7         7 or provide in its trainings regarding the California 04:21         7           8         Electrical Code?         04:21         8           9         A. Well, we don't do training for the 04:21         10         I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under           11         process, the California Building Standards Code 04:21         11         penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing           12         process.         04:21         12         transcript; that I have made any corrections as           13         Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21         13         appear noted, in ink, initialed by me, or attached           14         provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21         15         corrected, is true and correct.           16         A. No.         04:21         16         EXECUTED this	1 MR. FEE: I have no questions. 04:21	1
3 question within the scope of that. 04:21 4 EXAMINATION 04:21 5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:21 5 5 6 Q. What course materials, if any, does CBSC use 04:21 6 7 or provide in its trainings regarding the California 04:21 8 8 9 10 California Electrical Code? 04:21 10 I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under 11 process, the California Building Standards Code 04:21 11 process, the California Building Standards Code 04:21 12 process. 04:21 12 transcript; that I have made any corrections as 13 Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21 13 appear noted, in ink, initialed by me, or attached 14 provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21 14 hereto; that my testimony as contained herein, as 15 Code? 04:21 15 corrected, is true and correct. 16 A. No. 04:21 16 EXECUTED this day of, 17 Q. Thank you. 04:21 18 (City) (State) 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 19 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:21 21 MIA D. MARVELLI 21 MIA D. MARVELLI 22 MIA D. MA	1	
4 EXAMINATION 04:21 5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:21 5 6 Q. What course materials, if any, does CBSC use 04:21 6 7 or provide in its trainings regarding the California 04:21 7 8 Electrical Code? 04:21 8 9 A. Well, we don't do training for the 04:21 9 10 California Electrical Code. It's just for the 04:21 10 I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under 11 process, the California Building Standards Code 04:21 11 penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing 12 process. 04:21 12 transcript; that I have made any corrections as 13 Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21 13 appear noted, in ink, initialed by me, or attached 14 provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21 14 hereto; that my testimony as contained herein, as 15 Code? 04:21 15 corrected, is true and correct. 16 A. No. 04:21 16 EXECUTED this day of, 17 Q. Thank you. 04:21 18 (City) (State) 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 19	•	3
6 Q. What course materials, if any, does CBSC use 04:21 7 or provide in its trainings regarding the California 04:21 8 Electrical Code? 04:21 9 A. Well, we don't do training for the 04:21 10 California Electrical Code. It's just for the 04:21 11 process, the California Building Standards Code 04:21 12 process. 04:21 13 Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21 14 provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21 15 Code? 04:21 16 A. No. 04:21 17 Q. Thank you. 04:21 18 A. Uh-huh. 04:21 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:21 21 THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 23 THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 26 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 27 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 28 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 29 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 21 MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 22 MIN MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 24 MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 26 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 27 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 28 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 29 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 22 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22		4
7 or provide in its trainings regarding the California 04:21 8 Electrical Code? 04:21 9 A. Well, we don't do training for the 04:21 10 California Electrical Code. It's just for the 04:21 11 process, the California Building Standards Code 04:21 12 process. 04:21 13 Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21 14 provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21 15 Code? 04:21 16 A. No. 04:21 17 Q. Thank you. 04:21 18 A. Uh-huh. 04:21 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 19 MR. FEE: I have no other questions. 04:21 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:21 21 THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 24 MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 26 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 27 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 28 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 29 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 21 MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 22 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 24 MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 26 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 27 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 28 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 29 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 22 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 24 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22	5 BY MR. NERCESSIAN: 04:21	5
7 or provide in its trainings regarding the California 04:21 8 Electrical Code? 04:21 9 A. Well, we don't do training for the 04:21 10 California Electrical Code. It's just for the 04:21 11 process, the California Building Standards Code 04:21 12 process. 04:21 13 Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21 14 provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21 15 Code? 04:21 16 A. No. 04:21 17 Q. Thank you. 04:21 18 A. Uh-huh. 04:21 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 10 I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under 11 penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing 12 transcript; that I have made any corrections as 13 appear noted, in ink, initialed by me, or attached 14 hereto; that my testimony as contained herein, as 15 corrected, is true and correct. 16 EXECUTED this day of, 17 Q. Thank you. 04:21 18 A. Uh-huh. 04:21 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:21 21 THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 22 MIA D. MARVELLI 23 THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 24 MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 26 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 27 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 28 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 29 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 22 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 23 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 24 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22	6 Q. What course materials, if any, does CBSC use 04:21	6
8 Electrical Code? 04:21 8 9 A. Well, we don't do training for the 04:21 10 I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under 11 process, the California Building Standards Code 04:21 11 penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing 12 process. 04:21 12 transcript; that I have made any corrections as 13 Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21 13 appear noted, in ink, initialed by me, or attached 14 provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21 14 hereto; that my testimony as contained herein, as 15 Code? 04:21 15 corrected, is true and correct. 16 A. No. 04:21 15 corrected, is true and correct. 16 EXECUTED this day of, 17 Q. Thank you. 04:21 18 (City) (State) 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 19	-	7
10 California Electrical Code. It's just for the 04:21 11 process, the California Building Standards Code 04:21 12 process. 04:21 13 Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21 14 provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21 15 Code? 04:21 16 A. No. 04:21 17 Q. Thank you. 04:21 18 A. Uh-huh. 04:21 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 19 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:21 20 VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record then. 04:22 21 MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 26 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 27 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 28 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 29 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 22 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 26 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 27 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 28 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 29 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 21 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 22 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22		8
10 California Electrical Code. It's just for the 04:21 10 I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under 11 process, the California Building Standards Code 04:21 11 penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing 12 process. 04:21 12 transcript; that I have made any corrections as 13 Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21 13 appear noted, in ink, initialed by me, or attached 14 provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21 14 hereto; that my testimony as contained herein, as 15 Code? 04:21 15 corrected, is true and correct. 16 A. No. 04:21 16 EXECUTED this day of, 17 Q. Thank you. 04:21 17 20, at, 18 A. Uh-huh. 04:21 18 (City) (State) 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 19 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:21 20 21 MR. FEE: I have no other questions. 04:21 21 22 VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record then. 04:22 22 MIA D. MARVELLI 23 THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 24 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25	9 A. Well, we don't do training for the 04:21	9
11 process, the California Building Standards Code 04:21 12 process. 04:21 13 Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21 14 provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21 15 Code? 04:21 16 A. No. 04:21 17 Q. Thank you. 04:21 18 A. Uh-huh. 04:21 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 19 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:21 20 VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record then. 04:22 21 THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 26 Use of the California Electrical 04:21 27 University; that I have made any corrections as 12 transcript; that I have made any corrections as 13 appear noted, in ink, initialed by me, or attached 14 hereto; that my testimony as contained herein, as 15 corrected, is true and correct. 16 EXECUTED this day of, 17 20, at, 18 (City) (State) 17 20, at, 18 (City) (State) 19 MR. FEE: I have no other questions. 04:21 20 MIA D. MARVELLI 21 MIA D. MARVELLI 22 MIA D. MARVELLI 23 THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 24 MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22	_	I, MIA D. MARVELLI, do hereby declare under
12 process.       04:21       12 transcript; that I have made any corrections as         13 Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21       13 appear noted, in ink, initialed by me, or attached         14 provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21       14 hereto; that my testimony as contained herein, as         15 Code?       04:21       15 corrected, is true and correct.         16 A. No.       04:21       16 EXECUTED this day of	-	
13 Q. So there are no course materials that CBSC 04:21 14 provides with respect to the California Electrical 04:21 15 Code? 16 A. No. 04:21 17 Q. Thank you. 04:21 18 A. Uh-huh. 04:21 19 MR. FEE: Done; right? 04:21 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:21 21 THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 24 MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 26 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 27 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 28 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 29 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 21 MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 22 MIA D. MARVELLI 23 THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 24 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 26 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 27 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 28 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 29 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22	12 process. 04:21	
14 provides with respect to the California Electrical       04:21       14 hereto; that my testimony as contained herein, as         15 Code?       04:21       15 corrected, is true and correct.         16 A. No.       04:21       16 EXECUTED this day of,         17 Q. Thank you.       04:21       17 20, at		
15 Code?       04:21       15 corrected, is true and correct.         16 A. No.       04:21       16 EXECUTED this day of		
16       A. No.       04:21       16       EXECUTED this day of		
17 Q. Thank you.       04:21       17 20		
18       A. Uh-huh.       04:21       18       (City) (State)         19       MR. FEE: Done; right?       04:21       19         20       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes.       04:21       20         21       MR. FEE: I have no other questions.       04:21       21         22       VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record then.       04:22       22       MIA D. MARVELLI         23       THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies?       04:22       23         24       MR. FEE: Yes.       04:22       24         25       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes.       04:22       25		
19       MR. FEE: Done; right?       04:21       19         20       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes.       04:21       20         21       MR. FEE: I have no other questions.       04:21       21         22       VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record then.       04:22       22       MIA D. MARVELLI         23       THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies?       04:22       23         24       MR. FEE: Yes.       04:22       24         25       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes.       04:22       25		
20 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:21 20 21 MR. FEE: I have no other questions. 04:21 21 22 VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record then. 04:22 22 MIA D. MARVELLI 23 THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 23 24 MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 24 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25		
MR. FEE: I have no other questions. 04:21 21 22 VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record then. 04:22 22 MIA D. MARVELLI  THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 23  MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 24  MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25	_	
22 VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record then. 04:22 22 MIA D. MARVELLI 23 THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies? 04:22 23 24 MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 24 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25		
23       THE REPORTER: What counsel want copies?       04:22       23         24       MR. FEE: Yes.       04:22       24         25       MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes.       04:22       25	•	
24 MR. FEE: Yes. 04:22 24 25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25		
25 MS. MILLER-ZIEGLER: Yes. 04:22 25	1	

I. the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand 2 Reporter of the State of California, do hereby 3 certify:  That the foregoing proceedings were taken 5 before me at the time and place herein set forth; 6 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, 7 prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record 8 of the proceedings was made by me using machine 9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my 10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 15 the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 16 If intrhe certify I am neither financially 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 August 24, 2019 25 CAKRIE FEDERSUN, CSR No. 4373 Page 202	2 Reporter of the State of California, do here 3 certify: 4 That the foregoing proceedings were 5 before me at the time and place herein set 6 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceed 7 prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a 8 of the proceedings was made by me using 9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed 10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertain 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a l 14 Case, before completion of the proceeding 15 the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested 16 I further certify I am neither financia 17 interested in the action nor a relative or en 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have th 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Particular Selection	e taken forth; dings, record machine
3 certify: 4 That the foregoing proceedings were taken 5 before me at the time and place herein set forth; 6 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, 7 prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record 8 of the proceedings was made by me using machine 9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my 10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 15 the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 16 I further certify I am neither financially 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	That the foregoing proceedings were before me at the time and place herein set that any witnesses in the foregoing proceed prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a of the proceedings was made by me using shorthand which was thereafter transcribed direction; that the foregoing transcript is a record of the testimony given.  Further, that if the foregoing pertain the original transcript of a deposition in a last the original transcript of the proceeding the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested I further certify I am neither financial interested in the action nor a relative or enterested in the action nor a relativ	e taken forth; dings, record machine
That the foregoing proceedings were taken  before me at the time and place herein set forth;  that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,  prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record  to fthe proceedings was made by me using machine  shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my  direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true  Further, that if the foregoing pertains to  the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal  Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of  the transcript [] was [] was not requested.  I further certify I am neither financially  interested in the action nor a relative or employee  of any attorney or party to this action.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date  subscribed my name.  Dated: August 24, 2019  CARKIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	That the foregoing proceedings were before me at the time and place herein set that any witnesses in the foregoing proceed prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a of the proceedings was made by me using shorthand which was thereafter transcribed direction; that the foregoing transcript is a record of the testimony given.  Further, that if the foregoing pertain the original transcript of a deposition in a last Case, before completion of the proceeding the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested I further certify I am neither financia interested in the action nor a relative or en and any attorney or party to this action.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have the subscribed my name.  Dated: August 24, 2019	forth; dings, record machine
5 before me at the time and place herein set forth; 6 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, 7 prior to testifying, were duly swom; that a record 8 of the proceedings was made by me using machine 9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my 10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 15 the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 16 I further certify I am neither financially 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Partic Belevice 25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	5 before me at the time and place herein set 6 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceed 7 prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a 8 of the proceedings was made by me using 9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed 10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertain 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a l 14 Case, before completion of the proceeding 15 the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested 16 I further certify I am neither financia 17 interested in the action nor a relative or en 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have th 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Partie Belevice	forth; dings, record machine
6 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, 7 prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record 8 of the proceedings was made by me using machine 9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my 10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 15 the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 16 I further certify I am neither financially 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Partie Belevson 25 CARKIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	6 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceed 7 prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a 8 of the proceedings was made by me using 9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed 10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertain 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a l 14 Case, before completion of the proceeding 15 the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested 16 I further certify I am neither financia 17 interested in the action nor a relative or en 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have th 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Partie Belevior	dings, record machine
7 prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record 8 of the proceedings was made by me using machine 9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my 10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 15 the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 16 I further certify I am neither financially 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Partie Belevior 25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	7 prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a 8 of the proceedings was made by me using 9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed 10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertain 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a l 14 Case, before completion of the proceeding 15 the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested 16 I further certify I am neither financia 17 interested in the action nor a relative or en 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have th 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Partie Belevice	record machine
8 of the proceedings was made by me using machine 9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my 10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 15 the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 16 I further certify I am neither financially 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Carrie Belesson 25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed direction; that the foregoing transcript is a 11 record of the testimony given.  12 Further, that if the foregoing pertain 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a 14 Case, before completion of the proceeding 15 the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested I further certify I am neither financia 17 interested in the action nor a relative or en 18 of any attorney or party to this action.  19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have the 20 subscribed my name.  20 Dated: August 24, 2019  21 Dated: August 24, 2019	machine
9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my 10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 15 the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested. 16 I further certify I am neither financially 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Partic Belevice 25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed direction; that the foregoing transcript is a 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertain 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a 14 Case, before completion of the proceeding 15 the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested I further certify I am neither financia 17 interested in the action nor a relative or en 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have the 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Partie Belevior	
10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 15 the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 16 I further certify I am neither financially 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Carrie Belevice 25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a 11 record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertain 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a l 14 Case, before completion of the proceeding 15 the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested 16 I further certify I am neither financia 17 interested in the action nor a relative or en 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have th 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Particular Rederson	under my
11 record of the testimony given.  12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 15 the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 16 I further certify I am neither financially 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Carrie Belevior 25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	11 record of the testimony given.  12 Further, that if the foregoing pertain 13 the original transcript of a deposition in a l 14 Case, before completion of the proceeding 15 the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested 16 I further certify I am neither financia 17 interested in the action nor a relative or em 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have th 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Carrie Belevier	We will be a second of the
Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [] was [] was not requested. I further certify I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party to this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name. Dated: August 24, 2019  CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	Further, that if the foregoing pertain the original transcript of a deposition in a lack that the original transcript of a deposition in a lack that transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested. I further certify I am neither financial interested in the action nor a relative or enterested in the action nor a relative or enterest	true
13 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 15 the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested. 16	13 the original transcript of a deposition in a land 14 Case, before completion of the proceeding 15 the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested 16 I further certify I am neither financia 17 interested in the action nor a relative or entered 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have the 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Partie Belevice.	
14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 15 the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested. 16	14 Case, before completion of the proceeding 15 the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested 16	
15 the transcript [] was [] was not requested.  16	15 the transcript [] was [] was not requested 16 I further certify I am neither financia 17 interested in the action nor a relative or en 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have th 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Carrie Belerson	
I further certify I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee for any attorney or party to this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name. Dated: August 24, 2019  CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	I further certify I am neither financial interested in the action nor a relative or enterested in the action.  19	to the control of the
17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Carrie Belerson 25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	17 interested in the action nor a relative or en 18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have th 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Parcie Belerson	
18 of any attorney or party to this action.  19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name.  21 Dated: August 24, 2019  22  23  24 Carrie Belerson.  25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	18 of any attorney or party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have th 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Partie Belerson	
19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Carrie Belerson 25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have the 20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Parie Belerson	ployee
20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Carrie Belerson 25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	20 subscribed my name. 21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Parrie Bederson	3. 1.4.
Dated: August 24, 2019  22  23  24  Carrie Bederson  CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	21 Dated: August 24, 2019 22 23 24 Parrie Bederson	iis date
22 23 24 <u>Parie Bederson</u> 25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	22 23 24 Carrie Bederson	
23 24 Carrie Bederson 25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	23 24 Carrie Bederson	
24 Carrie Bederson 25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373	24 Carrie Bederson	
25 CARRIE PEDERSON, CSR No. 4373		
		73
II		

&	<b>141</b> 7:17	<b>2012</b> 20:15	77:4 142:23 143:9
<b>&amp;</b> 3:4,13 4:5,14	<b>15</b> 49:15 83:15	<b>2013</b> 37:21 78:11	143:17,24 144:6
7:5 9:2,5,14,17	103:14 145:11	78:16,25 79:7,12	202:21
	<b>150</b> 7:19	79:18	<b>202</b> 1:25
0	<b>16</b> 49:16 83:16	<b>2014</b> 44:4 110:4,5	<b>202-220-1115</b> 3:10
<b>01215</b> 1:7 2:7	103:15	168:9 172:7,8,11	<b>202-739-5353</b> 4:10
1	<b>160</b> 6:8	172:21,23 173:3,6	<b>2020</b> 23:6 36:2
1 1:25 7:4,15 24:9	<b>16th</b> 172:3	<b>2015</b> 139:1	<b>21</b> 49:21 83:21
24:10,13 36:20	<b>17</b> 49:17 83:17	<b>2016</b> 7:11,15 20:7	103:21
47:5,12,19 48:15	103:16 139:25	22:22,25 36:5,10	<b>213-683-9240</b> 3:19
49:1 64:5 83:1	<b>18</b> 49:18 83:18	37:2,14,18 44:1	<b>22</b> 49:22 83:22
103:1 123:10	103:18	53:5,7,10,17,21,25	103:22
134:21,21,24	<b>180</b> 131:10,11	54:3,10,13,21,25	<b>22:15</b> 161:13
140:14 172:19	<b>186</b> 6:9	55:6,13,19 56:2,9	<b>23</b> 49:23 83:23
<b>1-1</b> 139:24	<b>18930</b> 29:19	71:19,22,25 73:16	103:23
<b>1-1-17</b> 140:1	<b>19</b> 1:19 2:23 7:4	77:9,11,25 78:6	<b>24</b> 7:4 14:6,7,7,10
<b>10</b> 7:11,19 49:10	8:1 37:20 49:19	84:4,7,19 86:3	14:10 19:19 35:4
83:10 103:9	58:3 60:6 77:8	87:22 94:17 99:8	35:6,23 36:15
145:11 150:20,23	83:19 103:19	99:14 100:11,22	37:15,18 41:19,22
151:12	<b>197</b> 6:10	110:7 112:12,19	41:25 42:11,18
<b>1034</b> 62:18 64:4	<b>199</b> 6:11	131:4,17,18 132:3	43:5 47:6,12,20
74:6	<b>19th</b> 8:13	132:4 133:2,7,10	48:15 49:24 83:24
<b>107</b> 7:13	1:13 1:7 2:7	135:11,21 138:22	89:11,13,20,22
<b>11</b> 49:11 62:18	1st 23:6 35:25 36:2	139:10,16 140:2	90:4 93:18 103:24
83:11 103:10	131:4,18 132:4	150:15 151:4,25	115:12 134:15
<b>110</b> 65:19 66:6,12	133:7,10 135:21	154:6 155:5 157:2	154:7 182:6
1111 4:8	139:16,19 170:8	157:12,14 158:10	202:21
<b>11344</b> 89:6	2	167:21,21 170:8,9	<b>240</b> 2:21
<b>1155</b> 3:7	<b>2</b> 7:6 33:3 34:15	170:13,19 171:24	<b>24th</b> 14:12 89:15
<b>12</b> 35:4 49:12	49:1 83:1 103:1	172:3,6,9,12	<b>25</b> 49:25 82:24
83:12 103:11	113:11 153:18	175:14,14,15	83:25 103:25
<b>12-16-2016</b> 85:18	<b>2.5</b> 93:17,18,20	187:4,13,18	<b>263332</b> 176:6 <b>27th</b> 99:11
<b>12th</b> 4:19	180:25 181:6	<b>2017</b> 43:22 44:21	
<b>13</b> 49:13 83:13	<b>20</b> 49:20 83:20	139:19	<b>28</b> 14:12,14
103:12	103:20 201:17	<b>2018</b> 144:3	<b>28th</b> 84:7
<b>1300</b> 5:10	<b>200</b> 135:19,23	<b>2019</b> 1:19 2:23 7:4	3
<b>132</b> 7:15	<b>2000</b> 146:12	7:8 8:1,13 23:4	<b>3</b> 7:7 34:18,21
14 13:22 49:14	<b>20004-1361</b> 3:9	35:23 36:4,11,14 43:14,16 44:15	36:23 43:4 49:2
83:14 103:13	<b>20004-2541</b> 4:9	53:6 59:2,6,17	83:2 103:2 154:7
165:2,5	<b>2011</b> 79:2	60:9,15,22 76:18	181:20
		00.7,13,44 /0.18	
		1	1

