

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
JACKSONVILLE DIVISION**

IETIA PRICE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 3:15-cv-1148-J-34MCR

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting
Commissioner of the Social Security
Administration,

Defendant.

_____ /

ORDER

THIS CAUSE is before the Court on Magistrate Judge Monte C. Richardson's Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 29; Report), entered on December 15, 2016. In the Report, Magistrate Judge Richardson recommends that the Commissioner's decision be reversed and remanded. See Report at 2, 18-19. No objections to the Report have been filed, and the time for doing so has passed.

The Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If no specific objections to findings of facts are filed, the district court is not required to conduct a de novo review of those findings. See Garvey v. Vaughn, 993 F.2d 776, 779 n.9 (11th Cir. 1993); see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, the district court must review legal conclusions de novo. See Cooper-Houston v. Southern Ry. Co., 37 F.3d 603, 604 (11th Cir. 1994);

United States v. Rice, No. 2:07-mc-8-FtM-29SPC, 2007 WL 1428615, at *1 (M.D. Fla. May 14, 2007).

Upon independent review of the Magistrate Judge's Report, the Court will accept and adopt the legal and factual conclusions recommended by the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED:

1. The Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 29) of Magistrate Judge Richardson is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court.

2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment, pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), **REVERSING** the Commissioner's decision and **REMANDING** with instructions to the Commissioner to: (a) reconsider the opinions of Drs. Metzger and Sierra, explain what weight they are being accorded, and the reasons therefor; (b) reconsider Plaintiff's credibility, if necessary; and (c) conduct any further proceedings deemed appropriate.

3. The Clerk of the Court is further directed to close the file.

4. Plaintiff's counsel is advised that, in the event benefits are awarded on remand, any § 406(b) or § 1383(d)(2) fee application shall be filed within the parameters set

forth by the Order entered in Case No.: 6:12-mc-124-Orl-22 (*In re: Procedures for Applying for Attorney's Fees Under 42 U.S.C. §§ 406(b) & 1383(d)(2)*).

DONE AND ORDERED in Jacksonville, Florida, this 18th day of January, 2017.


MARCIA MORALES HOWARD
United States District Judge

ja
Copies to:
Counsel of Record