


(quoting *Brown v. Dist. Unemployment Comp. Bd.*, 411 F. Supp. 1001, 1001–02 (D.D.C. 1975) (noting that “a district court has the power to dismiss a case sua sponte if it is frivolous”).

Here, Plaintiff’s Complaint rests on the kind of fantastic and delusional claims that warrant dismissal sua sponte. Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants operated a “genocide hotel” in Pismo Beach, CA and held “impromptu death trials” in which “close to 3,000 people . . . have been murdered.” See Compl., ECF No. 1, at 12, 14. Plaintiff also alleges a “full entourage of commandos attempted to breach [Plaintiff’s] property and assassinate him from an uninhabited area adjacent to [Plaintiff’s] property after helicopter insertion,” but “fortunately, [the commandos] inadvertently incinerated themselves with napalm.” *Id.* at 20. Moreover, Plaintiff contends that Defendants “imprison[ed] the Chinese Ambassador to the U.S.” in order to “stem shoe sales to China, and leverage their coercive behavior against [Plaintiff].” *Id.* at 23. The court is permitted to dismiss a complaint containing such allegations. See *Neitzke*, 490 U.S. at 325; see also *Crisafi v. Holland*, 655 F.2d 1305, 1307–08 (D.C. Cir. 1981) (“A court may dismiss as frivolous complaints . . . postulating events and circumstances of a wholly fanciful kind.”). Accordingly, upon sua sponte review, this action is dismissed.

A separate final, appealable order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Dated: July 13, 2023


Amit P. Mehta
United States District Judge