## 1/12/2021 Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Court for the District of Columbia

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

| IBRAHIM ALY,          | )   |                              |
|-----------------------|-----|------------------------------|
| Plaintiff,            | )   | Civil Action No. 21-45 (UNA) |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, | ) ) |                              |
| Defendant.            | )   |                              |

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

This matter, brought *pro se*, is before the Court on review of Plaintiff's Complaint For Interpleader And Declaratory Relief, ECF No. 1, and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, ECF No. 2. The Court will grant the *in forma pauperis* application and dismiss the case because the complaint fails to meet the minimal pleading requirements of Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Pro se litigants must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Jarrell v. Tisch, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires complaints to contain "(1) a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction [and] (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a); see Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009); Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004). The Rule 8 standard ensures that defendants receive fair notice of the claim being asserted so that they can prepare a responsive answer, mount an adequate defense, and determine whether the doctrine of res judicata applies. Brown v. Califano, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977). It also assists the Court in determining whether it has jurisdiction over the subject matter.

Plaintiff, a resident of the District of Columbia, has filed a cryptically worded form

pleading and 249 pages of exhibits. A complaint, such as this, that is "rambling, disjointed,

incoherent, or full of irrelevant and confusing material will patently fail [Rule 8(a)'s] standard,"

as will "a complaint that contains an untidy assortment of claims that are neither plainly nor

concisely stated[.]" Jiggetts v. District of Columbia, 319 F.R.D. 408, 413 (D.D.C. 2017), aff'd

sub nom. Cooper v. District of Columbia, No. 17-7021, 2017 WL 5664737 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 1,

2017) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). Therefore, this case will be dismissed. A

separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

/s/\_ TANYA S. CHUTKAN United States District Judge

Date: January 12, 2021

2