## [32 - adopt]

<b>32</b> 176:11	<b>70</b> 43:7,8,10 44:6	123:2,3 131:25	129:16,25 130:4,7
<b>33</b> 7:6	168:9 172:20	132:2 133:13,16	130:11,20 169:21
<b>34</b> 7:7	<b>70-567</b> 159:5	133:24,25 141:11	170:25
<b>3465980</b> 1:24	<b>7th</b> 3:8 99:11	173:11 174:16	activities 47:25
<b>350</b> 3:16	8	179:10 181:3	activity 139:18
<b>3:08</b> 145:18	<b>8</b> 6:7 7:15 49:8	190:5,9,18,22	actual 157:17
4	83:8 103:7 132:10	accessed 59:2,17	adapted 41:8
<b>4</b> 7:8 49:4 57:22	132:11,14	72:25	add 183:22
57:25 82:3 83:4	<b>8-1-2016</b> 132:24	accessibility	added 183:18,18
103:3 109:13,14	<b>818</b> 180:24	124:15 138:5,13	addition 147:12
<b>4373</b> 1:23 2:24	<b>83</b> 7:9	180:16 190:23	additional 45:16
202:25	<b>85</b> 7:11	accessible 37:6	45:22 120:8,25
<b>45</b> 45:19		55:6 134:7	160:21
<b>49</b> 64:3	9	accessing 58:24	additions 191:6
	<b>9</b> 7:17 49:9 83:9	91:1,12 92:19	192:3
5	103:8 141:20,21	120:3	address 12:7 41:8
<b>5</b> 7:9 49:5 83:5,6	141:24	accomplish 30:17	124:9
98:25 103:4 113:2	<b>90071-3426</b> 3:18	accuracy 104:21	addressed 84:17
113:11	<b>916-210-7836</b> 5:12	accurate 12:21	addresses 124:7
<b>506-6671</b> 180:24	<b>94104</b> 4:20	41:15 94:14 99:17	124:13
<b>50th</b> 3:17	<b>95814</b> 5:11	104:25 117:5	adequately 166:24
<b>555</b> 4:18	<b>9th</b> 99:8	170:15 184:1	administer 13:24
<b>57</b> 7:8	a	191:18	14:4 16:21
6	<b>a.m.</b> 2:22 8:2,13	acknowledgments	administered 8:5
<b>6</b> 7:11 49:6 83:6	abbreviation 89:7	74:7	35:16
85:10,13 87:15,16	ability 91:21	acquisitions	administering
103:5 115:7	able 12:20 32:25	151:20	14:16 19:19 21:11
116:23 180:19	102:8 124:1	acronym 66:11,21	21:19
<b>625</b> 159:4,5	174:10,15	168:3	administers 13:21
<b>625.1.1</b> 159:6	accepted 64:15	act 14:3 18:22	administrative
<b>650-335-7281</b> 4:21	194:6	45:5	14:3 18:21 36:21
<b>6995</b> 202:24	access 54:25 55:12	action 7:10 8:22	45:5,21 47:5,13,20
	55:19 56:2,8 64:1	14:22,23 15:8	47:24 48:7,17
7	67:7,9,15,17 68:2	18:1 22:10,12	89:7,18,21,23
7 7:13 49:7 74:6,8	68:5 69:21 70:12	29:1,14 46:3,13	adopt 28:13,14
74:9 83:7 103:6	70:17,18 72:11	84:21 104:14	40:16 41:22 44:19
107:10,11,13	73:9 89:24 91:21	130:16 202:17,18	64:10 67:5 68:10
131:1 134:20	92:6,10 93:14	actions 15:1,7	68:13,17 103:24
145:21 167:17	94:8,22,23 95:5,6	26:18 28:23 29:4	111:17,17 115:11
169:24 175:11	95:11,15 96:10,14	29:11 48:4 98:5	116:10,17 165:20
186:11	120:8,11,25 121:4	128:17,21 129:10	165:25 167:12
	120.0,11,23 121.4		

# [adopted - appeals]

adopted 40:23	176:3 182:16,22	allowed 11:23	analysis 103:23
41:5,7,19,22,25	183:6,16 186:15	112:6 164:1	<b>andrew</b> 4:16 9:4
42:10,18 50:2	186:25 187:2,9,12	allowing 111:20	anercessian 4:22
64:15 65:5,12	187:15,17,22,24	<b>allows</b> 64:14 112:3	angeles 3:18
67:8,10,17 69:19	188:1	112:11,19	annotation 33:8
106:12 115:15	agency 15:24,24	alphabetical	annotations 34:16
116:6 134:18	17:22 45:14,16,16	140:12	answer 11:12,20
182:6,16 183:6	46:11 68:9,12,16	ambiguous 106:3	22:16 23:24 26:16
adopting 152:8	69:15 74:2 75:2	amend 15:5,8,9	27:3 31:18 32:10
<b>adoption</b> 21:12,19	105:5 115:11	29:7 30:15 35:9	32:23,25 42:21
22:6 30:6,16	116:9,16 117:1,14	64:10 76:2 78:23	43:19 50:24 56:23
45:12 49:9,14,18	117:21 118:1,7	102:13 184:17	57:16 64:21,24
49:23 50:12,20	127:18 128:23	198:9	65:25 69:25 70:1
64:6,8 105:8,14	183:11	amended 29:4	70:3 96:3 109:5
106:24 116:9	<b>ago</b> 24:17 83:14	64:15 67:5,6,8,11	111:24 116:21
138:23 139:2	99:19 100:19	67:18,21,23 68:10	119:20 124:1
adoptive 115:14	108:16 110:21	68:13,17 69:14,20	126:5,6 127:2
adopts 66:11	143:25 144:1	75:22	138:8 148:5 149:5
advertise 159:24	145:2 196:13	amending 30:5	149:22 155:8
advertising 159:13	agree 73:8 123:15	amendment 46:18	158:16 166:15,16
159:21	123:17 164:18,23	62:24 76:6,7	172:14 189:9
<b>advice</b> 195:19	agreement 7:14,20	159:8,11 170:22	193:12,14
advisory 45:8	107:17 108:3,6,19	183:17	answered 71:7
46:22,24 47:4,9	115:22,25 116:2	amendments	72:13 73:6 80:6
48:19	116:13 134:21	40:14 41:10,12	194:9,16
agencies 14:19	140:7,23,25 151:9	43:9 44:22,24	answering 16:8
15:23 19:15,18	167:21 171:24	62:20 63:14,19,23	56:16 148:18
21:23 29:17 40:15	172:1,2,22 173:4,5	70:11 75:3,16	153:4
44:19,22 45:23	175:13 176:18	80:22 81:7,21	answers 12:5,21
47:14 48:1,19	177:2 187:4	102:9,15 144:25	anybody 48:18
64:10 74:14,20	agreements	162:7,12,14 169:4	53:24 57:1 77:21
75:6,15,17 76:16	167:16	169:16 171:12,13	95:2 145:25 147:3
76:18 77:25 78:4	ahead 155:11	171:15 182:15,22	147:15
78:22 79:17,21	175:3	183:5,11,17,21	<b>apart</b> 155:4,17
103:24 104:9,15	air 1:8 2:8	184:10,16 191:7	156:1
111:17 117:11	<b>al</b> 8:16	191:17 192:4	apologies 109:14
127:19,23 128:3	alex 87:21 132:21	<b>american</b> 1:4,7 2:4	apologize 15:5
134:18 140:18	alexander 7:16	2:7 4:3 8:15	70:6 120:13,18
141:10 159:24	<b>allow</b> 11:24	<b>amount</b> 108:18	128:23
160:2 169:10,16	110:10	126:15,16 152:7	appeals 24:23
170:7 175:21		155:24	47:25

# [appear - available]

appear 32:19 34:9	approximately	71:13 77:19 80:10	attention 64:2
54:24 68:21,24	20:6 47:7	81:17 96:4 98:9	74:5 87:13 88:1
69:1 85:20 133:15	arbitrary 29:24	154:14 157:16,24	88:12 109:13
201:13	105:19	157:25 193:14	113:3 115:7
appearances 3:1	architect 20:14,22	assembled 22:18	116:23 123:9
4:1 5:1	20:24 21:18 66:15	45:7 46:25	130:25 132:25
appears 33:16	128:14 129:1,3,6	assembles 20:2	134:19,20 138:20
34:23 55:7,12	129:11	45:19	140:6,13 151:12
58:3 69:14 89:5	architectural	asserted 77:1,4,13	153:19 154:5
98:19 99:7 107:17	20:13,16,24 21:17	79:7,11,18,22 80:2	attorney 3:6,15
154:21 155:24	23:16,18 78:20	80:14 81:4,19	4:7 5:7,9,16 9:7
176:16	118:11 119:4	associate 20:13,14	84:25 108:14
appendices 115:10	archive 33:6,8,9	20:17,21,23,24	202:18
applicable 106:6	33:12,22	21:10,17,18 23:16	attorneys 4:17
application 47:1	area 178:22 179:5	23:18 78:20	audible 11:5
73:24	areas 160:20	118:12	audience 48:14
applied 182:9	194:13	associated 33:14	august 1:19 2:23
<b>applies</b> 42:24 51:6	<b>armen</b> 4:15 9:1	association 1:6 2:6	7:15 8:1,13 133:7
135:12	39:12	3:3 7:25 9:15,18	133:10 202:21
<b>apply</b> 41:25 42:1,6	<b>article</b> 64:10 65:19	44:8,10 62:3	authenticate
42:8,11,15,19	66:5,12 67:5	107:20 134:12	157:16,21,22,25
104:15 106:24	68:10,13,17 159:4	160:20 168:2	authenticity 34:2
appointed 16:2,14	159:5	assume 164:3	153:24 154:20
16:17,25 17:6	<b>articles</b> 64:12 67:7	<b>astm</b> 1:5 2:5 4:4	158:2,10,22
appointments	67:10,17 193:20	9:20	authority 17:8
17:9	193:22	attach 86:25	67:4 127:24 198:8
appropriate	articulating	attached 201:13	authorize 197:4
100:10 106:8	104:11	attachment 83:22	authorized 41:11
approval 21:12	aside 135:16	98:24,25 99:4	115:11 117:1
22:2,7 106:17	153:20 154:2,10	136:2,3 138:21	automatically
<b>approve</b> 14:22,25	154:25 155:3	175:18,20 176:1,2	175:14
15:3,5,8,9,9 29:4	158:1,8	176:6,9,10,10,23	available 33:12
29:14 46:14	asked 12:17 56:22	177:7,8,10,11,14	38:18,22 48:18
approved 22:18	71:6 72:12 73:5	178:4 186:22,24	51:18 52:8,21,24
29:19 65:1	121:20 168:12	attachments 83:19	54:14,16 63:6,15
approves 14:21	173:25 174:4	136:7,9 187:21	63:25 67:19 70:14
29:7 30:13 171:17	177:16 179:21	attempted 60:4,6	70:24 71:15 117:2
approving 29:9	182:8,10,13 194:8	174:7	117:5,20,22,25
145:22 146:25	194:15 198:10	<b>attend</b> 195:16	121:5,8,14 122:5
170:25	asking 11:12,18	attended 196:10	126:18 131:22
	39:17 52:15 64:19	196:13	132:3 133:13,16

# [available - building]

133:24 138:1,5,12	81:18 126:20	benny 146:8,19	125:1,16 126:2
139:3,3 140:17	196:22	147:18,22 148:9	127:1 128:11
141:2,6,7 170:6	awhile 143:25	best 29:22 105:16	144:16 147:25
173:23 174:22,24	b	beyond 131:10	185:8 193:11,14
175:5,7 179:23		195:11,23 196:6	broadly 80:10
180:1,8,12,16	<b>b</b> 1:5 2:5 4:4 98:25	<b>bid</b> 142:8,10,23	<b>broken</b> 91:18,22
188:12,17,19	99:4 115:7 136:3	143:17,24 144:6	92:1 179:17
189:21 190:14	140:6,14 175:20	bids 142:13	bsc 19:6,22 20:10
195:2,5 200:7	176:2,23 177:10	<b>binders</b> 154:15	35:14,21 37:3,6,11
avenue 3:16 4:8	177:11 178:4	bit 161:5 162:18	37:18 55:7,13
aware 25:9 39:18	186:24	173:10	60:21 71:4,15
54:9,18 55:5	back 49:5 83:1	blank 98:16	72:4 73:1,3 92:22
59:20,23,25 60:2,2	84:4 86:14 87:22	<b>blend</b> 40:17	93:12 101:12
60:8 72:10 74:19	98:24 103:19	<b>blurb</b> 41:15	102:1,5,20 103:2,6
75:24 76:15,17,25	130:25 134:19	blurry 139:7	139:3 149:7 159:6
77:3,12,21,24 79:6	144:21 145:17,20	bni 7:20 53:5,15	180:25
79:17,21 80:1,13	149:7 153:18	53:17,18 54:4,11	<b>bsc's</b> 102:19
81:3 82:14 90:4	160:14 169:24	54:13,22 56:7,25	<b>budget</b> 19:23
90:17,20,25 92:10	171:25 175:7	57:1,8,18 70:19	<b>buff</b> 114:4
94:3,5,18 95:2,3	177:13 186:6	71:20 72:1 73:17	<b>build</b> 51:15
95:10,14,21 99:22	<b>bad</b> 149:8	73:23 74:1 77:20	<b>builder</b> 125:22
118:6 121:3,25	banner 159:7	81:12 96:11 101:8	building 5:3 7:23
122:21,23 125:12	<b>barbu</b> 5:16 9:9,9	114:20 151:10	9:8,11 13:17,19,22
126:8 127:19	<b>barely</b> 139:24	<b>board</b> 130:19	14:1,9 16:16,18
129:10 131:16	<b>based</b> 29:12 43:6	bockius 4:5	17:10 19:3,8
133:6 135:6,15	43:17 44:2 79:1	<b>bodies</b> 182:17	21:13,20 22:2,7,11
138:11 141:1	105:22 116:12	183:13	23:8,9,20 24:4
144:24 147:16	168:15 175:24	<b>body</b> 32:20 88:2	26:9,11,25 27:12
150:3,8,12 153:8	<b>basis</b> 29:17 111:18	<b>bottom</b> 35:11	27:19,23 28:8,16
159:20,23 160:1	bcs 19:22	85:17 107:21	28:17,23 29:6,18
171:4,11,16	beginning 2:22	116:24 176:6	30:4 31:22 34:25
179:10,14,24	begins 170:1 182:1	178:5,11	35:22 36:5,10
180:4,6,10,14	behalf 2:20 9:14	break 12:9,11	37:2,10 38:22
187:11 188:13,18	9:17,20	33:24 48:23 82:20	39:16,21,22 40:8,9
189:18 190:11,15	believe 29:19 37:7	99:2 102:17	40:11,13 41:4,6,10
190:16,17,20,21	61:9 76:2 79:2	104:19 145:11	45:3,5,18 47:2
190:24 192:8,23	86:4,7 94:16	<b>bridges</b> 4:16 9:4,4	51:16,17 52:20,23
193:3,8 197:4,8,10	104:18 107:10	bringing 104:13	52:24,25 54:19
198:12,22	141:20 145:24	broad 32:4 80:16	63:13,24 67:15
awareness 72:22	155:18 173:8	108:1 112:5	73:25 75:18 84:21
77:19 78:4,9	benefit 18:25	122:12,16 124:1	99:12,22 100:20
- ,-	105:23	,	,

