



28 U.S. Code § 1455. As the underlying action is not pending in the District of Columbia, the case may not be removed to this Court.

Additionally, as a general rule, a federal district court lacks jurisdiction to review or interfere with the decisions of a state court. *See District of Columbia v. Feldman*, 460 U.S. 462, 476 (1983); *see also Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co.*, 263 U.S. 413 (1923), *aff'd*, No. 94-5079, 1994 WL 474995 (D.C. Cir. 1994), *cert. denied*, 513 U.S. 1150 (1995)).

Therefore, this case is dismissed for want of subject matter jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3). An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.



United States District Judge

Date: March 12, 2018