UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS DIVISION

MOLLY WRIGHT, TRISHA BREMER, SHAWN FISHER, KIMBERLY FISHER, ANGELA MCDOUGALL, THOMAS PATTERSON, MICHAEL PIASECKI, CHRIS A. WIGLESWORTH and DOROTHY WILLIS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated

Plaintiffs,

v. Case No: 2:15-cv-249-FtM-38MRM

DYCK-O'NEAL, INC. and LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL C. CONSUEGRA, P.L.,

Defendants.

ORDER¹

This matter comes before the Court on United States Magistrate Judge Mac R. McCoy's Report and Recommendation (Doc. #68) filed on June 27, 2016. Judge McCoy recommends granting Defendant Dyck O'Neal, Inc.'s Motion to Deny Class Certification (Doc. #56) and Defendant Law Offices of Daniel C. Consuegra's Motion to Deny Class Certification (Doc. #58). Pertinently, Judge McCoy has construed Defendants' motions to be motions to strike the class allegations in the Complaint because no motion for class certification has been filed and thus, there is no pending request to certify a class for the

Court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the Court.

¹ Disclaimer: Documents filed in CM/ECF may contain hyperlinks to other documents or websites. These hyperlinks are provided only for users' convenience. Users are cautioned that hyperlinked documents in CM/ECF are subject to PACER fees. By allowing hyperlinks to other websites, this Court does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their websites. Likewise, the Court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their websites. The

Court to deny. (Doc. #68 at 2). Neither party has filed an objection to the Report and Recommendation, and the time to do so has expired. Thus, the Report and Recommendation is ripe for review.

After conducting a careful and complete review of the findings and recommendations, a district judge may accept, reject, or modify the magistrate judge's report and recommendation. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Williams v. Wainwright, 681 F.2d 732 (11th Cir. 1982). In the absence of specific objections, there is no requirement that a district judge review factual findings de novo, Garvey v. Vaughn, 993 F.2d 776, 779 n.9 (11th Cir. 1993), and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings and recommendations, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The district judge reviews legal conclusions de novo, even in the absence of an objection. See Cooper-Houston v. Southern Ry. Co., 37 F.3d 603, 604 (11th Cir. 1994).

After independently examining the file and upon carefully considering Judge McCoy's findings and recommendations, the Court accepts the Report and Recommendation (Doc. #68).

Accordingly, it is now

ORDERED:

- (1) The Report and Recommendation (Doc. #68) is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED and the findings incorporated herein.
- (2) Defendant Dyck O'Neal, Inc.'s Motion to Deny Class Certification (Doc. #56), construed as a motion to strike the class allegations in the Complaint, is GRANTED.

(3) Defendant Law Offices of Daniel C. Consuegra's Motion to Deny Class Certification (Doc. #58), construed as a motion to strike the class allegations in the Complaint, is **GRANTED**.

(4) The class allegations in the Complaint (Doc. #1) are STRICKEN.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Fort Myers, Florida this 18th day of July 2016.

SHERI POLSTER CHAPPELL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies: All Parties of Record