


incoherent, or full of irrelevant and confusing material does not meet [Rule 8's] liberal pleading requirement." *T.M. v. D.C.*, 961 F. Supp. 2d 169, 174 (D.D.C. 2013).

Plaintiff is a resident of Brooklyn, New York. His lengthy list of defendants includes high-level federal and New York state officials and public entities, federal and state judges in New York, and several private businesses. Plaintiff seeks \$1 billion from "each 36 defendants named jointly and separately," for a total of \$36 billion in damages. Compl. Caption.

Plaintiff's perplexing allegations suggest a vast conspiracy to defame and discriminate against him, but they fail to provide each defendant with adequate notice of a viable claim. Moreover, no wrongful activity appears to have occurred in the District of Columbia; therefore, this court would not be the proper venue for litigating any such claims. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) (designating the proper venue under the circumstances presented as the judicial district in the State where a substantial part of the events occurred). Given the complaint's deficiencies, the court finds that transferring the case to an appropriate court in New York would not "be in the interest of justice." 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). Consequently, this case will be dismissed. A separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: June 13, 2017


United States District Judge