

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JULIE TANSER,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 23-00872 (UNA)
	)	
MARRIOTT BONVOY <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

This matter, filed *pro se*, is before the Court on its initial review of Plaintiff’s Complaint, ECF No. 1, and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, ECF No. 2. The Court will grant the application and dismiss the complaint for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.

The subject-matter jurisdiction of the federal district courts is limited and is set forth generally at 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1332. Under those statutes, federal jurisdiction is available only when a “federal question” is presented or the parties are of diverse citizenship and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. A party seeking relief in the district court must at least plead facts that bring the suit within the court’s jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). Failure to plead such facts warrants dismissal of the action. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

In the single-page complaint, Plaintiff, a resident of Torrance, California, alleges only that “Visa posts my debit charges when they choose to and they are always late. PNC never has the accurate amount available. I understand that Visa has taken over the banking system since 2000. Everything has changed.” She seeks no relief.

