UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DAVID WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. 18-cv-19 (DLF)

EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR U.S. ATTORNEYS,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

In response to Defendant's Supplemental Motion for Summary Judgment, plaintiff
David Williams concedes that defendant Executive Office for United States Attorneys (EOUSA)
is entitled to summary judgment on the issues remaining in this FOIA case. *See* Pl.'s Concession
to Def.'s Statement of Material Facts and Supplemental Mot. for Summ. J., ECF No. 42; Mar.
25, 2019 Mem. Op. and Order, ECF No. 23 (granting EOUSA partial summary judgment). And
"once all the documents are released to the requesting party, there no longer is any case or
controversy" to resolve on summary judgment. *Bayala v. DHS*, 827 F.3d 31, 34 (D.C. Cir.
2016); *see Shapiro v. United States Dep't of Justice*, 239 F. Supp. 3d 100, 106 n.1 (D.D.C. 2017)
(noting that "to the extent the FOIA requester does not seek to compel the release of the withheld
information, . . . the Court need not—and should not—enter summary judgment in favor of the
government. Rather, . . . there is simply no dispute to resolve.") (distinguishing *Winston &*

Strawn, LLP v. McLean, 843 F.3d 503, 505 (D.C. Cir. 2016)). Accordingly, EOUSA's supplemental motion will be denied. A separate order will issue contemporaneously.

Dabuy L. Friedrich DABNEY L. FRIEDRICH

United States District Judge

March 30, 2020