

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
JACKSONVILLE DIVISION**

SHARMANE MONIQUE BROWN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Case No. 3:16-cv-1344-J-34JRK

NANCY A. BERRYHILL,  
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**ORDER**

**THIS CAUSE** is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 16; Report), entered by the Honorable James R. Klindt, United States Magistrate Judge, on November 28, 2017. In the Report, Magistrate Judge Klindt recommends that the Clerk of the Court be directed to enter judgment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1383(c)(3) and sentence four of § 405(g) reversing the Commissioner's final decision and remanding this case with instructions. See Report at 11-12. No objections to the Report have been filed, and the time for doing so has passed.

The Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). If no specific objections to findings of facts are filed, the district court is not required to conduct a de novo review of those findings. See Garvey v. Vaughn, 993 F.2d 776, 779 n.9 (11th Cir. 1993); see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, the district court must review legal conclusions de novo. See Cooper-Houston v. Southern Ry. Co., 37 F.3d 603, 604 (11th Cir. 1994);

United States v. Rice, No. 2:07-mc-8-FtM-29SPC, 2007 WL 1428615, at \* 1 (M.D. Fla. May 14, 2007).

Upon independent review of the file and for the reasons stated in the Magistrate Judge's Report, the Court will accept and adopt the legal and factual conclusions recommended by the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, it is hereby

**ORDERED:**


1. The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 16) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court.

2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1383(c)(3) and sentence four of § 405(g) **REVERSING** the Commissioner's final decision and **REMANDING** this matter with the following instructions:

- (A) Reevaluate whether Plaintiff has medically improved to the point of being no longer disabled and therefore ineligible for SSI, being sure to compare the original medical evidence with the new medical evidence prior to making any determination of improvement;
- (B) If appropriate, reevaluate the medical opinions; and
- (C) Take such other action as may be necessary to resolve this claim properly.

3. The Clerk of the Court is further directed to close the file.

**DONE AND ORDERED** at Jacksonville, Florida, this 21st day of December, 2017.

  
MARCIA MORALES HOWARD  
United States District Judge

ja

Copies to:

Counsel of Record