Retzer v. Bradshaw et al Doc. 5

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

ANDREW H. RETZER,

Plaintiff,

٧.

Case No. 3:19-cv-848-J-34PDB

FRANK BRADSHAW and SHARON BRADSHAW,

Defendants.

ORDER

**THIS CAUSE** is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 4; Report), entered by the Honorable Patricia D. Barksdale, United States Magistrate Judge, on September 16, 2019. In the Report, Judge Barksdale recommends that this case be dismissed without prejudice. <u>See</u> Report at 2. Plaintiff has failed to file objections to the Report, and the time for doing so has passed.

The Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). If no specific objections to findings of fact are filed, the district court is not required to conduct a <u>de novo</u> review of those findings. <u>See Garvey v. Vaughn</u>, 993 F.2d 776, 779 n.9 (11th Cir. 1993); <u>see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)</u>. However, the district court must review legal conclusions <u>de novo</u>. <u>See Cooper-Houston v. Southern Ry. Co.</u>, 37 F.3d 603, 604 (11th Cir. 1994); <u>United States v. Rice</u>, No. 2:07-mc-8-FtM-29SPC, 2007 WL 1428615 at \*1 (M.D. Fla. May 14, 2007).

Upon independent review of the file and for the reasons stated in the Magistrate Judge's Report, the Court will accept and adopt the legal and factual conclusions recommended by the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, it is hereby

## **ORDERED:**

- The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 4) is
   ADOPTED as the opinion of the Court.
- 2. This case is **DISMISSED without prejudice**.
- 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to terminate all pending motions and deadlines as moot and close the file.

**DONE AND ORDERED** in Jacksonville, Florida this 10th day of October, 2019.

MARCIA MORALES HOWARD
United States District Judge

ja

Copies to:

Counsel of Record Pro Se Parties