# [building - calls]

101.2 10 102.14	5.2 5 11 17 7.0 12	05.10.06.1.0.20.25	101.7 16 17 102.1
101:3,18 102:14	5:3,5,11,17 7:8,13	95:19 96:1,9,20,25	191:7,16,17 192:1
102:23 104:10,12	7:19,23 8:1,19 9:6	97:3,7,11,15,20,23	192:4,11,18,24
104:22,23 105:2,8	9:8 13:22 14:1,9	98:3,5,13,18 99:13	193:1,6 195:6,7,9
105:15,18,23	14:13,13 17:10	99:24 100:11,22	195:21 198:1,7,11
106:2,11,15 107:5	19:9 21:13,20	101:4,13,20 102:2	198:14,20 199:7
107:19 111:16	23:7,9,20 24:4	102:13,13 103:1,5	199:10,11,14
117:9 119:5	28:13,14 30:4	103:6 107:5,19	202:2
121:17 122:15,17	31:21 32:20 34:12	112:8,12,20 116:5	california's 22:2,7
122:22 123:2	34:25 36:5,10,20	117:3,7,15,16	41:9 171:22
126:21 127:15,17	36:23 37:2,10	118:2,8 121:8	call 47:1 64:2
127:20 128:9,12	38:20,21 39:14,15	127:5,10,15,17,20	87:13 88:1,12
128:15,22 129:7	39:18,20 40:8,9,12	128:15,22 129:7	93:10 103:12
129:17,21 130:1,8	40:14,15 41:10,11	129:17 130:1,6,8	109:13 113:3
130:12,17,21,23	41:18 42:1,17,25	130:10,12,15,17	115:6 116:23
131:12 133:2	43:5,6,9,16 44:1	130:21 131:17,21	123:9 130:25
142:24 144:8,13	44:15 46:6 47:5	132:3 133:9,12,21	132:25 134:19,20
151:17 158:24	47:13,20,23 48:7	133:22 135:11	138:20 140:6,13
159:7,17 161:4	50:2 51:6,14,15,17	136:19 138:4,9	149:1 151:12
163:8,13 164:6	51:24 52:7,7,12,13	140:2 142:24	153:19 180:24
165:17 166:4	52:22 53:4,10,22	144:8,12,24,25	<b>called</b> 38:2 45:7
183:13 184:20	53:25 54:3,10,18	151:16 154:6,7,22	113:23 122:13
185:2,4,13 189:19	55:1,19 56:2,9	155:5,19 156:3,20	136:10,11
190:12 198:1,5,8	58:3 59:2,18 60:5	156:23 157:2,5,13	calling 153:25
199:11	60:9,15,22 61:18	157:14,20 158:11	calls 50:21 51:10
buildings 23:14	62:12,14,20,24	158:20 159:11,14	55:14 57:3 58:21
24:1 42:6,15	63:14,16,22,23	159:21,24 160:2	62:8 67:12 69:7
118:13,19 119:14	64:6,20 66:7	161:4 164:5,19	69:22 70:13,20
124:21,22,23	69:11 70:10 72:17	165:5,16 166:4,18	71:21 72:18 75:9
125:4 128:16	72:23 73:9,18,22	168:14,18,25	76:13,21 77:14
129:4,8,13,22	73:24 74:3,12,21	169:4,7,11,17	82:8 84:11 86:10
182:9 184:14	75:3,7,18,23 76:2	170:6,22 171:1,5	88:24 90:14 91:3
<b>built</b> 119:14	76:7,10,19 77:1,4	171:13,13,15,17	91:13,16 92:18
123:13,21 124:4	77:9,11 78:1,6,11	177:18 178:23	95:20 96:2,22
124:24	78:16,23 79:1,8,12	179:11,18,22	97:8,16,25 99:18
<b>bureau</b> 127:10	79:19,23 80:3,15	180:7,11,15 181:9	100:24 101:15
c	80:18,19,22 81:6,6	181:17 182:5,21	103:8 106:25
120 21 110 0	81:20,22 82:6,12	184:6,9,18,20	109:2,21 110:11
60.00	82:15 89:10,15,19	185:2,14 187:13	111:2,9,22 112:13
	89:25 90:5,6,12,21	187:18 188:12,20	112:23 116:19
cacacac 159:10	91:2,11 92:5,11	189:2,3,19,20	118:3,21 119:6,18
<b>california</b> 1:18	93:21,22 95:12,16	190:12,13 191:2,4	121:10 125:25
2:21 3:18 4:18,20	, ,		

## [calls - code]

126:11,12,22,24	111:14,20 113:6	<b>changes</b> 45:1,12	<b>code</b> 7:8,19 14:2,4
127:7 132:5	113:15,18 114:8	45:17,17 113:5,24	14:9,13 17:11,19
133:17 137:2	114:11,17,22,22	148:10 163:9	18:21,23 20:1
142:14 144:15,19	115:11 116:2,4	195:16	22:20,21,22 23:2
146:2 149:19,25	123:1 135:1,4,20	chapter 64:5	24:4 27:25 28:3
162:4 163:5	137:19,22,25	chapters 115:9	29:7,20,24 31:4,7
179:17,18 189:6	145:21 146:5,15	characterize 94:10	31:12,20,22,23
189:14 192:6,19	151:10 161:3,15	charges 141:9,10	32:15,19,20,24
197:15	164:4,19 166:12	chart 138:25	34:12 35:10 36:5
capacity 10:11	166:12 170:9	choice 117:18	36:10,21,24 37:3,6
118:12 119:4	175:22 177:2	<b>choices</b> 117:20	37:8,11,19,20 38:1
capitol 2:21 8:18	179:16,22,25	choices 117.20 choose 117:11,12	38:9,11,22 40:8,10
capitol 2.21 8.18 capricious 29:24	180:6,10,14	167:12	40:13 43:5,6,11,13
105:19	181:22 186:15,25	chooses 30:15	43:15,16,23 44:1,2
carl 152:24 153:9	187:12,17,24	circumstances	44:4,15,20,21 45:8
carrie 1:22 2:23	189:19 190:12,18	113:22	45:12,17 46:6,22
202:25	190:22 195:19	cited 88:23	47:4,5,8,13,21,24
carried 67:24 68:3	196:4 199:6,13	cities 122:11	48:17,17,19 49:20
69:2	cbsc's 113:7	citizen 189:2	50:1,4,10 51:8,18
carry 44:22	cec 106:24 110:7	citizens 123:13,22	51:25 52:8,22
case 1:6 2:6 13:5	164:7 166:22	124:5	53:4,10,17,22,25
25:19,22,25 33:1	167:5,22 170:9,13	city 122:18 177:22	53:25 54:3,10,14
44:10,20 53:3	170:19,22 172:6	177:25 178:5,9,12	55:1,7,13,20 56:3
68:1,3,20 69:5,10	173:11,12,16,18	178:15,18 201:18	56:9 58:3 59:3,7
69:12,13 77:8	174:1,5,10,21	civil 7:10	59:18,20 60:5,7,10
115:6 202:14	175:14,14,15	claimed 168:14	60:15,22 61:18,23
cases 31:25 32:2,7	176:19 179:6	claiming 33:21,23	62:13,14,20,25
44:23 92:16,18	certain 27:8 37:12	168:16,24 169:3	63:16 64:9,11,14
categories 41:14	124:23,24 126:15	clarification 11:13	64:20 65:3,10,11
cause 155:18	126:16 148:7	58:12,13,15	65:20,25 66:6,8
caution 84:24	179:23	clarify 39:12 40:1	67:9,16,25 68:4
88:14,17 108:13	certainly 16:9	80:24 96:8 168:15	69:6,14 70:9,15,25
<b>cbsc</b> 7:16 19:4	certified 2:24	<b>clarity</b> 148:1,7	71:9,19,23 72:17
35:6 37:1,9,17	202:1	clean 193:6	72:24 73:10,16,18
44:14 85:23,24	<b>certify</b> 202:3,16	clear 52:14 80:18	73:24 74:12,21
86:2,8 87:1,4,8,10	<b>cg</b> 159:6	188:13	75:3,8,11,18,23
90:10,13,18,22	chance 16:8	clicking 123:6	76:3,11,19 77:2,5
91:10,20 92:10	<b>change</b> 18:23 21:4	client 84:25	77:6,10,12 78:1,6
94:5,21 95:4	21:7 29:9 35:8	<b>clip</b> 102:8,11	78:12,17,23 79:1,4
103:23 109:20	40:24,25 41:5	closest 122:1	79:8,12,19,24 80:3
110:2,10,24 111:7	57:16	177:17	80:15,20 81:6,23

## [code - commissions]

			1
82:6,12,15 88:14	141:4,5,11 150:18	38:2 40:15 41:6,8	commercial 57:8
88:18,22 89:5,10	152:1 154:6,7,22	41:13,18,22,25	57:19
89:13,15,19,25	155:6,19 156:3,11	42:10,18 44:20	commission 5:3
90:5,7,13,21 91:1	156:12,20,23	49:16,17,24 51:3	7:24 9:8,12 13:18
91:2,12,12,17 92:5	157:2,5,13,14,20	52:25,25 96:9,10	13:20,21 14:21
92:11,19 93:12,14	158:6,11,20 159:1	96:12,13,14,15,17	19:3,9,11 22:9,11
93:20,21,23,24	159:14,21,24	96:19 106:7 112:8	22:18 23:8 26:9
94:8,22 95:5,6,12	160:2 161:4 162:7	114:25 115:3	26:12,25 27:12,19
95:16,19 96:1,21	162:14,20,24,25	118:12,14,15	27:23 28:8,17,24
97:1,4,7,12,15,20	163:8,9,10,13	127:17,21,24	29:6 30:4,13,15,17
97:23 98:4,6,12,14	164:5,6,20,21	128:1,15,22 129:7	30:23 35:1,22
98:19,20 99:14,24	165:6,11,18,21	129:17 130:2,8,13	39:16,21,23 40:2
100:11,22 101:5	168:3,9,13,14,17	130:17,21 133:23	45:3,18 46:2,2,4,8
101:10,13,20	168:18,25 169:8	134:16 140:4	46:13 47:2,8 48:4
102:3,6,9,10,25	169:12,17,22	142:25 144:12,21	48:20 51:17 52:13
103:1,7,25 111:8	171:2,6,14,17,23	145:1 148:8 152:8	52:21 63:14,24
111:11,14,18,21	172:7,21 173:16	152:22 161:19	65:2 67:16 73:25
111:21 112:7,12	174:15 175:5	163:1 165:17,24	84:22 99:12,23
112:20 113:25	177:18 178:23	166:12,13,18	100:20 101:3,19
114:2,3,5,12	179:11,19,23	167:13 179:25	102:14,24 104:13
115:13,21 116:5,8	180:3,7,11,15,25	182:6 183:22	104:14,14 106:12
116:17,18 117:6	181:3,6,10,17	184:2 197:5,12	107:6,19 117:10
117:10,12,15,16	183:23 184:7,9,17	collaborated 75:6	127:16 130:7,16
117:21,24,25	185:14 187:14,19	75:11,13	130:24 131:9
118:1,2,7,8,20,25	188:12,20 189:3	collaborative	144:9 151:17
119:1,4,13,16,23	189:20,20,24	74:13	158:24 159:7,18
120:6,7,12,17,20	190:1,3,7,13,14	<b>color</b> 114:4	164:6 165:17
120:25 121:8,14	191:2,2,5,6,16	columbia 1:2 2:2	169:19 171:1
121:23 122:2,5,22	192:2,3,11,24,25	24:24	198:2
123:2,12,20 124:4	193:1,6 195:6,10	<b>column</b> 66:19,25	commission's
124:7,9,12,23	195:15,21 196:2,5	67:1,1	54:19
125:3,14,24	196:19,22 198:5,8	columns 66:18	commissioner
126:10 127:6	198:11,14,20	combustibility	16:25
131:4,7,18,22	199:8,10,11,15	124:21	commissioners
132:3 133:2,10,12	<b>code's</b> 34:24 35:1	<b>come</b> 12:4 104:7	13:23 15:14,20,23
133:22 134:1,7,17	133:3	comes 187:22	15:24,25 16:13,17
134:18 135:11,20	coded 47:1	comment 14:20	17:14,21,23 18:9
136:18,24 137:6	<b>codes</b> 7:7 21:13,20	18:5,8 20:19	18:14 165:11
137:13,20,22	22:3,7 23:9,20	45:20	167:6 169:10
138:1,4,12,22	28:12,15,18,25	comments 45:22	commissions
139:2 140:3,17	29:2 35:14 37:25	45:23 46:9	167:12

# [commitments - copies]

commitments	comprise 106:20	construct 118:13	147:23 148:7,10
110:25	concerning 112:19	118:18 119:5	148:13,24 149:2,5
committee 45:8,8	147:23 196:5	constructing	149:11 150:4,10
46:22,24 47:4,6	conclusion 50:22	119:14	150:15 151:4,25
48:20	51:11 77:15 88:25	construction 17:3	152:9,9 168:20
committees 47:9	103:9 107:1 109:3	23:25 24:3 129:4	186:11 194:1,4,6
common 75:17	109:22 110:12	129:12	194:23
communications	111:3,10,23	constructions	contractor 17:4
84:25 108:14	112:14,24 126:13	129:7	127:5
148:15 153:2,6,9	126:25 127:8	consult 119:4	contracts 107:5
153:14	189:7,15	consultation	111:7 148:20
community 66:22	concrete 124:15	134:25	149:8 150:15,17
75:25 76:9 128:4	conditioning 1:8	consulting 135:4	151:20 152:1,5,6
130:20	2:8	151:2	contribute 62:7
company 120:21	conditions 73:9	contact 91:25	75:17
152:22	<b>conduct</b> 13:4,7	<b>contain</b> 41:5 63:19	contributed 62:14
compilation 40:10	14:19 18:10 20:1	125:3 193:20	74:20 75:2,2,15
87:14 89:8,18	20:3	contained 201:14	contribution 76:6
93:5	conducted 142:7	containing 82:3	contributions
complete 12:21	confirm 61:14	contains 83:18	74:17 76:1,10,18
37:17 136:10	104:20	123:10,11 191:5	76:20 77:25 78:2
176:16 177:21	confirming 56:23	192:2	78:5 79:23
completed 172:9	conflict 29:23	<b>content</b> 170:19	conversation
completely 12:6	104:23	171:5,9,23 173:5	104:4 191:3
161:23 173:3	consequence	contents 148:24	conversations
completion 202:14	127:13	149:9	13:10 147:3,8,20
compliance 45:4	consequences	<b>context</b> 49:13 50:4	193:24 194:3,23
127:16,20 128:15	125:12,22 126:8	64:22 94:13 135:7	194:25 195:1
128:21 129:6,16	127:4,11	135:8 153:6	coordinating
130:1,7,12,21	considered 45:15	<b>continued</b> 4:1 5:1	153:5
compliant 125:23	46:9	<b>contract</b> 101:7,22	coordination 7:17
126:9	considering	102:20,21,23	142:2,5
complied 24:3	116:16	103:1,4,5 108:21	copies 117:6,24
complimentary	consist 17:15	108:22,23 109:1,8	135:19,23 136:5
135:19,23 136:5	consistent 106:12	109:9,14 110:17	170:9 174:21,24
175:13 187:4,13	152:1 155:5,13,15	110:25 111:15	175:13,21,25
187:18,25	156:10	112:3,11,19 115:7	176:2,19 177:3
<b>comply</b> 126:21	consisting 194:23	116:14 135:13,25	186:14,24 187:2,4
185:6	consists 192:11	142:8 145:22,24	187:9,13,18,25
complying 185:16	constitutes 41:12	146:17,20,22	188:12,20 199:23
	47:13,20	147:1,4,9,13,18,21	

# [copy - depends]

<b>copy</b> 33:19 60:8	185:2 191:8,10,13	covered 41:12	<b>dates</b> 100:2
86:12 102:8,11,15	192:5 201:15	create 110:6	day 12:10 45:19
110:3 119:24	corrected 114:8	111:21 145:6	103:22 201:16
157:5,17 175:19	120:17 201:15	criteria 29:13,15	days 131:10,11
177:18 178:23	corrections 130:20	29:21 30:3,7,8,10	<b>deal</b> 165:17
189:2 193:6	201:12	30:12 46:13,16,17	december 99:8
copying 61:17	correctly 139:15	103:23 104:5,8,9	decision 100:21
copyright 26:1	correlated 163:12	104:16,19,21	declaration 10:16
76:9,19 77:1,4,13	corridor 125:13	105:1,7,13,17,21	declare 201:10
78:1,5 79:7,11,18	125:20,23 126:9	106:1,5,10,14,19	defendant 1:14
79:22 80:2,14	126:16	106:21,23 166:18	2:14 4:13 9:2
81:4 168:2,8,14,16	corridors 125:8	167:2	defendant's 7:2
168:24 169:3	cost 18:25 105:22	critical 131:5	defendants 2:20
172:20,23	173:18	cross 195:12,24	defining 76:4,5
copyrighted 81:1	council 38:9,12	196:7 197:2,7,14	definition 75:13
81:16 172:12	93:24 190:3,8	<b>crr</b> 1:23	128:11
<b>corner</b> 62:18	council's 162:25	csr 1:23 202:25	delegate 128:6
<b>correct</b> 12:6 17:7	counsel 8:8,25	current 13:16	deleted 115:18
19:4,7 20:8 24:20	199:23	22:22	<b>deliver</b> 134:15
30:6 35:16,19	counsel's 82:2	currently 13:14	136:24 137:6,13
36:3,6,12,21,24,25	<b>count</b> 177:6	37:1,9,17	137:19,22 175:13
37:11,22,23 38:4	counterclaimant	cv 1:7 2:7	175:25 176:19
38:18 39:9,11	1:14 2:14	cycle 44:25 47:25	177:3 186:14
42:25 46:19 47:21	counterdefendants	67:25 68:4 69:3	187:4,12,17,25
48:8 51:19 54:12	1:11 2:11	69:16 138:23	demand 95:5
56:24 58:6 60:23	<b>county</b> 122:18	139:2,6,6 143:10	department 5:6,18
61:1 62:25 68:14	<b>couple</b> 24:17	143:17,24 144:6	7:18,21 9:9 19:9
69:3 70:25 71:16	48:23 83:13 144:2	149:9 152:8	23:17,19 35:19
71:20 72:1,4 73:4	197:25	d	66:22 75:20,25
74:9,22 79:16	course 12:10 26:8	<b>d</b> 1:5,17 2:5,19 4:4	76:8 107:18
83:16 84:7,17	27:11,18,22 199:6	6:3 8:4 201:10,22	118:11,14 120:23
87:16 92:6 100:14	199:13	<b>d.c.</b> 3:9 4:9	122:15,17 128:4
102:3 109:20	court 1:1 2:1	dar 1:7 2:7	128:12 130:11
120:4 122:20	10:24 11:1,16	date 85:17 131:4,8	143:23 151:15
123:8 124:8 134:5	24:23	,	department's
140:5 165:2	cover 33:20,21,23	131:9,10,12,19,23	120:24
166:10,11 170:11	34:13,16 153:21	139:7,23,24 140:1 140:4 170:8	departments
173:20 174:9,11	153:25 155:1,4,18	202:19	122:22 123:2
175:24 181:11,13	156:2 157:12		128:9
181:14,15,17,18	158:9	dated 99:7 132:23 202:21	depends 122:19
183:19 184:4		ΔUΔ.Δ1	

