## In The United States Court of Federal Claims

No. 07-359C

(Filed: April 14, 2011)	
SERAPHIM TRANSPORT COMPANY,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	
THE UNITED STATES,	
Defendant.	
	ORDER
order. Participating in the conference were	neld to resolve defendant's motion for a protective Michael Trevelline, for plaintiff, and Lartesase is <b>GRANTED</b> , in part, and <b>DENIED</b> , in part.
interpretation of various FAl	(b)(6) deposition notice related to the Army's R provisions, provided that the notice includes I reflects that this has already been

production documentation are moot on account of the agreement between the parties; and

Issues related to plaintiff's request for gross water purchase and

3. In all other respects, defendant's February 15, 2011, motion for a protective order is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

2.

accomplished);

s/ Francis M. Allegra
Francis M. Allegra
Judge