

FILED

7/28/2020

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Court for the District of Columbia

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

JACOB ANDREW BERGERON,)
)
Petitioner,)
)
)
)
J.C. HACKER, *et al.*,)
)
)
Respondents.)

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-01864 (UNA)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the court on its initial review of petitioner’s *pro se* petition for habeas corpus and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The court will grant the *in forma pauperis* application and dismiss the case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), which mandates dismissal of a matter that is deemed frivolous or malicious.

An initial pleading must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to “state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.” *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A matter that lacks “an arguable basis either in law or in fact” is frivolous. *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989).

Petitioner, a non-prisoner, is a resident of Canton, Georgia. Nonetheless, he has filed a habeas corpus petition against multiple respondents, named and unnamed, including but not limited to, “Freemasons of the United States,” individuals associated with the Federal Bureau of Investigation located in Atlanta, and “Atlanta Federal Court All Judges.”

The complaint is confused, rambling, and incomprehensible. Petitioner alleges that he is currently under “mind-control” in contravention of the Geneva Agreements. He believes that this mind-control prohibits [sic] “the ability of petitioner to cogitate create imagine his comic-book

