


damages”); *Stump v. Sparkman*, 435 U.S. 349, 364 (1978) (concluding that state judge was “immune from damages liability even if his [decision] was in error”); *Pierson v. Ray*, 386 U.S. 547, 553-54 (1967) (“Few doctrines were more solidly established at common law than the immunity of judges from liability for damages for acts committed within their judicial jurisdiction, as this Court recognized when it adopted the doctrine, in *Bradley v. Fisher*, 13 Wall. 335, 20 L. Ed. 646 (1872).”). Accordingly, the Court will dismiss this action with prejudice. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(iii).

An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE: March 27, 2017


United States District Judge