# [depicted - documents]

depicted         138:25         determines         183:13         122:13,14 178:21           139:1         determining         differently         90:6           depo         7:6         170:18 171:22         difficult         164:19,24           deposit         175:17         develop         22:19         difficulties         92:9           176:1,2 186:21         104:10 152:5         difficulty         96:5,7	<b>distinctions</b> 191:1 <b>distinguish</b> 62:13 62:19 64:14 <b>distribute</b> 135:19
depo         7:6         170:18 171:22         difficult         164:19,24           deposit         175:17         develop         22:19         difficulties         92:9	62:19 64:14
deposit 175:17 develop 22:19 difficulties 92:9	
176.1.2.196.21 104.10.152.5 J:ff:	distribute 135:19
176:1,2 186:21 104:10 152:5 <b>difficulty</b> 96:5,7	
<b>deposition</b> 1:17   161:3 162:19   <b>direct</b> 154:5	distribution
2:19 7:10 8:14 163:1 164:5 <b>directed</b> 48:6	134:25 135:3,6,10
10:3 12:25 24:20 <b>developed</b> 24:2 175:21 186:15,25	135:14,15
34:14,21 83:16 44:14 74:13 152:7 187:10,12,17,25	<b>district</b> 1:1,2 2:1,2
202:13 152:10 <b>direction</b> 202:10	24:23
depositories 187:9 developer 189:25 directly 33:16	<b>division</b> 5:19 7:23
<b>depository</b> 135:24   190:1   61:7 175:17	9:10 66:15 107:18
136:1,10,12 <b>developers</b> 27:25 <b>director</b> 9:11	128:13 129:1,3,5
175:20 176:16 28:4 37:19 134:2 13:17 19:22 20:5	129:11 151:16
177:1,22 150:18 86:6	document 19:2
<b>deputy</b> 86:6 <b>developing</b> 75:7 <b>directs</b> 37:25 38:3	24:8,12,14,16 34:5
<b>derived</b> 105:23	34:22 57:24 58:1
<b>describe</b> 44:14	61:1 64:22 74:6
<b>described</b> 44:6 164:7 169:7,11 180:1 189:21	74:25 83:9,10,18
161:6 <b>development</b> 26:3 190:9	83:23,25 84:2,14
<b>description</b> 7:3 27:18,22 66:23 <b>disapprove</b> 14:25	84:19,23 85:8,12
40:9 74:21 75:25 76:9 15:3,8,10	85:14,14,16,20
<b>design</b> 23:13,25	87:14,18,20,24
118:12,18 119:5 102:25 128:4 <b>discuss</b> 18:22 29:3	88:9,13 93:5
126:21 129:25 163:10 <b>discussed</b> 40:20	94:10 99:4,6
<b>designations</b> 196:22,23 93:5 96:11 128:10	107:14,16,25
136:15 <b>develops</b> 103:2 196:21	108:4,8,9,12 132:9
<b>designing</b> 119:13   <b>dgs</b> 7:15 19:11,12   <b>discussing</b> 54:22	132:13,15,17,20
<b>detail</b> 28:3 161:7 35:18 132:18 89:11 103:22	132:23 133:1
161:14 142:7 145:24 106:21 107:4	136:3 138:20
<b>detailed</b> 161:16,20   146:25 147:4,8,12   <b>discussion</b> 16:4	141:19,23,25
<b>details</b> 139:8 147:13,15 153:12 80:23 200:14	150:19,22,23,25
170:1 <b>dictated</b> 173:5 <b>discussions</b> 25:22	151:2,6,9,13
determination dictating 171:5,9 135:9 147:17	153:22 154:11,18
15:18 46:11 157:7   <b>diem</b> 45:10   148:20,23 193:9	154:23 157:16,17
167:11 <b>differ</b> 50:19 193:16 194:19,22	158:10,19 179:1
determine 103:24 difference 22:5 display 35:1	document's 156:7
184:19 185:1,5,15   27:25 28:1 136:14   <b>displayed</b> 99:12	documents 13:1,2
determined         30:1         different         15:23         99:23 100:8,9	13:8 14:18 20:18
106:16 16:15,16 29:14 <b>dispute</b> 24:24	21:23 45:2 46:1
36:17 40:11 114:4 25:12,20	48:3 78:22,24

# [documents - enforce]

	T.		
84:9 85:3,5 86:8	35:10,23 36:4,11	electric 99:14	165:5,18,21 168:3
86:20,24 87:1,4,7	36:15 37:2,10,14	electrical 7:8,19	168:9,14,17,18,25
87:10 151:3	37:17,21 44:15,19	16:21 34:12 36:23	169:7,11,17 171:2
161:18	44:23,24 53:5,6,7	43:5,6,11,13,15,16	171:6,13,17,23
<b>doing</b> 82:18	53:10 54:4,21	43:22,25 44:2,4,15	172:7,20 177:18
166:23	58:4 65:2 79:2	44:20,21 46:6	178:23 179:11,18
doj.ca.gov 5:13	99:14 100:12,22	51:7,25 52:7 53:4	179:23 180:3,7,11
dollar 108:21,22	101:9 103:1 111:8	53:10,17,22,25,25	180:15 181:9,17
108:25 109:9	112:7,8 140:2	54:3,10,14 55:1,7	184:6,9 185:14
domain 58:5,17	142:24 146:17	55:13,19 56:2,9	187:14,19 188:12
<b>doubt</b> 133:20	150:15 163:12	58:3 59:3,7,18	188:20 189:3,20
156:2 157:1,13	168:9 172:21,23	60:5,7,10,15,22	190:13 191:2,2,5,6
158:2,10	191:5 192:2	61:18,23 62:13,14	191:16 192:2,3,11
<b>draft</b> 146:19	<b>editions</b> 70:15,18	63:16 64:11,20	192:24,25 193:6
169:16	70:24	65:19 66:8 72:17	195:6,9,21 196:2,5
drafted 173:4	editorial 170:4	72:23 73:10,18,24	196:19 198:11,14
<b>drafting</b> 146:10,11	edits 108:9,12	74:12,21 75:3,7,11	198:20 199:8,10
170:22	147:21,22 194:5	75:23 76:3,11,19	199:14
<b>drafts</b> 171:12,12	education 170:4	77:1,5,10,12 78:1	elements 164:20
drawings 24:3	198:2	78:6,12,17,23 79:1	email 7:11,15
dsa 66:11,14	effect 35:9 36:2,4	79:4,8,12,19,24	87:21 132:19,21
<b>duly</b> 202:7	36:11 124:3	80:3,15,20 81:6,23	133:9,15,21
duplicate 29:23	effective 22:22	82:6,12,15 91:2,11	180:20 181:16
104:23	23:5 36:11 131:9	92:5,11 99:24	emails 85:21,22
<b>duties</b> 123:14	131:12 139:7,24	100:11,22 101:5	87:7
e	140:1,4	101:13,20 102:3	emergencies 35:8
earlier 17:13 18:3	effects 123:12,20	103:1,7 112:12,20	emergency 175:16
20:21 29:2 43:4	effectuate 110:25	116:5 117:12,15	employed 13:14
46:17 47:19 51:16	116:2	117:16 118:2,8	20:14 23:7
68:7 69:16 70:23	<b>effort</b> 137:25	121:8 127:6	employee 202:17
73:2 78:21 102:19	efforts 74:14	131:18,22 132:3	employees 197:5
103:22 116:9	136:24 137:6,13	133:10,12,22	197:11
120:17 161:6	138:4,11 179:22	134:6 135:11	employment 13:16
165:1 166:7,17	egress 124:20,22	140:2 154:6,22	26:8 27:12,19,23
167:19 168:12	125:4	155:5,19 156:3,20	28:7
169:21 174:25	ehler 3:14 8:10	156:23 157:2,5,13	enabling 105:3
175:4 177:16	9:16,16 200:6,9	157:14,20 158:11	encountered 91:1
179:16 180:19,20	either 14:25 86:3	158:20 159:14,21	91:12
196:21	158:15 160:3	159:24 160:2	energy 130:6
<b>edition</b> 22:20,21	elaborate 77:10	162:14,19,24	<b>enforce</b> 127:24,25
22:23,25 23:2,4		164:5,5,20,21	128:21 129:16,20
- ,= - = - <del>- ,</del> .			

# [enforce - fee]

120 1 7 10 16 00		67.14.00.1.0.4	
130:1,7,12,16,20	establish 11:4	65:14 82:1,3,4	f
enforcement	134:25	83:6 85:10,13	<b>f</b> 3:7
127:18,19 128:7	established 43:4	87:15,16 98:25	facilities 118:13
128:17 129:19	68:7 73:2 105:3	107:9,11,13	118:19
enforces 129:6	135:4 140:19	109:14 131:1	fact 172:11
enforcing 128:14	et 8:16	132:11,14 134:20	failure 127:5
129:11	exact 17:12 21:2	134:22 136:2,2	fair 165:16 169:9
engineers 1:9 2:9	72:3 143:7	138:20 140:6	179:4
enormous 155:24	exactly 80:25	141:21,24 145:21	fairly 82:4
ensure 19:23	156:11,12 176:12	146:13,14 150:20	familiar 26:2 34:4
entail 13:25 14:17	examination 6:7,8	150:23 153:18,20	50:6 59:13 72:25
<b>entails</b> 142:17	6:9,10,11 8:7	153:21 167:17,25	126:14 161:21
enter 103:4 108:23	160:16 186:8,13	169:24 170:1	162:9,20,23,24
entering 142:7	188:10 190:25	175:7,11 176:9,10	familiarity 28:6,9
entire 39:14,20	197:23 199:4	176:23 177:11,13	59:5,9 161:24
52:12,17,18 64:10	examined 8:5	178:3 180:19	far 108:21 128:5
64:22 66:11 67:5	<b>example</b> 16:18,24	181:20,21 186:11	139:25
68:10,13,17 69:14	66:10 75:20 125:6	186:12	<b>farther</b> 168:7
82:12 89:19 169:8	135:13 162:13	exhibits 7:1	february 20:7
192:24	163:8,13 171:24	181:20	federal 202:13
entirely 191:10	183:4	existed 150:9	fee 4:6 8:11 9:19
entirety 63:3	<b>examples</b> 114:7,15	existing 44:22	9:19 26:5,20 27:1
64:15 65:6,12	excerpted 63:3	exit 124:24 125:8	27:13 30:18 31:16
67:8,10,17 69:20	excerpting 140:17	125:13,20,23	31:24 32:3,9
entities 142:13	exchange 93:6	126:9	33:20,25 34:6
163:9	exclude 148:15	expenses 197:10	36:7 38:23 39:2
entity 53:18,24	excluding 153:14	experience 23:9	44:11 47:17 48:10
73:18 142:9	exclusive 105:4	28:10 58:24 59:11	48:16 49:25 51:9
159:20	excuse 41:7	119:12,23	51:22 52:1 53:11
environment	107:18 165:25	<b>expert</b> 156:19,23	55:2,8,21 56:4,10
123:13,21 124:4	171:12	157:20 184:12	57:3,10,14 58:10
errata 113:23	executed 201:16	<b>experts</b> 45:9 47:10	58:19 59:14,22
114:1,17,23	executive 9:11	163:16	60:11,17 61:4
175:16	13:17 19:21 20:5	<b>express</b> 17:16,18	63:2,7,9 64:16,19
error 114:3,6,6,12	86:6 145:24	17:24 18:2,4,10	65:13,22 67:12
114:12,13	<b>exhibit</b> 7:4,6,7,8,9	45:25	69:7,22 70:13,20
errors 113:5,16,20	7:11,13,15,17,19	expressly 105:4	71:10,21 72:5
114:8	24:9,10,13,22 33:3	<b>extent</b> 94:9 191:13	73:19 74:23 75:9
essence 123:11,14	34:15,18,20,21	extract 97:19,22	76:13 82:8 84:10
essential 129:4,8	37:13 40:7 57:22	174:5	86:10 88:24 90:1
129:12	57:25 59:12 63:3		91:3,15,24 94:9,24
			71.3,13,24 74.7,24

## [fee - general]

95:7,20 96:2,22	160:19 168:1	51:9,22 52:1,10	177:12 187:8
97:8,16,25 99:18	<b>first</b> 25:8 74:11	53:11 55:2,8,21	<b>fourth</b> 169:25
100:4 126:11,22	88:1 93:4,9 99:10	56:4,10 57:3,10,15	francisco 4:20
132:5 142:14,19	132:25 146:19,22	58:10,19 59:8,14	free 117:23,25
144:15,19 145:7	160:22 167:25	59:22 60:11,17	121:9,14 122:5,22
153:25 154:12,14	170:2 171:25	61:4 62:9 63:17	131:25 132:2
185:22 189:4,5,13	175:11 177:22	64:16 65:13 66:9	173:12,17 174:16
192:19 195:11,23	178:4 180:20,23	69:22 71:10 73:19	174:21,22 178:23
196:6,12,17	182:4 186:17,19	74:23 84:10 90:1	179:6 188:13
197:15 199:1,19	187:5	90:8 91:15,24	189:2
199:21,24 200:3	<b>five</b> 156:15 187:8	94:24 95:7 100:4	freely 188:19
fenwick 4:14 7:5	<b>fix</b> 91:21	100:15 101:6,21	<b>front</b> 32:24 33:13
9:2,5	<b>fixed</b> 92:2	102:2 114:10,24	33:17 64:21
fenwick.com 4:22	flexibility 150:3,9	115:19 116:7	109:10 153:20
<b>field</b> 45:9 47:11	<b>flip</b> 171:25 176:22	117:8,17 119:25	<b>full</b> 38:21 53:16,19
file 99:13,23	177:13 178:14	124:25 125:15	55:18 56:1,8
100:21 101:4	186:12	126:1,23 127:22	64:20 86:23,25
102:2,5	<b>floor</b> 3:8,17 4:19	132:5 144:14	175:11
files 45:4	<b>flow</b> 125:13	145:8 147:24	<b>fully</b> 70:5 184:1
<b>fill</b> 143:2,5	<b>focus</b> 110:1	154:12 191:9	functionally 15:22
final 45:24,24,25	<b>follow</b> 47:14 48:11	192:13	<b>furnish</b> 10:14,17
46:5 63:18,25	112:15 113:9	<b>format</b> 17:20	188:19
67:20,22 68:22	118:24 160:21	106:11 113:7,19	furnishes 92:4
69:2,14 168:1	184:20	123:4	<b>further</b> 14:25 15:3
181:25	followed 19:24	formatting 170:4	15:8,9 66:16
finalize 149:12	following 26:10	forms 143:2,5,8	88:13 160:4
financially 8:22	27:4 68:6 116:3	<b>forth</b> 149:7 170:5	182:15 185:21
202:16	follows 8:6	175:18 202:5	197:18 202:12,16
<b>find</b> 69:6,18 70:11	followup 199:2	forward 44:22	future 88:4
158:15	foregoing 201:11	67:24 68:4 69:3	g
findings 104:21	202:4,6,10,12	176:22	g 3:5 116:23
fine 200:15	<b>form</b> 16:1,5 21:14	forwarded 116:11	gaining 92:10
<b>finish</b> 11:19,23,24	22:14 24:6 26:5	<b>found</b> 14:2 17:11	game 22:9
finished 12:1	26:14,20 27:1,13	foundation 55:16	general 5:7,18
fire 1:6 2:6 3:3	28:19 30:18,19	61:3,13 73:12	7:18,22 9:10
7:24 9:15,18	31:9,16,24 32:3,9	123:24 137:2,9,16	19:10 23:17,19
29:25 30:1 44:8,9	34:6 35:3 36:7	138:7 143:13,19	27:9,10 35:19
62:3 106:15,16,17	38:23 42:3,13,20	159:16	107:18 118:11,15
107:20 124:6,7,19	43:1,18 44:3,11	four 15:1,7 18:3	119:16 120:23
124:20 125:10,10	46:7 47:17 48:9	29:2,4 103:3	140:19 141:7,11
128:21 129:16	48:10,16 49:19,25	169:20 170:25	143:23 151:15
			1.0.20 101.10

# [general - implementation]

170.7	107.10	handing 27.14	120.7
170:7	197:10	heading 37:14	128:7
general's 9:7	governmental	40:7 74:7 88:13	https 35:14
generally 30:13	140:18	123:10 138:22,22	huge 154:23
33:7 152:6 162:2	governments	health 14:2 17:11	huh 15:12,15
184:14	197:4	29:19 75:21	18:18 31:6 37:16
give 12:11,21	<b>governor</b> 16:3,14	129:25 130:11	40:19 46:23 47:22
32:15,25 123:1	16:18,25 17:6,8	166:9,14,19,25	48:23 56:15 67:2
200:4	grammatical	167:8	88:19,21 89:12
given 157:5,17	114:6	hear 30:11 105:12	91:9 93:16 102:18
185:4 202:11	grand 3:16	heard 49:8,13,18	115:17 123:8
<b>giving</b> 10:21	<b>grant</b> 109:19	82:2 94:20	131:2 132:16
<b>go</b> 10:20 11:24	grants 110:2	hearing 18:13	139:17 140:15
14:7 66:24 70:19	graphic 139:21	hearings 14:19	151:14 167:18
82:22 83:22 98:24	great 98:17 165:17	18:10	180:22 199:18
99:1 119:1 136:5	175:9	<b>heating</b> 1:8 2:8	hunter 7:16
142:22 144:5,9,21	green 198:7	held 20:25 172:23	132:21
145:10 155:11	groups 25:21	help 113:12 114:2	i
160:10 163:10	guess 26:21 27:7	190:4	iapmo 133:23
164:6 175:3	41:3 58:15	<b>helped</b> 189:25	134:9,10,11,15
181:19 185:24	guide 64:9	helpful 66:6	154.9,10,11,15
186:1	h	helps 176:7	icc 93:13,25 95:10
goes 36:1,4,11	<b>h</b> 123:10 131:1	hereinafter 110:5	95:14,19 96:1,10
41:17 47:2 161:22	half 20:6	110:16	96:21 97:1,4,7,12
169:7	hand 141:19	hereto 175:18,20	
going 10:20 11:13	handed 24:12	201:14	97:15,20,23 98:4,6
63:2 74:17 140:11	34:20 57:24	high 166:1 169:9	98:14,19 133:3
141:13,19 143:9		highlight 116:25	152:9 181:2,12
143:16,23 145:10	107:13 141:23 150:22	history 144:20	idea 101:18
177:6		<b>hold</b> 58:11 176:11	identification
<b>good</b> 8:8 9:23,24	handles 159:13	195:9 198:13,19	34:21 57:25 85:13
10:2 149:8	happen 125:19,20	holds 198:10	132:14 141:24
<b>govern</b> 47:24	126:17,21 127:4	honestly 150:1	150:23
governed 184:2	164:10	hospital 130:3	identified 136:2,6
185:2	happened 189:23	182:20 183:4,25	identifies 40:18
governing 48:7	happens 30:10,12	hospitals 42:11	62:1 151:9
182:17 183:12	hcd 66:19 67:11	182:10 183:8,10	identify 8:25
185:6	67:18,21,23 68:10	183:14 184:12	121:18
government 14:4	68:13,17 159:8	host 37:1,4,5,9,17	impaired 137:6,23
18:21 19:25 39:15	head 48.5.56.10	hosted 39:6	180:8 190:14
42:15 88:18 89:5	head 48:5 56:19	housing 66:22	implementation
141:10 170:7	108:20 111:5	75:25 76:9 128:4	21:13
	114:21 121:16,25		

