

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BITAVIA CLEVELAND,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN BOARD OF MEDICAL
SPECIALTIES,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:23-cv-01701 (UNA)

MEMORANDUM OPINION


This matter is before the Court on its initial review of Plaintiff's *pro se* Complaint, ECF No. 1, and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, ECF No. 2. The Court will grant the application and dismiss the action without prejudice.

Plaintiff, a District of Columbia resident, has sued the non-profit American Board of Medical Specialties in Chicago, Illinois, alleging that she was mistreated by doctors. The single-paragraph Complaint neither presents a federal question nor alleges the basis for the Court's exercise of diversity jurisdiction, which requires diverse citizenship of the parties and an amount in controversy exceeding \$75,000. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Therefore, Plaintiff has failed to satisfy Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to contain "a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction" and "a demand for the relief sought." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a).

Rule 8 "ensures that defendants receive fair notice of the claim being asserted" and "assists the court in determining whether it has jurisdiction over the subject matter." *Spencer v. U.S. Dep't of Labor*, No. 23-00322, 2023 WL 2139368, at *1 (D.D.C. Feb. 17, 2023). Because the Complaint

fails to provide notice of Plaintiff's claim and the basis for the Court's jurisdiction, the action will be dismissed without prejudice by separate order.

DATE: July 6, 2023



CARL J. NICHOLS
United States District Judge