(1974); Hans v. Louisiana, 134 U.S. 1, 13-15 (1890). The Court discerns no such waiver in

plaintiff's complaint.

To the extent that plaintiff views this Court as a "higher court" capable of reviewing

decisions made by the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado or the Colorado state

courts, see Compl. at 1, he is mistaken. This Court has no greater authority than that conferred

upon all of the federal district courts. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332 (general jurisdictional

provisions of the district courts); see also Blackfeather, 2014 WL 3715077, at *3 ("If Mr.

Blackfeather ultimately is convicted in state court and he believes that his federal constitutional

rights were violated in obtaining that conviction, he may pursue his claims in [the appropriate]

federal court by filing an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254

after he exhausts state remedies."); 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (conferring concurrent jurisdiction over

§ 2254 actions in the district court where the petitioner is confined or where the sentencing court

is located). Hence, this case will be dismissed. A separate Order accompanies this

Memorandum Opinion.

DATE: October 5, 2014

2