## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:17-cv-817-J-34PDB

VS.

ENVIRONMENTAL HOUSE WRAP, INC., THE RYLAND GROUP, INC., and BISCAYNE BAY HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC.,

Defendants.

## <u>ORDER</u>

THIS CAUSE is before the Court on the Report & Recommendation (Dkt. No. 24; Report), entered by the Honorable Patricia D. Barksdale, United States Magistrate Judge, on May 14, 2018. In the Report, Judge Barksdale recommends that Plaintiffs' motions for default judgment (Dkt. Nos. 20 and 22) be denied "without prejudice to renewal when the action is ripe for final adjudication against all defendants." Report at 6. On May 29, 2018, Plaintiff Auto-Owners Insurance Company filed a response to the Report. See Auto-Owners' Response to the Magistrate Judge's May 14, 2018 Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 25; Response).

The Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the finding or recommendations by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). If no specific objections to findings of facts are filed, the district court is not required to conduct a <u>de novo</u> review of those findings. <u>See Garvey v. Vaughn</u>, 993 F.2d 776, 779 n.9 (11th Cir. 1993); <u>see also</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, the district court must review legal conclusions <u>de</u>

novo. See Cooper-Houston v. Southern Ry. Co., 37 F.3d 603, 604 (11th Cir. 1994); United States v. Rice, No. 2:07-mc-8-FtM-29SPC, 2007 WL 1428615, at \*1 (M.D. Fla. May 14, 2007).

Upon independent review of the file and for the reasons stated in the Magistrate Judge's Report, the Court will accept and adopt the legal and factual conclusions recommended by the Magistrate Judge. The Court, however, will modify the recommendation to incorporate the changes requested by Plaintiff in its Response.

In light of the foregoing, it is hereby **ORDERED**:

- The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 24), as modified, is ADOPTED as the opinion of the Court.
- 2. Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment Against Defendant Biscayne Bay Homeowners Association, Inc. (Dkt. No. 20) is **DENIED without prejudice** to renewal when there is no longer a risk of inconsistent judgments, even if that occurs after the dispositive motion deadline.
- 3. Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment Against Defendant Environmental House Wrap, Inc. (Dkt. No. 22) is **DENIED without prejudice** to renewal when there is no longer a risk of inconsistent judgments, even if that occurs after the dispositive motion deadline.

**DONE AND ORDERED** in Jacksonville, Florida, this 3rd day of July, 2018.

MARCIA MORALES HOWARD
United States District Judge

ja

Copies to:

Counsel of Record

Biscayne Bay Homeowners Association c/o Interlaced Property Solutions, LLC 5991 Chester Avenue, #203 Jacksonville, FL 32217

Environmental House Wrap, Inc. 13218 Huguenot Lane Jacksonville, FL 32225-1214