# [implemented - know]

implemented	indicating 33:8	interested 8:23	jerry 5:8 9:6
182:16 183:6	individual 151:18	139:4 195:15	jerry.yen 5:13
importance 131:5	individuals 136:25	202:17	job 1:24
important 131:7	137:7,13,23 180:1	interface 15:13	john 5:21 8:20
148:13 149:2	180:8	17:1	judgment 166:24
166:9	industry 17:3	internal 87:7	167:6
include 86:23 87:7	26:12,19,24 27:11	internally 102:6	july 7:4 35:25
122:11 128:3	163:21	international 1:5	131:4,18 132:4
173:14	inferior 166:13	2:5 4:4 38:8,11	135:21 139:16
included 86:20	<b>info</b> 88:5	93:24 134:12	170:8
includes 24:22	information 25:17	162:25 163:8,13	jurisdiction 105:5
88:17 155:1	34:11,15 64:13	190:3,7	121:20 183:12
including 81:6	66:3,5 86:16 88:8	internet 25:17	185:1 188:24
89:19 158:19	88:17 102:8,11,15	33:5,7,9,12	jurisdiction's
179:24	114:23 116:11	interpret 65:20	184:10,15 185:15
incomplete 63:11	143:22 146:10,11	66:1	jurisdictions
110:14	146:12,14 193:21	interpreting 66:7	52:24 117:25
inconsequential	196:3	intervening 35:9	121:7,14 122:4,6
113:4,16,20,24	informed 91:10	138:22 139:20,22	122:11,14 127:25
114:8	initial 17:16 18:16	invited 196:8	128:6,8 175:5
inconsistent	48:2	involved 10:5	182:17,23 183:7
156:21	initialed 201:13	78:21 163:16	184:17 188:11,14
incorporate 30:23	ink 201:13	169:11 171:5	188:16,19 195:15
31:2,7,12 164:20	installation 125:10	involvement 10:8	justice 5:6
167:7 172:6	installations 65:20	21:22 23:12 26:7	k
incorporated 26:2	instance 36:20	78:10,15,19	k 134:21,21,24
106:7 145:6	65:4 71:18 94:13	issue 35:6 86:4	136:17
incorporates	100:3 128:3 190:2	91:21 92:20	<b>katrina</b> 146:8,19
166:22	<b>instances</b> 32:13,17	124:12 190:23	147:17
incorporation	94:3,5	issues 25:25 90:25	keep 52:11 81:8
30:17 31:5 49:9	instruct 148:9	91:10 124:6,7,10	88:5 101:12,19
49:14,18,23 50:7	intend 66:3	124:19,20 125:10	171:23
50:11,12,18,19	intensive 164:12	138:5,13 180:16	kevin 4:6 9:19
51:2,5	interacted 26:12	181:16	kevin.fee 4:11
incredibly 164:12	26:17	item 109:12 135:7	kind 108:1 128:17
independent 19:12	interactions 15:16	177:22,25 186:17	185:8 195:8 196:3
index 6:1	27:17,21	186:17	kinds 40:16,21
indicate 159:11	interest 78:2 79:7	j	knew 152:18
indicates 62:22,24	79:11,18,22 80:2	<b>j</b> 4:6	177:17
170:12 183:4	80:14 81:4,19	<b>january</b> 23:6 36:2	know 11:9 12:6,10
	105:8,14,16	139:19	17:11 25:19 26:17

# [know - licensee]

26:21 37:4 43:19	136:22,23 137:3,5	laid 47:4	leaving 154:25
49:12 50:5,15,25	130.22,23 137.3,3	lands 130:16	155:3
51:12 53:13,16,16	137.10,12,17	language 17:19	leda 3:14
53:18 54:1,7,13,23	141:4,5,8,9,12	41:19 46:5 61:21	left 110:14
54:24 55:18 56:1	142:2,5,15,17	103:25 110:1,7,9	legal 5:19 9:10
56:7,12,14,22,24	143:7,8 144:4	110:17 113:8,11	50:22 51:11 77:15
56:25 57:1,5,6,8	146:16 147:2,13	113:13 115:8,13	88:24 103:9 107:1
57:11,13,18,20	148:3,6 149:4	115:14,16,18	109:2,21 110:11
58:17 60:3,12,14	150:6,12,24	116:6,25 117:3	111:2,10,22
60:18,19 61:6	151:21,23,25	131:5 135:1	112:13,24 126:12
62:17 68:5 69:5,8	152:20,23,24	140:17,20,21	126:24 127:8
69:20,25 70:21	157:21 158:5,6	181:22 183:18	131:14 147:10
71:12 72:2,20	159:22,25 160:3,8	186:14,16 187:1	189:7,14
73:8,13,15,16,20	160:8 162:3,6	latest 22:21	legend 62:18,22
73:22 74:2,4 75:1	164:2,9,15 171:8	law 3:6,15 4:7,17	legislated 115:14
75:10,15,21 76:12	172:14 173:23,24	5:9,16 10:24 14:2	legislation 105:3
76:23 77:17 82:13	187:2 188:23	14:10 16:16 17:10	legislature 41:11
82:17 86:11,14,16	189:8,22 190:6,7	26:2 28:16 36:6	letter 7:4 24:19,22
87:9 90:2,9,15,19	190:10 192:14,17	40:16 44:18 45:6	25:10 84:17
90:24 92:1,21	192:20,21 193:3,5	51:6 52:23 89:7	level 112:7 161:24
94:19 95:8,17,18	194:10 195:17	89:18,21,23	162:1,7,13 163:11
95:25 96:16,17,19	197:16 198:16	104:12 111:16	169:9
96:23 97:6,9,14,17	knowledge 25:12	117:24 121:17,22	lewis 4:5 9:19
97:22 98:1 100:18	25:15,16 38:21	122:1 131:13	libraries 117:24
100:19 101:12,16	39:18,25 52:4,6,16	165:20 175:6	135:24 136:1,10
103:10 107:2	54:2 63:22 69:11	177:17 178:12	136:11,11,12
108:7,20,21 109:6	90:23 113:14,18	185:16	175:6,6,17,20
109:10 111:5	121:7 126:20	law's 45:21	176:1,2 177:1,4,7
112:25 114:2,5,19	138:3 144:11,18	lawsuit 25:14 58:9	186:21
114:21 119:21	145:5 196:21	152:14 153:13	library 121:22
121:11,15 122:3	<b>known</b> 14:5,13	lawsuits 10:5,9	122:1 176:17
122:23,24,25	19:4 43:10	lawyers 148:16	177:17 178:6,9,12
123:3 126:7	1	153:15	178:16,19
127:10 128:6,13	lack 61:2,12	lay 10:19	license 109:19
128:17,20 129:15	123:23 137:8,13	lays 47:14	127:10
129:18,18,20,24	137:15 138:1,6	leading 32:21 38:5	licensed 17:3
130:4,6,9,10,14,15	143:12,18 180:12	39:10 47:16 50:14	110:6 115:9
130:18,19 131:17	lacked 190:18	139:15,18	118:23 119:3,10
131:21,24,25	lacks 55:15 73:11	learn 196:18	119:12
132:2,7 134:6,8	137:1 159:15	leased 118:13,19	licensee 117:1
135:7 136:8,16,21			

# [life - meetings]

1:fo 20.25	122.10 11 14 14		24.4 40.0 57.04
life 29:25	122:10,11,14,14	m	34:4 49:8 57:24
light 59:11	122:17,21 123:1	macdonell 5:21	81:18 83:9 145:20
likewise 11:22	127:25 128:6,8,9	8:20	160:18 201:10,22
limit 12:17	128:11 140:18	machine 202:8	material 45:19
line 65:5 135:18	141:9 170:6	maeda 7:12 85:25	62:2 155:24
136:17 187:5	174:25 175:4	86:2 93:6	materials 1:4 2:4
lines 170:2 187:7	182:17,21,22	magazine 193:19	4:4 8:16 15:18
193:7	183:7,12 184:10	mail 193:18	17:14,15 20:2
link 52:21 54:19	184:15,17 185:14	maintain 122:1	21:24 199:6,13
54:25 55:6,12	188:11,13,19	maintains 121:23	matrix 64:6,8
58:5 61:10 67:19	195:14	makeup 47:3	matter 8:15 13:8
71:3,4,15 72:3	located 8:18	making 167:6	33:14 36:18
73:3 91:18,22	long 20:4 46:12	179:25 180:7,11	194:13
92:1,7 100:11,13	99:19 100:19	180:15	matters 10:15
101:23 102:20	110:21 144:1	malamud 88:5	<b>maximum</b> 108:18
134:3 173:15	149:11 161:18	152:24 153:10	mcgeorge 178:11
<b>linked</b> 33:15 39:6	<b>longer</b> 82:19	mall 2:21 8:18	mean 11:13 12:1
133:3 135:14	look 35:11 65:18	manager 146:5	16:22 24:2 26:17
links 54:22 60:21	69:6,20 83:8	151:19	26:22 29:8 31:1,3
60:25 61:6 72:8	86:18 154:23	mandate 131:14	32:19 37:4,5
89:21 123:6 133:6	155:5,7,21 156:16	march 7:11 94:17	49:11 93:18 95:17
179:17	156:22 157:21	margin 62:23	102:7 108:7,25
list 74:17 75:17	168:6 169:24	mark 24:8 33:1	120:12 123:3,17
135:20 176:17	170:2 172:4,17,18	34:17 57:21 83:4	124:17,19 128:9
177:1,22,23 178:1	175:9,10 176:5	107:9 141:19	150:8 155:12,15
178:5	177:21 178:4,11	marked 24:10,13	171:8
<b>listed</b> 38:13 67:6	180:18 185:5,13	33:3 34:18,21	meaning 50:13,18
75:4 135:24	<b>looked</b> 186:13	37:24 57:22,25	means 49:24 67:15
175:17,20 176:14	<b>looking</b> 19:2 44:25	74:6 83:6 85:10	110:18 124:24
187:22	61:7 131:3 156:25	85:12 107:11	182:20
lists 38:17 186:21	171:24 187:3	132:9,11,13	meant 182:8
little 82:19 161:5	looks 46:8 85:23	141:21,23 150:19	mechanical
162:18 168:7	139:14,15 155:16	150:20,22	124:14 133:23
173:10 184:23	162:20 169:25	marnell 151:19,21	134:13,17
live 10:17	178:15	151:23	meet 29:24 127:5
<b>llp</b> 3:4,13 4:5,14	los 3:18	marshal 30:1	meeting 18:6
7:5	lunch 99:2 102:17	106:16,17 128:21	19:24 21:24 45:14
<b>load</b> 126:16	103:13	129:16	46:2
<b>local</b> 49:15 52:23		marvelli 1:17 2:19	meetings 14:20
117:23,24 121:7		6:3 7:5,6 8:4,14	20:1,3,19
121:13,19 122:4		9:11 10:1,2 24:13	
		9.11 10.1,2 24.13	

# [meets - national]

meets 46:12	80:4,17,21,24 81:8	198:17,23 199:20	modelled 150:14
member 47:3 94:6	81:14,20 90:8	199:25	modified 33:10
132:18 146:4	91:4,13 92:12	minimum 135:19	149:6
members 47:7	98:7,22 100:15,24	166:3	moment 30:2 83:8
48:20 92:24 95:3	101:6,21 103:8	minor 113:4,15,20	85:13 145:21
118:16	106:25 110:13,20	113:24 114:3,7	153:18 164:3
merit 17:25 20:1	111:2,9 112:21	minute 49:1	monday 1:19 2:23
met 30:3,7,8,10,12	114:10,24 115:19	161:13 200:5	8:1
46:18 95:5 104:10	116:7 117:8,17	minutes 48:24	money 173:18
104:13 131:18	118:9,21 119:6,18	145:11 156:15	monitor 127:20
160:23 167:3	119:25 120:9,14	mischaracterizes	monitoring 127:16
<b>method</b> 134:25	121:2 123:16,23	61:4 72:5 92:13	<b>month</b> 149:14,15
135:3,6,10,14,16	124:25 125:15	94:11 104:2	<b>monthly</b> 193:19
173:19,20	126:1,12,24 127:7	112:22 120:10	months 149:16,18
methods 167:7	127:22 137:1,8,15	122:7 138:15	149:24
mia 1:17 2:19 6:3	138:6,15 139:11	152:4 158:13	morgan 4:5 9:19
7:4 8:4,14 9:10	141:13,16 143:12	161:11 188:22	morganlewis.com
10:1 201:10,22	143:18 144:14	misspoke 129:2	4:11
michael 7:11 86:5	145:8 146:2	misstates 54:6	<b>morning</b> 8:8 9:23
87:21	147:24 152:3,16	64:17 74:24	9:24 10:2
mike 85:25 93:6	153:23 154:13,19	mistake 101:1	move 81:25,25
miller 3:5 6:8,10	155:20 156:4,7,18	<b>mix</b> 47:10	86:1 173:10
9:13,13 16:1,5,10	157:4,15 158:3,12	<b>mobility</b> 137:6,23	<b>mto.com</b> 3:11,20
19:16 21:14 22:14	158:21 159:15	180:8 190:14	multiple 15:25
23:21 24:6,25	160:17,19 161:12	<b>model</b> 28:12,15	munger 3:4,13
26:14 28:19 30:19	162:11,17 163:2	29:2 31:4,20 37:8	9:14,17
31:9 32:21 33:4,7	163:14,19,24	37:19 40:15 41:6	n
33:13,18 34:1	164:11,17,25	41:8,12,18,22,25	<b>n.w.</b> 3:7
35:3 38:5 39:7,10	165:9,15,23 166:6	42:10,18 44:19	name 9:25 15:21
42:3,13,20 43:1,18	167:4,14 168:22	52:22 62:20,25	53:16,19 124:3
44:3 46:7 47:16	169:15 170:16	71:23 77:6 103:25	127:13 147:14
48:9 49:19 50:14	171:10,20 172:16	106:7 111:11,18	151:18 160:18
50:21 51:10 52:10	173:2,9 174:14,19	111:20 112:7	202:20
53:12 54:5 55:15	175:2 179:3,9,15	114:12 115:21	named 44:10 58:8
55:22 56:5,11	180:5 183:2,15,24	116:17,18 134:1	58:9
57:15 59:8 61:2	184:8,24 185:10	134:16 144:12,21	names 14:8
61:12 62:9 63:10	185:20 188:21	145:1 150:18	national 1:6 2:6
63:17 64:17 65:7	189:6,12,14 191:9	152:1,6 162:7	3:3 7:24 9:15,17
65:23 66:9 71:6	191:14 192:6,13	182:6 183:22,23	41:8,12,18,22,24
72:12,18 73:5,11	193:2 194:8,15	184:2 189:24	42:10,18 43:10,13
74:24 76:21 77:14	197:1,6,13,20,24	190:1 197:5,11	43:15,22,25 44:8,9

