



. . . does not comply with the requirements of Rule 8.” *Cheeks v. Fort Myer Constr. Corp.*, 71 F. Supp. 3d 163, 169 (D.D.C. 2014) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted).

Plaintiff purports to sue the Walt Disney Company and Harvard University. The assortment of documents comprising the complaint fails to provide any notice of a claim and the basis of federal court jurisdiction. Aside from the pleading defect, this action appears to be brought by “Renaissance Media Productions,” Compl. Caption, which, as an “artificial entity, . . . cannot proceed in federal court without counsel.” *Prunte v. Universal Music Group*, 484 F. Supp. 2d 32, 38 (D.D.C. 2007) (citing *Rowland v. California Men’s Colony*, 506 U.S. 194, 202 (1993)). As a result, this case will be dismissed. A separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

DATE: February 26, 2018

  
United States District Judge