ADAMS v. HEAD et al Doc. 3

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

F		E	D
OCT	20	202	21
Clerk, U.S.	Distric	ct & E	ankruptcy
Court for th	o Diet	rict of	Columbia

DALED ADAMC	
DALE B. ADAMS,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	Civil Action No. 21-2670 (UNA)
	)
CHRIS HEAD, et al.,	)
	)
Defendants.	

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

A *pro se* litigant's pleadings are held to less stringent standards than would be applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Even *pro se* litigants, however, must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jarrell v. Tisch*, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that a complaint contain a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the Court's jurisdiction depends, a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). The purpose of the minimum standard of Rule 8 is to give fair notice to the defendants of the claim being asserted, sufficient to prepare a responsive answer, to prepare an adequate defense and to determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

According to plaintiff, any number of local, state, and federal officials are violating rights protected under the First, Fourth, Fifth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States

Constitution. For example, plaintiff alleges that defendants have hacked his computer for the

purpose of abridging rights to free expression and association, subjected him to electronic

surveillance, searched and seized property, threatened him with detention, and otherwise have

caused him physical pain, mental distress, and monetary loss. Notwithstanding the length of the

complaint and the breadth of topics it addresses, the Court cannot identify a short and plain

statement showing plaintiff's entitlement to the relief he demands. As drafted, the complaint

fails to comply with Rule 8(a), and no defendant can be expected to prepare a proper response to

it. For these reasons, the Court will grant plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis

and dismiss the complaint without prejudice. An Order is issued separately.

DATE: October 20, 2021

/s/

JAMES E. BOASBERG United States District Judge

2