

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

TYESHA ISOM,	)	
	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Civil Action No. 1:22-cv-03262 (UNA)
v.	)	
	)	
ANTONY BLINKEN, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

This matter is before the court on its initial review of Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, ECF No. 1, and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, ECF No. 2. The court will grant the *in forma pauperis* application and dismiss the case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i).

“A complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to ‘state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.’” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (quoting *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). A complaint that lacks “an arguable basis either in law or in fact” is frivolous, *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989), and a “complaint plainly abusive of the judicial process is properly typed malicious,” *Crisafi v. Holland*, 655 F.2d 1305, 1309 (D.C. Cir. 1981).

Here, Plaintiff, a resident of Denton, Texas, sues several federal officials and diplomats. The complaint is vague, rambling, and mostly incomprehensible. It consists, in large part, of Plaintiff's thoughts and observations regarding Mexico and its relationship to the United States. Plaintiff, who seemingly purports to be a security operative, as far as the Court can understand, believes that the United States has formed some sort of relationship with the government of Mexico, its Former Secretary of Public Security, Genaro García Luna, Mexican immigrants, and