# [national - nfpa]

44.21 51.7 61.22	nogotiation 107.24	87:17 89:3 90:3	165:19 166:2
44:21 51:7 61:23	negotiation 107:24		
62:3 79:4 106:6	108:7 149:7	90:11,16 91:7,19	167:1,10 168:19
107:20 160:19	negotiations 108:5	92:3,15 94:15	169:14 170:14
162:7,8,13,14	194:1,24	95:1,9,22 96:6,24	171:7,19 172:13
163:11 164:4,21	neither 202:16	97:10,18 98:2,10	172:25 173:7,21
165:18,21 168:1,3	nercessian 4:15	98:23 99:21 100:6	173:22 174:13,18
168:9,17 171:23	6:7,9,11 9:1,1,22	100:17 101:2,11	175:1 178:25
172:7,20 182:5	16:11,12 19:17	101:17,25 103:12	179:8,13 180:2
184:2 191:1,6	21:15,16 22:15	103:21 104:6	182:24 183:9,20
192:3,25 196:2,5	23:23 24:7,11	107:3,12 108:17	184:5,22 185:7,18
196:19	25:2,4 26:6,15,23	109:7,25 110:15	185:23 186:1,9
<b>nature</b> 15:16 96:7	27:2,16 28:20	110:23 111:6,13	188:25 189:10,17
152:11,12 156:11	30:20 31:11,17	112:1,9,17 113:1	191:12,19 192:9
<b>ncb</b> 7:17 142:2,5	32:1,6,16,22 33:5	114:14 115:2,20	192:16,22 193:4
142:10	33:11,15,23 34:3,8	116:15,22 117:13	194:12,18 195:18
near 178:11	34:19 35:5 36:9	117:19 118:4,5,17	195:25 196:9,15
nearman 7:12	38:7,25 39:5,8,17	119:2,8,22 120:2	196:20 197:3,9,17
85:25 86:5 87:22	39:22,25 40:3,5	120:19 121:6,12	197:22 198:15,21
93:6	42:5,14,23 43:3,24	122:9 123:19	199:2,5 200:10
nec 62:2 79:3	44:5,13 46:15	124:2 125:2,18	new 35:6 44:24
81:21 106:24	47:18 48:13,21	126:4,19 127:3,12	103:4
01.21 100.21	17.10 10.15,21	- ) )	
110:4,5 164:7	49:7,21 50:3,16	128:2 132:8,12	newsletter 194:23
	-		
110:4,5 164:7	49:7,21 50:3,16	128:2 132:8,12	newsletter 194:23
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18	<b>newsletter</b> 194:23 <b>nfpa</b> 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6 necessary 45:1,22	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12 57:17,23 58:16,23	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21 143:15,21 144:17	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10 61:18 62:7,14
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6 necessary 45:1,22 82:11	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12 57:17,23 58:16,23 59:10,16,24 60:13	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21 143:15,21 144:17 144:23 145:12,19	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10 61:18 62:7,14 63:6 72:16,23
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6 necessary 45:1,22 82:11 need 11:5,25 12:9	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12 57:17,23 58:16,23 59:10,16,24 60:13 60:20 61:8,16	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21 143:15,21 144:17 144:23 145:12,19 146:6 147:7 148:2	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10 61:18 62:7,14 63:6 72:16,23 73:1 77:8,22
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6 necessary 45:1,22 82:11 need 11:5,25 12:9 15:21 29:13 52:24	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12 57:17,23 58:16,23 59:10,16,24 60:13 60:20 61:8,16 62:10,11,21 63:5,8	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21 143:15,21 144:17 144:23 145:12,19 146:6 147:7 148:2 148:21 149:10,21	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10 61:18 62:7,14 63:6 72:16,23 73:1 77:8,22 81:12 82:6,15
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6 necessary 45:1,22 82:11 need 11:5,25 12:9 15:21 29:13 52:24 58:12,15 86:16	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12 57:17,23 58:16,23 59:10,16,24 60:13 60:20 61:8,16 62:10,11,21 63:5,8 63:12,20 64:23	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21 143:15,21 144:17 144:23 145:12,19 146:6 147:7 148:2 148:21 149:10,21 150:2,7,13,21	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10 61:18 62:7,14 63:6 72:16,23 73:1 77:8,22 81:12 82:6,15 96:12 101:7
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6 necessary 45:1,22 82:11 need 11:5,25 12:9 15:21 29:13 52:24 58:12,15 86:16 102:9 117:11,15	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12 57:17,23 58:16,23 59:10,16,24 60:13 60:20 61:8,16 62:10,11,21 63:5,8 63:12,20 64:23 65:9,17 66:2,13	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21 143:15,21 144:17 144:23 145:12,19 146:6 147:7 148:2 148:21 149:10,21 150:2,7,13,21 151:8 152:13,19	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10 61:18 62:7,14 63:6 72:16,23 73:1 77:8,22 81:12 82:6,15 96:12 101:7 107:20 109:20
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6 necessary 45:1,22 82:11 need 11:5,25 12:9 15:21 29:13 52:24 58:12,15 86:16 102:9 117:11,15 118:1,7 143:16	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12 57:17,23 58:16,23 59:10,16,24 60:13 60:20 61:8,16 62:10,11,21 63:5,8 63:12,20 64:23 65:9,17 66:2,13 67:13,14 69:9	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21 143:15,21 144:17 144:23 145:12,19 146:6 147:7 148:2 148:21 149:10,21 150:2,7,13,21 151:8 152:13,19 153:17 154:2,4,17	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10 61:18 62:7,14 63:6 72:16,23 73:1 77:8,22 81:12 82:6,15 96:12 101:7 107:20 109:20 110:2,4 111:19
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6 necessary 45:1,22 82:11 need 11:5,25 12:9 15:21 29:13 52:24 58:12,15 86:16 102:9 117:11,15 118:1,7 143:16 155:7 200:3,11	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12 57:17,23 58:16,23 59:10,16,24 60:13 60:20 61:8,16 62:10,11,21 63:5,8 63:12,20 64:23 65:9,17 66:2,13 67:13,14 69:9 70:2,16,22 71:8,14	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21 143:15,21 144:17 144:23 145:12,19 146:6 147:7 148:2 148:21 149:10,21 150:2,7,13,21 151:8 152:13,19 153:17 154:2,4,17 154:24 155:14,25	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10 61:18 62:7,14 63:6 72:16,23 73:1 77:8,22 81:12 82:6,15 96:12 101:7 107:20 109:20 110:2,4 111:19 112:3,11,19 113:5
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6 necessary 45:1,22 82:11 need 11:5,25 12:9 15:21 29:13 52:24 58:12,15 86:16 102:9 117:11,15 118:1,7 143:16 155:7 200:3,11 needed 40:25	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12 57:17,23 58:16,23 59:10,16,24 60:13 60:20 61:8,16 62:10,11,21 63:5,8 63:12,20 64:23 65:9,17 66:2,13 67:13,14 69:9 70:2,16,22 71:8,14 71:24 72:9,15,21	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21 143:15,21 144:17 144:23 145:12,19 146:6 147:7 148:2 148:21 149:10,21 150:2,7,13,21 151:8 152:13,19 153:17 154:2,4,17 154:24 155:14,25 156:5,13,24	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10 61:18 62:7,14 63:6 72:16,23 73:1 77:8,22 81:12 82:6,15 96:12 101:7 107:20 109:20 110:2,4 111:19 112:3,11,19 113:5 113:14 114:20
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6 necessary 45:1,22 82:11 need 11:5,25 12:9 15:21 29:13 52:24 58:12,15 86:16 102:9 117:11,15 118:1,7 143:16 155:7 200:3,11 needed 40:25 143:10	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12 57:17,23 58:16,23 59:10,16,24 60:13 60:20 61:8,16 62:10,11,21 63:5,8 63:12,20 64:23 65:9,17 66:2,13 67:13,14 69:9 70:2,16,22 71:8,14 71:24 72:9,15,21 73:7,14,21 75:5,12	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21 143:15,21 144:17 144:23 145:12,19 146:6 147:7 148:2 148:21 149:10,21 150:2,7,13,21 151:8 152:13,19 153:17 154:2,4,17 154:24 155:14,25 156:5,13,24 157:10,23 158:7	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10 61:18 62:7,14 63:6 72:16,23 73:1 77:8,22 81:12 82:6,15 96:12 101:7 107:20 109:20 110:2,4 111:19 112:3,11,19 113:5 113:14 114:20 115:13,23 116:25
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6 necessary 45:1,22 82:11 need 11:5,25 12:9 15:21 29:13 52:24 58:12,15 86:16 102:9 117:11,15 118:1,7 143:16 155:7 200:3,11 needed 40:25 143:10 needs 41:9 73:8	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12 57:17,23 58:16,23 59:10,16,24 60:13 60:20 61:8,16 62:10,11,21 63:5,8 63:12,20 64:23 65:9,17 66:2,13 67:13,14 69:9 70:2,16,22 71:8,14 71:24 72:9,15,21 73:7,14,21 75:5,12 76:14,24 77:18	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21 143:15,21 144:17 144:23 145:12,19 146:6 147:7 148:2 148:21 149:10,21 150:2,7,13,21 151:8 152:13,19 153:17 154:2,4,17 154:24 155:14,25 156:5,13,24 157:10,23 158:7 158:17 159:2,19	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10 61:18 62:7,14 63:6 72:16,23 73:1 77:8,22 81:12 82:6,15 96:12 101:7 107:20 109:20 110:2,4 111:19 112:3,11,19 113:5 113:14 114:20 115:13,23 116:25 117:5,16 131:22
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6 necessary 45:1,22 82:11 need 11:5,25 12:9 15:21 29:13 52:24 58:12,15 86:16 102:9 117:11,15 118:1,7 143:16 155:7 200:3,11 needed 40:25 143:10 needs 41:9 73:8 117:14,21 119:4	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12 57:17,23 58:16,23 59:10,16,24 60:13 60:20 61:8,16 62:10,11,21 63:5,8 63:12,20 64:23 65:9,17 66:2,13 67:13,14 69:9 70:2,16,22 71:8,14 71:24 72:9,15,21 73:7,14,21 75:5,12 76:14,24 77:18 80:8,19 81:2,11,17	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21 143:15,21 144:17 144:23 145:12,19 146:6 147:7 148:2 148:21 149:10,21 150:2,7,13,21 151:8 152:13,19 153:17 154:2,4,17 154:24 155:14,25 156:5,13,24 157:10,23 158:7 158:17 159:2,19 161:10 162:4,15	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10 61:18 62:7,14 63:6 72:16,23 73:1 77:8,22 81:12 82:6,15 96:12 101:7 107:20 109:20 110:2,4 111:19 112:3,11,19 113:5 113:14 114:20 115:13,23 116:25 117:5,16 131:22 133:13,22 134:7
110:4,5 164:7 166:23,24 167:5,7 168:4 172:6,8,11 172:24 173:3,6,23 177:2 195:6 necessary 45:1,22 82:11 need 11:5,25 12:9 15:21 29:13 52:24 58:12,15 86:16 102:9 117:11,15 118:1,7 143:16 155:7 200:3,11 needed 40:25 143:10 needs 41:9 73:8 117:14,21 119:4 negotiate 111:7	49:7,21 50:3,16 51:1,13,23 52:3,15 52:18 53:2,14 54:8 55:4,10,17,25 56:6,13,21 57:7,12 57:17,23 58:16,23 59:10,16,24 60:13 60:20 61:8,16 62:10,11,21 63:5,8 63:12,20 64:23 65:9,17 66:2,13 67:13,14 69:9 70:2,16,22 71:8,14 71:24 72:9,15,21 73:7,14,21 75:5,12 76:14,24 77:18 80:8,19 81:2,11,17 81:22,24 82:10,22	128:2 132:8,12 133:19 137:4,11 137:18 138:10,18 139:13 141:15,18 141:22 142:16,21 143:15,21 144:17 144:23 145:12,19 146:6 147:7 148:2 148:21 149:10,21 150:2,7,13,21 151:8 152:13,19 153:17 154:2,4,17 154:24 155:14,25 156:5,13,24 157:10,23 158:7 158:17 159:2,19 161:10 162:4,15 162:22 163:5,18	newsletter 194:23 nfpa 43:7,8,10 44:6,7 53:6,9 58:8 58:20 59:5,6,18,21 60:5,9,16,23 61:10 61:18 62:7,14 63:6 72:16,23 73:1 77:8,22 81:12 82:6,15 96:12 101:7 107:20 109:20 110:2,4 111:19 112:3,11,19 113:5 113:14 114:20 115:13,23 116:25 117:5,16 131:22 133:13,22 134:7 134:24 135:3,10

# [nfpa - objection]

140:16,19 141:1,5	<b>noon</b> 103:18	30:18,19 31:9,24	119:18,25 120:9
141:9 150:17	<b>nope</b> 34:7	32:3,9,21 34:6	121:2,10 122:7
151:10,25 167:16	notary 8:22	35:3 36:7 38:5,23	123:16,23 124:25
168:2,8,9,16,24	notation 33:16	39:2,7,10 42:3,13	125:15,25 126:1
169:3 170:3,12,18	62:23 159:10	42:20 43:1,18	126:11,13,22
170:21,24 171:4	notations 153:21	44:3,11 46:7	127:7,22 132:5
171:12,16 172:19	154:10,25 155:3	47:16,17 48:9,16	133:17 137:1,8,15
172:20,23 175:13	155:17 157:1,12	49:19,25 50:14,21	138:6 139:11
175:25 176:17,18	158:1,9	51:9,10,22 52:1	141:17 142:14,19
176:18 181:14	<b>note</b> 35:11 115:15	53:11,12 54:5	143:12,18 144:14
186:14 187:12,17	<b>noted</b> 25:3 64:3	55:2,8,14,15,21,22	144:15,19 145:7,8
187:25 189:4	81:23 201:13	56:4,5,10,11 57:3	146:2 147:24
191:4 192:1,10	<b>notice</b> 18:5 45:21	57:10,14 58:19,21	149:3,19,25 150:5
193:10,17,19,21	195:14	59:8,14,22 60:11	150:11 151:5
193:25 194:5,14	noticed 45:20	60:17 61:2,12	152:3,16 153:23
194:20,25 195:9	notices 19:25	62:8,15 63:10,17	154:12,13,19
195:19 196:3	<b>notify</b> 91:17	64:16,17 65:7,22	155:20 156:4,18
198:10	november 99:11	65:23 66:9 67:12	157:4,15 158:4,12
<b>nfpa's</b> 82:2,4	<b>number</b> 17:12	69:7,22 70:13,20	158:21 159:15
<b>nfpa.org</b> 58:6,17	31:19 34:15 74:14	71:6,10,21 72:5,12	161:10 162:4,15
58:25 59:3 100:14	82:3 83:18 93:11	72:18 73:5,11,19	162:22 163:5,18
nine 29:13,15,21	145:2 179:5	74:23,24 75:9	163:23 164:8,14
46:13,16 47:7	numbered 62:17	76:13,21 77:14	164:22 165:7,13
103:23 104:5,16	176:6	80:4,17 81:9,13,23	165:19 166:2
104:18 105:1,13	numbering 114:6	82:2,8 84:10	167:1,10 168:19
105:21 106:21	<b>nw</b> 4:8	86:10 88:24 90:1	169:14 170:14
166:18 167:2	0	90:8,14 91:3,13,15	171:7,19 172:13
nodding 56:19	oath 8:5 10:22	91:24 92:12 94:9	172:25 173:7,21
non 115:14	<b>object</b> 16:8 31:16	94:24 95:7,20	174:13,18 175:1
noncompetitive	33:18,25 34:1	96:2,22 97:8,16,25	178:25 179:8,13
142:8,10,13,22	48:10 52:10 57:15	98:7,22 99:18	180:2 182:24
143:17,24 144:5	58:10 61:4 62:9	100:4,15,24 101:6	183:9,20 184:5,22
nonexclusive	63:2,9 64:19	101:15,21 103:8	185:7,18 188:21
110:2	65:13,13 84:11	103:11 104:2	189:5,6,12,13
nonregulatory	141:13	106:25 109:2,21	191:9,14 192:6,13
113:25	objecting 16:6	110:11,13,19,20	192:19 193:2
nonresidential	objecting 16:0 objection 16:1,5	111:1,9,22 112:4	194:8,15 195:11
198:9	19:16 21:14 22:14	112:13,21,23	195:23 196:6,12
nontransferrable	23:21 24:6,25	114:10,24 115:19	196:17 197:2,6,13
110:3	25:2 26:5,14,20	116:7,19 117:8,17	198:15,21
	27:1,13 28:19	118:3,9,21 119:6	
	27.1,13 20.17		

#### [obtain - paragraph]

		1	1
<b>obtain</b> 73:17 101:4	80:12,21 82:18	95:11 104:11	p
obviously 33:20	83:21 85:2 88:3	107:9 111:11	<b>p.m.</b> 2:22 8:2
occupancies 41:17	91:8 98:14 100:5	132:10 133:7	package 30:14
42:1,17,24 182:1,5	100:7 103:14,15	140:12 141:20	46:3,14
182:15 198:9	109:18 134:23	150:19 181:3	page 6:6 7:3 34:24
occupant 126:16	140:8,11 145:14	ordinances 49:15	34:24 35:1,12
occur 131:11	145:17 148:17	182:16 183:6	37:13,24 38:13,17
occurrence 189:22	154:2 155:10	organization	40:6,25 61:10,20
occurs 139:9,12,19	160:7,14 162:18	76:25 79:6,11	61:21 62:16 64:1
139:22	164:3 167:18	136:6 198:19	64:3,3,5 74:6 82:4
<b>october</b> 84:7 99:11	175:4 176:5,11,11	organizations 26:3	85:18 87:14 93:4
offer 89:1 195:13	176:13 177:15	27:18,22 77:3,12	93:13 95:11
198:2,4,6	183:16 185:12	80:1,13 81:4,19	107:21 109:10,16
offers 56:25 57:2	186:3,6,20 191:23	196:23 198:13	114:25 115:3,16
<b>office</b> 5:7 9:7	191:25 193:13	oriented 28:3	116:24 133:3
15:17 24:18 42:6	197:19,22 198:25	161:7,15	134:21 138:21
45:20 53:1 57:1	ola 89:6	original 202:13	140:10 151:12,13
84:5 86:4,19 89:7	<b>olson</b> 3:4,13 9:14	originate 146:15	153:20,21 154:1,6
89:17,21,23	9:17	originated 146:18	154:10,15 155:1,4
128:20 129:15,24	omit 115:18	146:18	155:18 156:22
145:24 165:1,5,11	ones 108:11	origins 40:11	157:12 158:9
165:24 182:9	<b>online</b> 91:17,18	<b>oshpd</b> 183:13	159:5 167:25
195:17	92:6 96:12 117:22	184:13	169:25 171:25
<b>official</b> 88:14 89:8	119:1,17,24 120:3	outline 139:5	172:4 175:10,22
<b>officials</b> 16:19,20	120:8,25 121:4,5,5	<b>output</b> 22:12	176:15,25 177:7
134:13	133:1,13,16,24,25	outreach 198:2	178:4,8,14 181:2
<b>oh</b> 15:7,11 20:25	152:22 173:19,23	outside 23:21	181:21 182:1,18
94:16 120:14	174:1,11,15	50:22 142:8,13	186:12
129:2 140:11	<b>open</b> 18:4	152:17 153:6	pages 1:25 39:6
okay 8:12 12:7	<b>operator</b> 8:12 49:1	197:1,7,14	58:25 62:17 63:4
13:24 15:11 17:5	49:5 82:24 83:1	outstanding 74:13	114:1,1 155:21
17:13,18 18:4	103:15,19 145:15	overall 105:23	156:8 176:22
19:3 22:11,17	145:17 160:11,14	overlap 29:23	177:8,12
25:2 28:22 29:3,5	186:3,6 199:22	104:23	paid 45:10
32:11 33:1 34:14	200:12	oversee 19:23	pam 85:25 86:1
38:16 40:4,24	opinion 24:22 25:5	ow 166:15	93:6
41:2 43:20 44:18	25:7,9	owner 168:8	pamela 7:12
47:12 48:24,25	opportunity 18:7	172:19	<b>panic</b> 106:16
49:1,5 52:17,19	option 47:1	owns 58:18 168:2	paper 114:4,4
54:9 56:18 58:14	order 33:2 34:17		paragraph 74:11
63:9 70:8 74:10	57:21 83:5 93:14		74:15 93:9,15

# [paragraph - premium]

99:10 113:2	passed 85:12	person's 95:15	pointing 113:10
167:25 168:7	132:13	personally 120:6	points 37:7,19
170:1,2 172:5,18	pause 16:7 49:1	120:11,16 121:19	90:9 96:10
172:19 175:11	pay 93:13 94:7,23	persons 90:25	poor 166:13
181:25 186:18,19	95:5 181:2	91:11 147:12	populations
187:5	paying 189:4	pertain 36:17	179:23
paragraphs	payment 55:20	pertains 202:12	<b>portion</b> 65:11 66:7
168:16	56:3,9 190:8	petitions 47:25	110:4 158:19
parameters 105:3	pays 197:10	<b>phase</b> 20:19	170:17
part 25:8 28:16	pdf 33:11,15 99:13	<b>phone</b> 93:10,11	portions 61:22
31:4 32:13 33:22	99:23 100:21	180:24	62:1,6,13 65:5
33:24 34:11 36:17	101:4 102:2	<b>piece</b> 114:4	115:8 133:1
36:20,23 43:4	pederson 1:22	place 22:25 100:21	position 23:15
47:5,12,19 48:15	2:23 202:25	202:5	positions 20:25
70:10 85:9 89:13	penalty 201:11	<b>placed</b> 139:10	possible 55:11
93:17,18,20	pending 12:11	places 72:11 95:15	68:9,12,16,21,23
105:19 106:3,8	48:25 145:13	178:22 179:5	69:13,17 118:18
115:12 121:17	pennsylvania 4:8	plaintiff 3:3 4:3	118:25 119:1
131:12 134:15	<b>people</b> 17:20 92:5	44:10 58:9	127:13 132:19
143:3,10 154:7	94:22 95:4 173:11	plaintiffs 1:10	165:4,8,10
158:18 167:5	195:15	2:10 25:13 58:9	possibly 46:20
180:25 181:6	performance	planning 129:25	77:22 78:23
182:4,13 183:3	124:14	<b>play</b> 16:13 19:12	155:21
189:19 190:12	performed 20:9	19:15,18 21:11,18	<b>posted</b> 100:10
participate 18:14	performing	22:1 127:16 146:9	113:5,18 114:17
45:11 108:5 164:1	123:14	<b>played</b> 146:25	posting 113:6
197:5,11	period 45:20	<b>plays</b> 128:14	potentially 185:17
participation	139:20,22,23	please 8:25 9:25	185:19
163:21	periodical 193:18	11:9,19,23 35:13	<b>pra</b> 84:1 85:2
particular 25:18	periods 14:20	44:17 83:4,8 88:5	86:12,13,19 99:7
80:9 148:12 149:1	perjury 201:11	plumbing 133:23	152:25 153:5,7
149:9 150:4	permission 61:23	134:12,18	<b>pras</b> 153:4
167:16	62:2 73:17 189:3	<b>point</b> 11:8 25:9,23	pre 44:25 47:25
parties 139:4	<b>person</b> 32:7 68:1,5	29:13,15 46:13,16	139:6
parts 32:18 35:4	69:5,18 70:11,19	90:13,17,21	preliminaries
36:14 37:1,9	73:8 94:7 95:18	103:23 104:5,16	10:19
38:11,17 166:23	95:25 96:18,20	104:18 105:1,13	preliminary 99:1
167:7	132:22 136:6	105:21 106:21	premium 93:13
party 8:21 202:18	189:24,25 190:4,8	133:7 156:1	94:7,23 95:6,11
pass 132:9	190:18,22	166:18 167:2	181:2

