


The court has reviewed Plaintiff's Complaint and concludes it falls short of satisfying Rule 8's basic requirements. The entire Complaint consists of one sentence: "I, Sean E. Johnson, am suing the U.S. Department of Transportation for Employment Discrimination, QI Security Service on the bases of wrongful termination and breach of contract, and I am suing Concentra Health Care/Service for medical malpractice." *See* Notice of Removal, ECF No. 1, Sup. Ct. Docs. (Summons & Compl.), ECF No. 1-1, at 3. These conclusory allegations do not provide any Defendant with adequate notice of the basis for the claim against it. The Complaint does not convey what type of discrimination Plaintiff allegedly suffered—e.g., race, gender, retaliation—at the hands of Defendant Department of Transportation. Similarly, it neither states the nature of the relationship between Plaintiff and Defendants QI Security Service and Concentra Health Care/Service, nor conveys what actions caused the alleged wrongful termination and medical malpractice. Although Plaintiff seeks relief in the amount of \$1,188,847.70, the Complaint conveys no basis for that request. *See id.*

In short, Plaintiff's Complaint neither contains a "short and plain statement" of the court's jurisdiction and material facts, nor conveys the nature of the dispute. Therefore, as drafted, the Complaint fails to meet the standard set forth in Rule 8(a) and must be dismissed. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a).

An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

Date: January 30, 2017



Amit P. Mehta
United States District Judge