In The United States Court of Federal Claims

No. 10-51C

(Filed: June 14, 2010)

NORMANDY APARTMENTS, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE UNITED STATES,

Defendant.

ORDER

On June 7, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion for leave to file a sur-reply to defendant's motion to dismiss. Plaintiff avers that a sur-reply is necessary because defendant's reply brief raises new issues and mis-characterizes plaintiff's arguments. However, it appears that defendant's reply brief merely responds to arguments raised by plaintiff in its response. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion is hereby **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>s/ Francis M. Allegra</u> Francis M. Allegra Judge