jurisdiction, as this Court recognized when it adopted the doctrine, in *Bradley v. Fisher*, 13 Wall. 335, 20 L. Ed. 646 (1872)."). Moreover, this federal district court has no authority to review the decisions of another district court. *See, e.g., Fisch v. U.S. Gov't*, No. 13–2038, 2013 WL 7095043, at *1 (D.D.C. Dec. 20, 2013) (dismissing complaint which "takes issue with court rulings and proceedings held in the federal courts in New York" for lack of jurisdiction), *appeal dismissed*, No. 14-5027 (D.C. Cir. Aug. 4, 2014); *Kissi v. United States*, No. 12–1765, 2012 WL 5382898, at *1 (D.D.C. Oct. 31, 2012) (dismissing complaint seeking review of rulings of United States District Court for the District of Maryland); *see also* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332 (general jurisdictional provisions).

Accordingly, the Court will dismiss this action with prejudice. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii). An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE: April / 2019

United States District Judge