UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JERMAINE JOSEPH DUNLAP,)
Petitioner,)
v.) Civ. No. 23-1011 (UNA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,)
Respondent.)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on yet another Petition for a Writ Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1) from Jermaine Joseph Dunlap, a California state prisoner, and his application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 2). Notwithstanding the unintelligible allegations of the petition, having filed a habeas petition, the Court presumes that petitioner challenges – again – his conviction and sentence and demands his release from custody.

As petitioner well knows, he has no recourse in this district. *See, e.g., Dunlap v. U.S. District Court in the District of Columbia*, No. 23-cv-0461 (D.D.C. Mar. 6, 2023) (dismissing petition without prejudice for want of jurisdiction); *Dunlap v. Dep't Rev. Bd.*, No. 14-cv-0145, 2014 WL 414156, at *1 (D.D.C. Jan. 30, 2014) (concluding that "plaintiff has no recourse in habeas in the District of Columbia").

Habeas review of a state court conviction under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is available only after exhaustion of state remedies, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1), and only "in the district court for the district wherein such person is in custody or in the district court for the district within which the State court was held which convicted and sentenced [petitioner] and each of such district courts

Case 1:23-cv-01011-UNA Document 3 Filed 04/17/23 Page 2 of 2

shall have concurrent jurisdiction to entertain the application," 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). This

petitioner was convicted and sentenced in California, and he has no recourse in the District of

Columbia.

The Court will grant petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss his

petition without prejudice for want of jurisdiction. A separate Order accompanies this

Memorandum Opinion.

/s/

TANYA S. CHUTKAN

United States District Judge

DATE: April 17, 2023

2