Warfield et al v. Stewart et al Doc. 329

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS DIVISION

KENNARD WARFIELD, JR., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v. Case No. 2:07-cv-332-FtM-33SPC

JAMES A. STEWART, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

This cause is before the Court pursuant to the Whitney Affidavit (Doc. # 167). Defendants filed a motion in limine on July 6, 2009 (Doc. # 269) seeking exclusion of the Whitney Affidavit. On July 31, 2009, the Court directed Plaintiffs to respond to Defendants' request to exclude the Whitney Affidavit. Plaintiffs filed their supplemental memorandum in opposition to Defendants' motion in limine (Doc. # 320) on August 3, 2009. For the reasons that follow, the Court declines to exclude the Whitney Affidavit.

Analysis

Defendants assert that the Whitney Affidavit is pure hearsay and should be excluded pursuant to Rule 801 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

Plaintiffs offer two separate grounds for admitting the Whitney Affidavit into evidence. First, Plaintiffs correctly argue that the Whitney Affidavit is proper impeachment

material because Defendants plan to utilize Ms. Whitney's

deposition testimony at trial. Plaintiffs may impeach the

deposition testimony with inconsistent portions of the Whitney

Affidavit.

Second, the Whitney Affidavit may be admitted under the

residual exception to the hearsay rule, Rule 807 of the

Federal Rules of Evidence. Plaintiffs, as proponents of the

Whitney Affidavit, have satisfied the five requirements of

Rule 807: (1) circumstantial guarantees of trustworthiness;

(2) materiality; (3) probative value; (4) interest of justice;

and (5) notice.

Accordingly, the Court will deny Defendants' request to

exclude the Whitney Affidavit.

Accordingly, it is now

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED:

The Court declines to exclude the Whitney Affidavit.

DONE and ORDERED in Ft. Myers, Florida, this 5th day of

August 2009.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies: All Counsel of Record

-2-