

arguments of counsel at the hearing on November 30, 2018, the Court finds it appropriate at this stage to grant the requested stay of removal in view of the imminent harm likely to befall plaintiff if she is removed from the country before the Court has an opportunity to rule on her motion for a temporary restraining order. See Mem. Op. & Order, M.G.U. v. Nielsen, No. 18-1458 (D.D.C. July 16, 2018); Mem. Op. & Order, Montufar-Mejia v. U.S. Immigration & Customs Enf't, No. 18-2131 (D.D.C. Sept. 13, 2018). Furthermore, given that plaintiff has chosen to pursue her claims in this Court rather than before Judge Dana M. Sabraw in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California, her request to stay this action in its entirety is denied as moot.¹ Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that plaintiff's emergency motion [Dkt. No. 12] to extend the stay of removal and to stay this action is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that defendants and their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all those who are in active concert or participation with them are prohibited from removing plaintiff Egla Arely Velasquez Molina from the United States pending a ruling by this Court on her motion [Dkt. No. 2] for a temporary restraining order; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that defendants shall appear for a hearing on plaintiff's motion [Dkt. No. 2] for a temporary restraining order – which the Court will now treat as a motion for a preliminary injunction – on December 14, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. Supplemental briefing shall conclude on or before December 12, 2018. The parties are directed to meet and confer and propose a revised briefing schedule; and it is

¹ Because defendants are unwilling to extend the stay of removal currently in place, the Court is unable to grant their request for additional time to respond to plaintiff's emergency motion to stay removal. Doing so would expose plaintiff to the possibility of removal before her emergency motion to stay removal is fully briefed. In any event, defendants made an oral presentation to the Court at the hearing on November 30, 2018 and the Court is familiar with their objections to the requested stay of removal.

FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's request to stay this action is DENIED AS
MOOT.

SO ORDERED.

/s/

PAUL L. FRIEDMAN
United States District Judge

DATE: December 4, 2018