## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

١	JΔ	RC	$\Delta$	НΔ	DAI	MR	ASIC	`
П	VМ	$\sim$	IJА	$\Box$	$\triangle$	VID	へいし	J.

Plaintiff,

vs. Case No. 3:17-cv-00315-J-34JRK

BARACK OBAMA, et al.,

Defendants.

## ORDER

THIS CAUSE is before the Court on Plaintiff Narcisa Harambasic's (Harambasic) Motion for Injunction (Doc. No. 7; Motion), filed on May 9, 2017. In the Motion, Harambasic requests that the Court "approve and activate a motion [sic] for a temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction" against former President Barack Obama and his wife, Michelle Obama. See Motion at 1. Harambasic initiated the instant action on March 20, 2017, by filing a Complaint and Request for Injunction (Doc. No. 1; Complaint). In the Complaint and attachment, Harambasic requested the entry of a temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction. See Complaint, Ex. A at 1, 2. Upon review, the Court concluded that her request was due to be denied as she failed to comply with the procedural prerequisites for obtaining this relief. See Order (Doc. No. 4) at 1. Accordingly, the Court denied Harambasic's request for the entry of a preliminary injunction or temporary restraining order without prejudice to filing an appropriate motion. See id. at 3. Harambasic has now filed the instant Motion in an apparent attempt to comply with the requirements set forth in Rule 65, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (Rule(s)), and Local Rules 4.05 and 4.06, United States District Court,

Middle District of Florida (Local Rule(s)), which govern the entry of preliminary injunctions and temporary restraining orders. However, upon review, the Court determines that the Motion, albeit separately filed, again fails to comply with the relevant procedural requirements. See Local Rules 4.05(b)(1), 4.06(b)(1).

As a reminder, with respect to a request for a temporary restraining order, Rule 65(b)(1) provides:

The court may issue a temporary restraining order without written or oral notice to the adverse party or its attorney only if:

- (A) specific facts in an affidavit or a verified complaint clearly show that immediate and irreparable injury, loss, or damages will result to the movant before the adverse party can be heard in opposition; and
- (B) the movant's attorney certifies in writing any efforts made to give notice and the reasons why it should not be required.

Likewise, Local Rule 4.05(b)(2) requires that the motion be accompanied by affidavits or a verified complaint establishing the threat of irreparable injury as well as showing "that such injury is so imminent that notice and a hearing on the application for preliminary injunction is impractical if not impossible." In addition, Local Rule 4.05(b)(3) requires that the motion describe precisely the conduct sought to be enjoined, set forth facts on which the Court can reasonably determine the amount of security to be posted, be accompanied by a proposed form of the order, and contain a supporting legal memorandum. The legal memorandum in support of the motion must address four specific factors, including the likelihood of success, the threatened irreparable injury, potential harm to the opposing parties, and the public interest. See Local Rule 4.05(b)(4).

To the extent Harambasic seeks a preliminary injunction, Rule 65(a)(1) states that the

Court may issue a preliminary injunction only on notice to the opposing party. Likewise,

Local Rule 4.06(a) dictates that notice must be given at least fourteen days in advance of

a hearing on the matter. See Local Rule 4.06(a). Moreover, Local Rule 4.06(b) requires the

party applying for a preliminary injunction to comply with the same procedural requirements

set forth in Local Rule 4.05(b), discussed above. See Local Rule 4.06(b)(1). Because

Harambasic has failed to comply with these requirements, the Motion is due to be denied.

If Harambasic elects to file an amended motion requesting such relief, she must review and

comply with all requirements of the Rules and the Local Rules of this Court. In light of the

foregoing, it is

ORDERED:

Plaintiff Narcisa Harambasic's Motion for Injunction (Doc. No. 7) is **DENIED without** 

prejudice to Harambasic filing an amended motion in compliance with the requirements of

the Rules and the Local Rules of this Court.

**DONE AND ORDERED** at Jacksonville Florida, this 10th day of May, 2017.

United States District Judge

lc24

Copies to:

Counsel of Record Pro Se Parties

-3-