#### [prep - publication]

		T	T
prep 7:6	151:1 202:7	<b>profit</b> 57:9,19	providers 152:2
prepare 12:24	privileges 120:8	program 66:17	provides 56:8
13:10	121:1	prohibit 103:5	89:18 93:11
prepared 20:18	probably 124:17	promote 106:15	199:14
21:24	197:21	promotion 21:3,5	providing 103:6
prescribe 147:22	problem 184:25	21:8	provision 68:21
prescribed 29:25	problems 92:19	prompted 87:23	69:1,6,14,19,21
prescribes 125:14	procedures 14:3	property 110:6	103:25 109:19
125:24 126:10	18:22 45:5 47:23	115:9	159:9
<b>present</b> 5:15 67:22	proceedings 202:4	propose 76:6	provisions 68:5
presented 18:6	202:6,8,14	108:9,12 163:9	70:10 115:10
46:1	process 13:22,25	194:5	<b>public</b> 8:22 14:19
preserving 141:16	13:25 14:5,16,17	proposed 17:21	14:20 18:5,6,7,13
<b>pretty</b> 81:15 139:7	19:13,19,24 22:8	29:10 40:14 45:11	20:1,3,19 37:25
previous 10:8	22:12 47:15 63:21	45:17 104:22	38:22 45:13,14
23:10 44:23 67:25	75:7 78:24 102:10	105:2,18 106:1,11	46:2,9 48:18
68:4 69:3 70:15	116:9 142:3,6,12	106:15 158:25	51:18,19 52:8
70:18,24 121:13	142:17,23 143:3,9	159:7 194:14	54:14 55:18 56:1
122:8	143:11,17,24	protect 166:19	56:8 63:15 64:1
previously 12:5	144:6,9 161:21	protecting 167:8	75:20 78:24 84:6
36:1 71:25 93:5	162:3,10,19,20,25	protection 1:6 2:6	86:9 87:2,5,11
106:21 107:4	163:4,7,10,16,21	3:3 7:24 9:15,18	92:24 94:6 103:7
115:3 128:9	164:1,13 169:6	26:1 44:8,9 62:3	105:8,14,16,22
<b>price</b> 140:19,23	196:22 198:7	107:20 160:19	106:6 130:11
141:1,6,10	199:11,12	168:2	132:2 140:19
principal 145:21	<b>processes</b> 21:11,19	protects 166:24	141:7,11 163:25
<b>print</b> 34:23 58:2	22:1,6,24 23:3	provide 16:24	166:19 167:8
59:20 60:4,6	48:7	17:14 45:13 66:6	170:7
95:18 97:3,6	procurement 7:22	67:16 89:8,24	public.resource
136:24 137:20	151:16	114:22 143:22	25:13 86:9 87:2,5
170:5 174:5,21,24	produce 87:4	195:19 196:4	152:21 153:3
179:24 180:1	produced 86:8	199:7	public.resource.
181:1 189:21	87:11	provided 12:5	153:1
190:9	production 85:9	13:1 15:19 18:11	public.resource
<b>printed</b> 32:20 53:1	101:9	25:1 71:3,4,9 85:3	1:13 2:13
133:2	products 124:21	85:6 86:20 87:1	public.resource
printing 82:16	professional	92:7 101:23	8:17 9:3,5
89:8 114:13	118:23 119:3,13	102:20 106:8	publication 21:12
170:13	professionals	113:6,15 114:2	61:22 62:6 63:16
<b>prior</b> 84:2 104:13	119:11	135:20 176:17	65:2 89:9 131:11
131:11 142:7			131:18 139:6,9,23

# [publications - receive]

publications 7:21	purchased 120:7	questioning 16:7	88:14 93:10 99:10
publicly 21:25	121:4	questions 10:21	113:3 138:22
52:25	purchasing 54:15	12:21 56:17 64:20	151:11 154:6
publish 34:12	96:17,19	82:1 83:19 99:1	157:12 159:10
53:21,24 63:22	<b>purely</b> 192:11	138:19 160:4,21	168:1 173:1
73:18 110:6 117:2	purports 94:10	168:13 173:25	175:12 182:4
131:4 144:25	purpose 18:23	179:21 180:4	realize 12:4
170:5 192:10,17	196:16	182:11 185:21,22	realized 100:9
published 35:24	pursuant 85:6	186:10 197:18,21	really 149:6
114:3 131:8 133:2	111:15	197:25 199:1,21	184:19
133:12,22,23	<b>put</b> 26:3 33:20	quick 145:10,11	realtime 8:9
163:12	91:18 101:23	<b>quite</b> 108:16	reason 12:20
publisher 22:19	195:14	143:25	18:23 29:12 45:25
22:19 37:8 38:18	<b>puts</b> 45:19	<b>quote</b> 141:2	46:18 61:9 68:24
39:4,6 51:19 52:9	q	r	69:1 86:7 115:24
53:3,5,6,17 54:3	quality 166:1,13	rachel 3:5 9:13	118:6 133:20
54:10 57:9,19	quantities 175:18	160:18	154:25 198:12,18
70:19 71:23 72:2	question 11:9,12	rachel.miller 3:11	reasonable 105:22
72:11 77:6 91:17	11:19,22,25 12:11	raised 191:1	reasons 17:16
92:1,4,8 93:22	22:4,16 23:24	rationale 18:22	18:17 45:24 46:10
94:7 102:24	26:10,16 27:5,20	read 13:1 25:5,7,8	63:19,25 67:21,23
116:12,12 134:13	30:21 31:10 32:5	26:24 35:12 38:14	68:22 69:15
173:16 191:15	32:12 48:12,25	40:22,25 41:1,17	recall 51:20 70:25
publisher's 38:4	55:3,24 64:21,25	88:10 91:1,11	87:25 88:8,11
72:8 73:10 101:23	65:16 68:6,15	109:23 113:7,11	92:21,23,25 93:7
102:21 117:23	69:25 70:1,4	113:19 134:3	94:19 99:20 100:3
<b>publishers</b> 38:8 103:4 107:6	71:12 78:13 80:7	139:21,24 148:7	103:25 104:4
	80:11,16 81:3,15	151:18 168:23	107:6,24 108:16
108:24 123:7 168:13	91:6 96:4 102:16	169:2 170:12,17	110:22 113:17
publishes 39:19	108:1 112:16	170:20,21,24	133:8,14,18 135:5 135:9 143:14,20
116:12 184:18	116:3 124:1 125:1	174:15,21 175:25	143:25 145:3
191:4,15 192:1	125:16 126:3,5	180:23 182:14	145.25 145.5
publishing 38:12	127:1 144:16	192:23 201:11	149:11,13,17,23
170:13	145:10,12 147:5	reader 62:12,19	150:1 151:2
purchase 37:25	148:1,18 154:16	64:9,14 65:10,20	179:17 187:15,16
38:2 96:13 117:10	154:17 155:8	66:1,6 67:9,16	187:24 188:1
117:15 118:15,25	157:9 160:22	reading 31:21	191:1 194:13,17
119:16,24 120:6	166:16 183:1	131:22 139:14	194:19,24 196:23
120:16,20,24	185:9 191:24	140:24	receive 14:18 74:1
120.10,20,21	193:11 199:3	reads 37:14 40:9	96:18 101:8 120:7
		64:5 66:19 85:18	70.10101.0120.7

# [received - requests]

received 21:3 25:8	<b>reference</b> 28:2,4,7	regulate 166:13	185:11 191:22
25:10 84:4 91:16	28:11,18,25 29:7	regulated 184:15	replaced 100:13
92:18 93:10 94:6	30:5,16,23,24 31:3	regulations 14:12	report 94:17
94:21 121:1	31:8,12,15,20,23	14:14 22:17 89:9	reported 1:21
132:17,19 137:21	32:8,14,18 50:7,12	89:10,14,16,19,25	reporter 2:24 8:8
179:25 180:7,11	50:19 51:3,6,7	90:5,7,13,21 154:7	8:24 11:1 199:23
180:15,24	52:23 65:11,11	158:25 175:16	200:2,8 202:2
receives 73:23	71:23 93:17	185:6	reporter's 11:16
111:20	115:10 122:12	regulatory 35:8	reports 94:21 95:4
receiving 78:22	161:14,19 162:8	relate 23:3	repository 175:6
84:3 95:4	referenced 28:12	related 8:21 21:11	represent 16:20
recess 49:4 83:1	31:19 49:20 50:1	21:19 22:2,6	34:14 82:5 160:19
103:18 145:16	50:10	175:16 190:23	representation
160:13 186:5	references 51:6	198:4,11,13	12:16 13:12
recognize 24:13	referencing 31:8	relationship 19:8	representative
34:22 58:1 83:9	31:13	relative 202:17	10:11 17:2 193:22
83:23 87:18 99:3	referred 17:13	relevant 66:7 69:6	representatives
106:23 107:14	18:16 28:4 41:15	69:19 88:22	193:10,17 194:20
132:14 141:24	46:17,21 93:25	relies 161:16	representing 9:2,5
153:22 154:11,18	110:5 115:1	165:17	9:7,10
156:14 158:18,19	188:11 189:24	rely 46:10 144:12	represents 16:18
158:24	referring 29:16	164:4 165:21	65:1 66:11,16
recognizes 154:16	39:13,14,15 40:2	166:12,13	reprinted 32:14
recommend 30:5	51:18 52:9 122:6	relying 167:5	32:18
recommendation	135:8 177:10	remember 19:1	reproduce 82:12
45:13,15	refers 181:6,12	21:2 48:5 161:8	82:14
record 8:13 9:25	reflect 64:13 67:3	167:19 174:2	reproduced 61:22
11:4 16:4 49:1,6	reflected 59:12	177:19 182:2,10	62:2
80:23 82:22,24	60:25 61:10 88:8	191:3	request 84:1,6,9
83:1 103:16,19	reflects 65:4	removed 100:10	85:6 86:9,13 87:2
145:15,18 160:10	109:19 166:24	renew 158:3	87:5,11 99:7
160:11,15 168:15	refrigerating 1:8	repeat 27:20 31:10	137:21 152:25
185:24 186:2,3,7	2:8	55:3,23 68:15	189:18 190:11,16
199:22 200:12,14	regarding 88:14	78:13 91:5 105:10	190:17,21
202:7,11	135:10 147:4,9,18	157:8 182:25	requested 128:24
records 84:6 86:9	153:2 186:11	rephrase 11:10	138:2 202:15
87:2,5,11	195:20 199:7	16:11 21:15 27:6	requesting 189:25
red 193:7	regards 153:4	28:21 48:14 56:7	190:4,8,18,22
refer 31:22 32:8	register 72:16,23	62:10 67:13 70:7	requests 153:5,7
61:20 64:2 66:21	registry 45:21	91:8 108:2 116:4	179:25 180:6,10
74:5 93:4 102:21		118:4 122:10	180:14

# [require - says]

require 88:23	responded 85:2	reviews 14:21 20:2	roughly 20:7
124:23	86:12,19	revision 22:25	roughs 200:2
required 16:17	respondent 10:10	revisions 110:5	round 186:13
28:13,14 40:16	response 84:22	right 17:17 19:1	188:10 190:25
48:3 94:7 165:20	86:8 87:1,4,11	20:4 24:12 34:4	row 66:24
175:25 176:19	88:4 175:15	34:20 39:24 40:6	rulemaking 14:5
177:3 190:8	responses 11:5	52:13 56:22 62:18	14:18 15:17 17:13
requirement	responsibilities	63:13 69:10 70:7	17:15 19:13 20:18
125:7 176:21	19:21 21:7	75:19,24 81:25	22:24 45:2 46:1,3
183:18,22,23	responsible 14:15	98:18 99:3 103:12	47:15 48:2 63:21
requirements	38:12 169:20	107:4 120:15	rulemakings
16:15 65:19 125:3	170:12,18	134:24 135:17	14:22,24 15:19
127:6 170:4	responsive 85:3	139:25 140:12	rules 47:14 48:6
requires 44:18	rest 110:14 135:13	155:22 157:7	68:11,14,18 69:2
89:6 95:11 105:8	restate 80:10	166:20 167:9,22	184:19 185:1,15
105:14	191:24	168:18 169:17,22	runs 58:18
research 13:4,7	restriction 95:17	170:9 172:24	S
77:16,23	restrictions 54:24	174:8,16 176:20	
researched 75:21	55:5,11 72:10	177:4 178:24	s 4:13
resellers 136:18	95:15	181:7,10,12,23	sacramento 1:18
reside 46:24	return 111:20	182:23 183:7	2:21 5:11 7:16 8:1
residences 42:2	145:20 153:18	184:3,10,21	8:19 132:18
residential 93:20	reveal 84:25	185:16 186:10	177:24 178:2,7,10
93:21,23 95:12,16	108:13	192:12 195:8	178:13,17,20,22
95:19 96:1,21,25	revenue 73:23	199:19	<b>safety</b> 14:2 17:11 29:20,25 66:16
97:4,7,12,15,20,23	74:1	rmr 1:23	
98:4,6,13,19	review 13:2 17:25	role 16:13 17:5	106:16 123:12,21 124:9,12,20 130:3
resource 164:12	45:11 78:24 84:13	19:12,15,18 20:5	166:9,14,19,25
respect 18:1 24:19	84:19 85:5,14	20:20 21:4,10,10	167:8
24:24 28:24 53:7	87:10 111:4,17	21:17,18 22:1	sale 117:2,6 170:6
53:9 70:9 71:18	119:24 121:4	26:11,19,25 53:9	san 4:20
73:16,23 77:11	152:11 154:14	86:1 108:2 127:16	san 4.20 saw 145:24
85:1 98:5,13,18	180:25 202:14	128:14 145:25	saw 143.24 saying 41:3 52:12
112:11 124:22,24	reviewed 20:18	146:9,25 171:22	161:8 175:24
125:4 129:12	21:23 26:19,22	roles 20:9,12	says 11:17 40:7
130:17 134:16	27:11 45:4 108:8	23:10 145:21	41:21,24 52:23
142:23 169:21	115:4 119:13	<b>room</b> 131:23	62:18 74:25 88:4
171:1 195:9,20	147:10	rose 3:14 9:16	131:3 133:1,21
196:1,4 199:14	reviewing 82:6	rose.ehler 3:20	135:18 136:17
respond 45:23	108:4 118:20	<b>rough</b> 139:5 200:6	139:21 151:19
	119:23 145:25	200:9,10	168:4,8,10,21
			100.7,0,10,21

# [says - sorry]

170:3 172:19	62:4 64:6,9 66:19	131:3 133:1 168:1	<b>sight</b> 137:14 138:1
182:14	72:16,23 74:11,15	170:17 172:21	180:12 190:19
school 129:3,7,12	75:21 82:5 85:17	175:12,25 180:23	<b>signature</b> 107:22
178:12	88:6,14,20 93:9,14	182:4,14 183:3	151:13,17 172:1
schools 42:8 182:9	99:9,14 104:20	separate 18:10	202:24
scope 23:22 50:22	109:15 110:7,8	32:8 103:1	signed 145:23
152:17 172:5	113:7,13 115:8,16	separately 63:15	172:1,22 173:4
195:12,23 196:6	117:3 125:22	63:23 144:25	significant 123:12
	131:5 133:4 135:1	series 10:20 85:21	123:20 124:3
197:1,7,14 199:3		serve 20:20 47:9	
scratch 165:6,12	135:21 136:9,12	53:9	signing 151:18 similar 143:2
screen 82:5 181:1	136:19,20 138:21		
screenshots 59:12	138:23 139:16	served 20:4 83:13	146:16 151:3
63:5 82:3,11,16	140:20,21 146:12	service 71:19	152:10,11,12
search 60:14	152:11 154:8,9	129:4,8,13 188:15	156:11 158:6
95:25 96:20 97:11	156:21 157:25	services 5:18 7:18	190:17,21
97:14	158:8,25 159:6	7:22 9:10 19:10	similarly 38:3
searchable 99:13	168:23 169:25	23:17,19 35:19	96:11
99:23 100:21	175:10,22 181:3,5	107:19 118:11,15	<b>simply</b> 81:17
101:4,8 102:1	182:6,18 186:16	119:16 120:23	simultaneously
sec 82:23	186:25 187:10	143:23 146:5	21:1
<b>second</b> 37:13	193:6	151:16	single 145:6
58:11 61:20,21	<b>seeing</b> 119:17	set 170:5,8 175:18	site 35:2 72:4,17
66:24 99:9 140:9	143:6	184:25 202:5	72:24
159:4 167:24	seek 76:9 84:9	sets 22:8 131:9	situations 91:20
172:4 177:25	<b>seeking</b> 67:16 68:5	seven 134:21,22	92:16
178:9 182:13	69:18 70:11 78:5	134:23,23 178:21	six 149:24 170:1
183:3	90:25 91:11 92:6	sheet 7:17 33:21	187:7,8 188:6
section 17:12	94:22 95:4 189:3	33:24	sixth 178:15,15
37:24 38:2 88:18	seen 58:2 84:2	sheets 33:20	skim 156:6,15
88:22 89:6 102:12	85:14,16 150:25	175:17	slate 98:16
109:13,15 113:2	151:6	<b>short</b> 96:17,19	society 1:4,7 2:4,7
113:11 115:7	<b>selected</b> 47:7 63:7	shorthand 2:24	4:3 8:15
116:10,17,23	63:8 176:1 187:8	202:1,9	<b>sole</b> 168:8 172:19
123:9 131:1	selective 136:11	<b>show</b> 24:8 85:8	solely 110:6
134:20,24 136:17	175:19 177:1	115:13 143:16	somebody 31:21
140:14 158:23,25	sell 160:2	showing 191:7	173:12 174:20
159:3,6 168:7	sense 12:12	192:4	179:5
sections 64:11	sent 24:17 86:13	shown 115:12	sorry 15:1,5 26:10
67:6 115:9	sentence 88:2 94:1	116:10	27:20 43:20 46:23
see 17:20,21 33:17	99:10,15,17	side 139:25 159:9	55:23 56:18,20
41:19 59:12 61:24	110:14 113:3	171:21	58:14 68:6,15

