



crimes to get drugs for them, and shoot up with them.” Compl. at 2-3. The plaintiff demands that WMATA “cease and desist from its maniacal, raging Caucasian prejudice against [her],” as well as an award of \$75,000, among other relief. *Id.* at 4. While the Court is mindful that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers, *see Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972), the complaint’s factual contentions are baseless and wholly incredible. For this reason, the complaint is frivolous and must be dismissed. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1)(B).

An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.



United States District Judge

DATE:

7/9/15