

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
JACKSONVILLE DIVISION**

TANITA SHEREE WOODS,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 3:14-cv-788-J-34JRK

YALE MORTGAGE CORPORATION,

Defendant.

---

**ORDER**

**THIS CAUSE** is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 8; Report), entered by the Honorable James R. Klindt, United States Magistrate Judge, on February 17, 2015. In the Report, Magistrate Judge Klindt recommends that Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Short Form) (Dkt. No. 2) and Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form) (Dkt. No. 7) be denied and that this case be dismissed without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, or alternatively for failure to state a claim. See Report at 7. Plaintiff has failed to file objections to the Report, and the time for doing so has now passed.

The Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). If no specific objections to findings of fact are filed, the district court is not required to conduct a de novo review of those findings. See Garvey v. Vaughn, 993 F.2d 776, 779 n.9 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993); see

also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, the district court must review legal conclusions de novo. See Cooper-Houston v. Southern Ry. Co., 37 F.3d 603, 604 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1994); United States v. Rice, No. 2:07-mc-8-FtM-29SPC, 2007 WL 1428615 at \*1 (M.D. Fla. May 14, 2007).

Upon independent review of the file and for the reasons stated in the Magistrate Judge's Report, the Court will accept and adopt the legal and factual conclusions recommended by the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, it is hereby

**ORDERED:**

1. The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 8) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court.

2. Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Short Form) (Dkt. No. 2) and Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form) (Dkt. No. 7) are **DENIED**.

3. This case is **DISMISSED without prejudice** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, or alternatively for failure to state a claim.

4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to terminate all pending motions and deadlines as moot and close the file.

**DONE AND ORDERED** at Jacksonville, Florida, this 23rd day of March, 2015.

  
**MARCIA MORALES HOWARD**  
United States District Judge

ja

Copies to:

The Honorable James R. Klindt  
United States Magistrate Judge

Counsel of Record

Pro Se Party