# [sorry - state]

78:14 88:21 91:6	specifies 140:23	132:18 146:4,5	131:12 133:2
91:25 100:5	speculate 126:17	147:13 153:5	142:25 144:8,13
104:12 105:10,12	159:22 164:16	165:2,5	151:17 158:24
109:5 114:1	speculation 55:14	stages 14:16	159:7,17 161:3,4,7
115:17 120:12	57:4 58:21 62:8	stand 35:21 44:7	161:15,19,22
123:25 125:17	65:24 67:12 69:7	standalone 192:18	162:9 164:6
126:3 129:2	69:23 70:13,20	standard 7:13	165:25 166:1,4,8,8
140:11 157:8	71:21 72:19 75:9	22:13 26:3 27:8	183:14 189:20
160:7 166:16	76:13,22 82:8	27:17,21 30:5,16	190:13 193:21
172:18 176:8	84:12 86:10 90:14	31:7,8,13,13,20	196:23 198:1,5,8
181:19 182:25	91:3,14 95:20	32:8,14,18 41:7	199:11
183:1 184:23	96:2,22 97:8,16,25	44:6 65:11 107:17	<b>stands</b> 35:18
186:23 187:6,10	99:18 100:25	149:5	142:10
sort 149:5 195:4	101:15 116:19	<b>standards</b> 5:3 7:24	start 11:22 116:18
<b>sought</b> 76:19 78:1	118:3,22 119:7,19	9:8,11 13:17,19,22	161:5
<b>sound</b> 172:24	121:10 125:25	14:1,9 16:16	starting 98:16
184:21	126:11,22 127:8	17:10 19:3,9 23:8	starts 74:7 187:7
<b>sounds</b> 104:25	132:6 133:17	24:4 26:1,3,7,9,11	state 5:5,17 7:13
sources 40:12 43:6	137:2 142:14	26:13,19,24,25	9:25 10:12 13:21
78:25	144:15,19 146:3	27:9,10,11,12,19	14:19 15:23 17:22
<b>south</b> 3:16	149:19,25 162:5	27:23,25 28:2,4,7	18:25,25 19:15,18
speak 119:10,11	163:6 192:7,19	28:8,11,16,17,23	21:23 23:13,25
121:16 183:11	197:15	29:6,18 30:4,24	29:17,18 30:1
speaking 184:11	<b>spoke</b> 18:3 29:2	31:22 35:22 36:5	35:16 38:20 39:13
<b>special</b> 113:4,15	78:21 116:8 169:6	37:3,10 38:22	39:14,18,20 40:15
113:19	169:21 174:25	39:16,21,23 40:8	40:23 41:5 44:18
<b>specific</b> 18:21 27:8	175:4	40:10,11,13 41:4,6	44:18,22 45:10,14
47:3 64:11 80:9	spoken 12:14	41:11 45:3,6,18	45:15,16,23 46:11
100:2 102:12	153:12 160:25	47:2 51:7,17,18	48:1,7,19 51:14
116:10,17 142:12	193:21	52:20,23 54:19	52:4,6,11,12,17,18
149:6 170:3 185:1	<b>spring</b> 20:15	63:13,24 67:16	52:22 63:22 64:10
193:22	sprinkler 125:10	73:25 75:18 84:22	66:15 68:9,12,16
specifically 19:1	sprinklers 125:11	99:12,22 100:20	69:11,15 73:22
19:24 75:22	ss 66:11,16	101:3,19 102:14	74:3,14,20 75:1,6
179:19 184:11	stack 167:17	102:24 104:10,12	75:15,17 76:17
194:11 198:6	180:21	104:22,24 105:2,9	77:24 78:4,22
specifications	staff 7:16 13:23	105:15,18,24	79:17,21 89:5,9
106:6	19:23 20:2 45:3	106:2,6,11,15	103:23 104:9,15
specifics 27:15	47:2 85:23,24	107:5,19 111:16	106:16,17 109:8
32:15 99:20 148:6	87:8 92:22 100:9	117:9 121:17	111:17 115:15
	101:1,9 118:16	127:15 130:24	116:9,16 117:2,6

#### [state - takes]

117:11,14,20	statewide 129:24	subdomain 35:18	157:6 166:15,17
118:1,6,13,19	stating 61:21	subject 13:8 36:18	171:11 172:17
127:23 128:14,16	86:13	41:18 182:5,15,21	183:3 185:11
128:20,23 129:1,3	stats 161:14	183:5,5,10,12	197:16
129:6,11,15,21,22	steps 116:4 123:1	194:13	suren 93:10
130:15,19 134:1	137:19,22	sublicense 110:3	180:24
134:18 138:3,9,12	store 37:5	submittal 48:2	swears 8:24
140:18 141:6	street 3:7 4:18	submitted 45:2	switch 145:5
144:11 148:13	5:10	48:3 152:25,25	switching 186:2
149:2 159:23	strength 124:15	subpoena 7:9	sworn 9:21 202:7
160:1 166:4,9,14	strike 16:23 31:1	23:22 24:20 50:23	systems 16:21
167:8 169:9,16	34:16 38:1 41:23	83:15 84:3 86:21	
170:6 175:21	43:14 48:1 51:3	86:23,25 152:17	t
176:3 182:16,22	51:15 52:5 53:8	subscribed 202:20	table 64:8 65:1,18
183:4,6,11,16	64:4 66:4 67:8	substance 148:22	65:21 66:3,5,10
184:1,15 185:5,13	68:2,7,11,25 69:11	194:2	tables 64:6,13
186:15,24 187:2,9	69:12 70:17 73:15	substantive	115:10
187:11,15,16,22	74:18,19 76:16	148:10	take 11:13 12:1
187:24 188:1	77:2 78:8,9 84:16	successfully 144:6	14:23 15:2 18:1
195:3 201:18	86:22,24 92:17	suggest 172:22	22:9 28:24 29:1
202:2	93:1,3 94:4,16,20	suggests 156:14	29:11,13 46:13
state's 123:13,21	95:2 96:16,18	suite 2:21	48:23 82:20 83:8
124:4	98:12,14 108:11	summarize 169:8	84:22 85:13
stated 102:19	115:12,14 120:3	supplement 35:9	102:17 104:14
121:13 191:16	125:19,21 130:24	113:15,19,25	116:5 123:1
statement 17:16	131:16 132:1	192:18	128:18 130:4
18:16 40:18 45:24	133:10 132:1	supplements	145:11 154:23
45:25 46:10 63:18	144:9 154:2 155:1	110:4 113:4	156:6,15 176:5
63:25 67:21,23	155:16 190:16	175:15,15	180:18 183:22
68:22 69:2,15	196:2	supplied 19:25	200:9,10
120:4,18 122:13	strikeout 17:19	supplies 15:17	taken 2:20 10:3
120:4,16 122:13	116:11 191:17,20	59:6	22:25 26:18 48:4
133:21 135:13	191:21	<b>support</b> 13:23	94:12 98:4 103:18
140:22 191:11	strikeouts 191:7	support 13.23 suppose 27:14	137:19,22 138:4
statements 18:20	191:20 192:4,25	supposed 157:6	138:12 179:22
18:24	structural 66:16	166:19	202:4
states 1:1 2:1	124:14	sure 16:22 17:2	takes 14:22 22:12
74:12 89:2 110:2	study 15:1,3,9	22:4 35:14 48:11	46:3 128:21
115:8 116:25	sub 66:25 67:1	65:15 82:24 98:8	129:11,16,25
134:24 140:16	subdivision	112:15 120:5	130:7,11,16,20
179:2	122:18	146:18 155:8	171:1
1 / / . 2	122.10	170.10 133.0	

#### [talk - triennial]

talk 11:17,18	testified 8:6 36:1	114:16 123:25	155:1,3 182:6
18:24 104:19	47:19 51:16 70:23	124:18 161:13	titles 14:14 89:24
161:4 162:2,2,18	71:25	165:1,4,10 166:7	90:6,12,17,20
167:15 171:21	testify 7:9 83:15	166:17,23 198:18	today 10:20,22
talked 41:9 161:2	testifying 202:7	third 40:6,21	12:15,18,22 61:7
166:7 180:19,20	testimony 10:14	109:16 178:5	151:1 160:23,25
181:25	10:17,22 12:15,17	thirteen 36:16	161:2 168:12
talking 15:6 80:18	13:11 51:20 54:6	thought 128:24	169:6 173:25
80:25 81:16	61:5 64:18 70:25	187:20	177:16 180:19,20
128:25 162:13	72:6 92:13 93:1,3	thousand 82:16	today's 12:24
167:19 173:10	104:3 112:22	155:21 156:8	83:15
182:2	121:13 122:8	three 20:6 35:7	
			tolles 3:4,13 9:14 9:17
talks 139:4	129:5 138:16	40:10,18 41:4,14 47:9 109:12	
team 95:4 technical 17:25	150:14 152:4 161:6,11 188:22	181:21 182:1	tonight 200:8
	·		top 48:5 62:17
45:9,11 47:10	201:14 202:11	187:8 188:4	64:4,5 65:4
163:16	testing 1:4 2:4 4:3	time 11:25 12:9	108:20 111:5
technician 86:4	8:16	18:7 21:2,3 86:3	114:21 121:16,25
tell 11:23 27:15	tests 97:19	99:19 100:19	151:11 172:4,17
114:19 139:8	text 38:21 52:7	108:16 110:21	175:11 184:18
148:12 154:15	55:19 56:2,8 60:8	123:10,13 124:18	track 116:6
156:10 162:3	60:15 61:17 62:4	132:22 133:13,16	training 195:1,2,4
163:3 173:14	71:16,18 72:4,7,11	144:24 152:10	195:5,14,16 196:4
176:14,25 177:8	92:5 97:22 101:13	156:6 172:22	196:8,14 198:19
188:16	101:19 102:1	173:4 202:5	199:9
tells 62:19 114:5	103:6 115:15	timeframe 152:7	trainings 195:8,20
ten 13:23 15:13	174:5 192:10	timeline 138:23	196:1,11 198:4,6
16:16 18:9 156:15	thank 15:11 16:10	139:2,15	198:11,13 199:7
188:8	35:15 109:4	timetable 170:5	transcribed 202:9
tend 161:18	198:24 199:17	timing 48:1,2	transcript 201:12
term 47:9 49:8,11	thanks 22:17	139:5,5	202:10,13,15
49:13,18,23 50:6,9	161:2	title 14:6,7,7,10,10	treated 90:5
175:12 187:3	thing 25:6 109:24	14:12 19:19 35:4	<b>tried</b> 61:17 93:11
terminology 76:5	156:25 157:11	35:6,23 36:15	97:3,11,19 174:4
terms 17:16,18,24	169:8	37:15,18 41:19,21	180:25
18:2,4,10 45:25	things 122:13	41:22,25 42:11,18	triennial 36:14
73:9 129:19	171:22	43:5 47:6,12,20	37:2,14 47:1 80:9
145:22 146:1	think 17:17 27:24	48:15 89:11,13,15	81:5 99:14 111:8
147:1,4,9,18	30:2 41:14 70:5	89:20,22 90:4	111:18,21 139:2
148:10,13 149:1	80:6 81:14 94:12	93:18 115:12	142:24
150:4,9 151:3	104:7 106:19,22	134:15 154:7	

# [triennially - viewing]

twignmin Unit 25.7	unautharizad	uso 14.2 50.4 62.2	11.1 16.6 52.1
triennially 35:7	unauthorized	use 14:3 50:4 63:2	44:1 46:6 53:1
true 51:24 119:9	33:19	102:5 110:3	60:21 71:19 77:13
201:15 202:10	underline 17:20	111:11,14,16,20	78:11,16,25 79:7
try 11:10,17,18	underneath	117:11,14,21	79:12,19,23 80:2
169:8	151:15 178:18	118:1,7,12,14,16	80:10,14 81:5,5
trying 27:7,24	undersigned 202:1	119:1 134:14	100:9 101:8 102:2
70:6,9	understand 10:21	166:5 199:6	111:21 121:4
tsc 1:7 2:7	11:1,5,8,20 12:1	useful 88:6,9	122:1 172:5
turn 167:17,24	15:11 22:4,5 27:6	user 37:25 38:3	versions 33:8
178:3,8 181:20,21	27:7,24 28:1	82:5 114:2,5	122:5
<b>twenty</b> 188:9	30:21 31:23 32:12	uses 161:3	versus 8:16
<b>two</b> 25:20 40:16	41:3 43:21 50:11	<b>utilize</b> 14:1 23:15	vertically 62:23
40:20 46:25 47:8	50:17 53:21 64:24	23:19 112:6	159:10
66:18 67:1,4	65:10 71:12 76:4	utilized 188:15	vet 45:1
88:10 103:3	85:9 93:18 110:9	utilizing 23:13	vetting 162:8
111:12,14 113:2	115:24 152:14	v	<b>viana</b> 5:16 9:9
131:3 149:16,18	156:9	vague 19:16 53:12	<b>video</b> 8:12 49:1,5
158:9 160:22	understanding	55:22 56:5,11	82:24 83:1 103:15
176:22 186:17	40:23 49:22 50:9	65:7 80:5,17 81:9	103:19 145:15,17
187:8	50:18 51:2,5	81:13,15 91:4	160:11,14 186:3,6
<b>type</b> 16:24	65:16 70:5 79:10	98:7,22 106:2	199:22 200:12
<b>types</b> 16:16 40:10	79:14 89:17	111:1 118:9 121:2	videographer 5:21
122:6 124:23	110:17,24 111:19	123:16 139:11	8:20
193:16	112:2,6,10,18	141:14,17 149:3	videotaped 1:17
u	163:3,15,20,25	150:5,11 151:5	2:19
<b>u.s.</b> 24:23	172:8 189:1,11	161:24 162:1	view 93:12,14 94:8
uh 15:12,15 18:18	understood 11:14	163:5 166:16	95:6,11 96:9,12,15
31:6 37:16 40:19	11:14 76:8	184:23	98:11,20 123:5
46:23 47:22 48:23	unfair 81:15	vaguely 142:4	165:24 173:12,16
56:15 67:2 88:19	105:19	variety 18:24	173:18 174:10
88:21 89:12 91:9	<b>uniform</b> 134:17,17	193:20	177:18 178:22
93:16 102:18	unit 148:20 149:8	various 14:18	179:6 181:3
115:17 123:8	<b>united</b> 1:1 2:1	107:6 127:23	viewable 39:3
131:2 132:16	universe 86:24,25	161:19 162:25	123:4
	unnecessarily	169:9	viewed 21:25
139:17 140:15	106:2	verbal 11:6 56:17	96:25 174:1
151:14 167:18	unreasonable		viewer 59:6,18,21
180:22 199:18	105:18	verify 121:20	60:5,7,9,16,23
ultimately 14:20	url 35:12,13 58:5	155:23	61:10,18 63:6
46:5 169:20	100:14	veritext 8:21	viewing 59:6 98:3
<b>unable</b> 179:11		version 37:6,18	
		43:13,15,16,25	

# [violate - witness]

violate 29:25	ways 124:24	west 4:14 7:5 9:2,5	107:2 108:15
<b>volume</b> 1:20 2:20	173:11,14	wet 162:2	109:4,23 110:21
6:4 159:5	we've 89:11 91:16	whereof 202:19	111:4,11,24 112:5
volumes 145:6	137:21 138:2	wholesale 136:18	112:15,25 114:11
voss 151:19,21,23	144:21 161:2	wide 125:13	114:25 116:8,20
<b>voted</b> 163:11	web 34:23,24 35:1	width 125:8,23	117:9,18 118:10
vs 1:12 2:12	37:24 38:13,17	126:9	118:23 119:20
W	40:25 58:25 61:10	wish 152:18	120:1,11,16 121:3
wait 11:19 139:23	63:25 95:10	witness 5:4 6:2	121:11 123:17,25
want 11:19 139:23 want 8:9 39:12	132:22 133:3	8:24 9:7,21 16:2,9	125:1,16 126:2,14
52:14 86:18 88:1	website 35:15 37:3	26:21 27:14 31:10	127:1,9,23 132:7
88:12 96:13,15	37:6,11,18 38:3	31:25 32:4,11,13	133:18 137:3,10
113:2 115:6	39:3 41:21,24	34:7 35:4 36:8	137:17 138:8,17
116:23,24 123:9	52:21 54:15,17,17	38:6,24 39:3,11	139:12 142:15,20
130:25 132:25	54:19,20,22,25	42:4,21 43:2,20,22	143:14,20 144:16
134:19,20 140:6	55:6,7,12,13 60:21	44:4,12 46:8	144:20 145:9,14
140:13 151:12	60:25 67:20 70:15	48:11,17 49:20	146:4 147:6,25
154:5 156:9	70:24 71:2,3,4,15	50:1,15,24 51:12	148:17,19 149:4
159:22 160:10,20	71:19,22 72:3,8,8	52:2,20 53:13	149:20 150:1,6,12
162:2 164:15	73:1,1,3,10 82:4,7	54:7 55:3,9,23	151:6 152:5,18
167:15 168:15	82:16 89:22 90:10	56:12,18,20 57:5	153:16 154:21
171:21 173:10	90:10,13,18,22	57:11,16 58:14,20	155:10,12,23
185:24 199:23	92:7 93:12 95:14	58:22 59:9,15,23	156:9,19 157:8,19
200:2	95:19 96:1,10,21	60:12,18 61:6,14	158:5,14,23
wanted 40:1	97:1,4,7,12,15,20	62:16 63:18 65:8	159:17 162:6,16
179:10	97:24 98:4,6,14,19	65:15,25 66:10	162:23 163:7
wanting 80:24	99:13,24 100:8,10	69:8,24 70:14,21	164:9,15,23 165:8
93:13 181:2	100:23 101:14,20	71:11,22 72:7,14	165:14,20 166:3
wants 102:13	101:23,24 102:21	72:20 73:13,20	167:2,11 168:20
washington 3:9	103:7 113:6,7,19	75:1,10 76:23	170:15 171:8
4:9	114:18,20,20,21	77:16 80:6 81:10	172:14 173:1,8
way 12:18 31:2	114:22 117:22,23	82:9,19 84:13	179:1,14 180:3
52:8 56:14,23,24	123:4 134:1,2,7	85:2 86:11 89:1	182:25 183:10,21
57:13 59:25 61:14	135:14 139:4	90:2,9,15 91:5,16	184:6,23 185:8,19
69:18 79:14 86:14	173:15 181:1,22	91:25 92:14 94:12	188:23 189:8,16
95:23 96:4 100:18	websites 38:4	94:25 95:8,21	191:10,15 192:8
108:6 122:24	123:7	96:3,23 97:9,17	192:14,20 193:3
139:6 148:25	weeks 24:17 83:13	98:1,8 99:19	194:10,17 195:13
158:15 171:4,11	weighed 46:12	100:5,16 101:1,7	196:8,13,18 197:8
171:16 174:20	went 40:24 106:20	101:16,22 103:10	197:16 198:16,22
192:23 193:5		103:14 104:4	202:19

# [witnesses - ziegler]

witnesses 202:6	157:19,24 158:14	
<b>word</b> 94:6	165:22 172:14	
wording 148:7	186:1 195:13	
194:4	year 35:25 47:9	
work 127:9 142:23	60:22 149:6	
170:1 172:5	years 20:6 35:7	
worked 78:20	46:25 47:8 88:10	
118:10 120:21	103:3,3 111:12,15	
146:22	144:2,21,22,22	
working 119:3	145:2 196:13	
133:7	yen 5:8 9:6,6	
workshops 45:1	32:10,12 39:12,20	
worries 15:7	39:24 40:1,4	
187:11	43:19,21 52:11,17	
write 87:23 165:5	52:19 55:14 56:16	
165:11	56:19 58:11,21	
writing 197:5,11	62:8,15 81:13	
<b>written</b> 106:17	82:18,21 84:11,24	
148:25 181:4,5	87:15 90:14	
www.dgs.ca.gov	101:15 103:11	
35:14	104:2 108:13	
X	109:2,21 110:11	
x's 66:25 67:3	110:19 111:1,22	
	112:4,13,23	
y 1 20 0 50 24	116:19 118:3	
yeah 30:9 50:24	121:10 122:7	
51:12 58:14,14,22	125:25 133:17	
58:22 65:8,15	147:5 148:15,18	
69:8,24,24 77:16	149:3,19,25 150:5	
77:23 82:19,21	150:11 151:5	
84:13 89:1 90:15	153:14 155:7,11	
98:8 100:19	160:10 185:24	
108:15 110:21	200:1,4,11,15	
111:4,24 112:15	Z	
112:25 114:13	zero 108:21,22,25	
123:17 126:2 127:9 132:7 138:8	109:9	
	<b>ziegler</b> 3:5,11 6:8	
140:13 142:15	6:10 9:13,13 16:1	
145:2,12 148:4,8	16:5,10 19:16	
148:19 149:4,20 154:21 156:19	21:14 22:14 23:21	
134.21 130.19	24:6,25 26:14	

# Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

# VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.