

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

GREGORY GUICE, Petitioner, v. FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, Respondent.

Case No. 20-mc-86 (CKK)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

(April 9, 2021)

In December 2018, the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) secured an order from District Judge Carlos E. Mendoza of the Middle District of Florida, enjoining Mr. Kevin Guice from participating in telemarketing activities. *See* Order & Permanent Injunction, ECF No. 3-1, at 40–41. Judge Mendoza’s order also permits the FTC to monitor Mr. Guice’s compliance therewith, including through the use of depositions and subpoenas. *See id.* at 50–51. While monitoring Mr. Guice’s subsequent compliance with Judge Mendoza’s order, the FTC found cause to believe that Mr. Guice was participating in additional telemarketing activities and using his immediate family’s PayPal accounts to funnel money from these activities back to himself. *See* FTC Opp’n, ECF No. 3, at 2–5.

Accordingly, on August 17, 2020, the FTC issued a subpoena to PayPal Holding, Inc. (“PayPal”) requesting the production of financial records related to Mr. Kevin Guice. *See* FTC Subpoena, ECF No. 3-2, at 1. PayPal is located in San Jose, California, and the FTC’s subpoena requests that PayPal produce responsive documents to the FTC’s San Francisco office in the Northern District of California. *See id.* Among other things, the FTC’s subpoena requests production of PayPal records relating to Mr. Guice’s brother, Mr. Gregory Guice. *See* FTC Opp’n, ECF No. 3, at 5. In turn, Mr. Gregory Guice has now filed with this Court a motion to quash the

