

rights complaint with the Illinois Department of Transportation and an ethics complaint with the Office of Executive Inspector General in 2012.” Compl. at 1. Plaintiff has raised ad nauseum this claim and others based on the same occurrences. *See Grant v. U.S. Dep’t of Defense*, No. 18-cv-1162 (UNA) (D.D.C. filed Jun. 14 2018) (summarily dismissing case with prejudice for reasons stated in *Grant v. Dep’t of Justice*, No. 17-cv-1434 (D.D.C. filed Aug. 4, 2017) (“*Grant I*”); *Grant v. Kabaker*, No. 17-cv-3261, 2017 WL 8791109, at *1 (C.D. Ill. Dec. 4, 2017) (noting “that Plaintiff has filed multiple unfounded lawsuits that waste the time and resources of the federal court. This lawsuit is just the latest one”). In this case, plaintiff sues the Department of Defense but, like before, has alleged no facts concerning the agency or its employees. *See Grant I* at 3. Given the repetition, this case also will be dismissed with prejudice. A separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: September 13, 2018


United States District Judge