

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

CHARLES WEINSCHENK,

Plaintiff,

v.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 20-2510 (CKK)

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

(November 9, 2021)

On June 24, 2021, this Court dismissed Plaintiff’s *pro se* action “in its entirety for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.” Mem. Op. & Order, ECF No. 26, at 5. In response, Plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of the Court’s dismissal order on June 30, 2021. *See* Pl.’s Mot., ECF No. 29, at 1. On July 12, 2021, however, Plaintiff then filed an “Expedited Request for Dismissal.” Therein, Plaintiff requested a voluntary dismissal order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2), based on his desire to “voluntarily cede th[is] matter.” Pl.’s Mot., ECF No. 30, at 1. In that same motion, Plaintiff also requested that the Court “dismiss[] the action prior to ruling on the pending . . . Request for Reconsideration.” *Id.* at 2.

Plaintiff’s [29] Motion for Reconsideration and [30] Expedited Request for Dismissal are presently pending before the Court. First, the Court will deny the [29] Motion for Reconsideration as moot. This ruling comports with Plaintiff’s decision to “voluntarily cede” his position in this case, *id.* at 1, and his corresponding request for the Court not to rule on his motion for reconsideration, but instead deny that motion as moot, *see id.* at 2 (The “Court’s indulgence is requested in denying [the] pending motion as moot at the plaintiff’s request.”).

