



prepare a responsive answer, to prepare an adequate defense and to determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

This complaint begins as if it brought employment discrimination claims against plaintiff's former employer, the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia. *See generally* Compl. at 3-4 (page numbers designated by CM/ECF). The following pages present, in rambling and disjointed form, claims pertaining to the misuse of a District of Columbia government seal, discrimination based on age and race, threats to rape and kill plaintiff, and denial of plaintiff's demand for pension benefits, among others. What the complaint lacks, however, is a short and plain statement showing plaintiff's entitlement to the relief she demands, an award of \$138 million. For this reason, the complaint fails to meet the pleading standard set forth in Rule 8(a) and must be dismissed.

An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE: September 30, 2020

/s/  
RUDOLPH CONTRERAS  
United States District Judge