UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS DIVISION

TODD W. MCGATHEY,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No.: 2:18-cv-34-FtM-38NPM

CORIZON CARE LLC, ANDREW SAFRON, MASAMI KOLBENSCHLAG, BILL PRUMMELL, CHARLOTTE BEHAVORIAL HEALTH CARE and CORIZON MEDICAL LLC,

Defendants.

OPINION AND ORDER¹

This matter is before the Court on periodic review of the file. On March 15, 2018, the Court directed Plaintiff to file proof of indigency by filing a computer printout of all the transactions in his inmate account for the six (6) months preceding the filing of this action.

(Doc. 7). Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to comply with the Court's Order could result in the dismissal of his case. Plaintiff failed to comply.

On May 18, 2018, the Court again ordered Plaintiff to file his proof of indigency. (Doc. 10). On May 31, 2018, the United States Postal Service returned the Order as undeliverable. This Court directed that Plaintiff keep the Court apprised of his address by Standing Order filed January 17, 2017. (Doc. 3). Plaintiff has an obligation to keep this Court advised of his current address in order to effectively process this litigation. On

¹ Disclaimer: Documents filed in CM/ECF may contain hyperlinks to other documents or websites. These hyperlinks are provided only for users' convenience. Users are cautioned that hyperlinked documents in CM/ECF are subject to PACER fees.

July 3, 2019, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause why the Plaintiff's case should

not be dismissed for failure to comply with the Court's Order. (Doc. 12). To date, Plaintiff

has failed to respond to the Court's Order and the time to do so has expired.

The Court has taken reasonable steps to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to respond

to the Orders and Plaintiff was put on notice that the case would be dismissed if he failed

to comply. The Local Rules provide that "[w]henever it appears that any case is not being

diligently prosecuted the Court may, on motion of any party or on its own motion, enter

an order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed, and if no satisfactory

cause is shown, the case may be dismissed by the Court for want of prosecution." M.D.

Fla. Rule 3.10(a)

The Court finds that Plaintiff has failed to prosecute this case and that the case is

due to be dismissed. Because the Court is dismissing this action without prejudice,

Plaintiff may file a new action if he wishes to pursue his claim.

Accordingly, it is now **ORDERED**:

1. Plaintiff Todd W. McGathey's Case is **DISMISSED without prejudice**.

2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment accordingly, terminate any

pending motions and deadlines, and close the file.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Fort Myers, Florida this 19th day of July 2019.

SA: FTMP-2

Copies: All Parties of Record

2