UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA OCALA DIVISION

GOOD MAN PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

DI	•	4 . 00
м	วเท	tiff,
	ш	

v. Case No: 5:15-cv-100-Oc-32PRL

JOHN DOE

Defendant.

ORDER

The plaintiff, as the alleged owner of the copyright for *A Good Man*, seeks permission to serve Comcast Cable Holdings, LLC, the defendant's alleged Internet Service Provider ("ISP"), with a subpoena before the <u>Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f)</u> conference. <u>Doc. 6</u>. With an <u>assumption</u> that the subscriber is the infringer, it alleges the defendant, known to it only by an internet protocol ("IP") address, infringed its copyright by using BitTorrent protocol¹ to copy and distribute the movie. <u>Docs. 1</u>; <u>1-2</u>; <u>1-3</u>. It argues it needs early discovery to identify him or her. <u>Doc. 6-1</u>.

A court has broad discretion in managing discovery. <u>Klay v. All Defendants</u>, 425 F.3d 977, 982 (11th Cir. 2005). A court may permit a party to conduct discovery before a <u>Rule 26(f)</u> conference. <u>Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d)(1)</u>. Courts usually require a showing of good cause for early discovery. *See TracFone Wireless, Inc. v. Holden Prop. Servs.*, *LLC*, 299 F.R.D. 692, 694 (S.D.

¹Because many courts have already done so, the Court will refrain from describing the BitTorrent protocol here *See, e.g., <u>Columbia Pictures Indus., Inc. v. Fung, 710 F.3d 1020, 1026</u>–27 (9th Cir. 2013); <u>Malibu Media, LLC v. Does 1–28, 295 F.R.D. 527, 529–30 (M.D. Fla. 2012)</u>.*

<u>Fla. 2014)</u>; <u>Digital Sin, Inc. v. Does 1–176, 270 F.R.D. 239, 241 (S.D.N.Y. 2012)</u>; <u>Dorrah v. United States</u>, 282 F.R.D. 442, 445 (N.D. Iowa 2012).

Here, the plaintiff has established good cause for early discovery: it has sufficiently alleged infringement, <u>Doc. 1 at 3</u>–6; it does not have another way to discover the putative infringer's identity to proceed with the litigation, <u>Doc. 6-2</u>; and ISPs rarely maintain the information for long, <u>Doc. 6-1 at 3</u>. The Court therefore **grants** the plaintiff's motion, <u>Doc. 6</u>, as follows:

- 1. The plaintiff may serve on Comcast Cable Holdings, LLC, a <u>Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45</u> subpoena commanding the name, address, email address, and telephone number of the subscriber of the identified IP address. The subpoena must attach the complaint and this order.²
- 2. The plaintiff may use the subscriber's information only to protect and enforce its rights set forth in the complaint.
- 3. If Comcast Cable Holdings, LLC, is a "cable operator" under 47 U.S.C. § 522(5),³ it must comply with 47 U.S.C. § 551(c)(2), which permits a cable operator to disclose personal identifying information if the disclosure is "made pursuant to a court order authorizing such disclosure, if the subscriber is notified of such order by the person to whom the order is directed," by sending a copy of this order to the defendant.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Ocala, Florida on April 16, 2015.

PHILIP R. LAMMENS United States Magistrate Judge

²The plaintiff attaches a proposed order to its motion that would permit it to also serve a subpoena "on any provider identified in response to a subpoena as a provider of Internet services to the Defendant." Doc. 6-4 ¶3. The plaintiff has not requested that relief or otherwise explained the basis for the proposed language in its motion. Docs. 6; 6-1. The Court therefore declines to include it.

³Section 522(5) defines a "cable operator" as "any person or group of persons (A) who provides cable service over a cable system and directly or through one or more affiliates owns a significant interest in such cable system, or (B) who otherwise controls or is responsible for, through any arrangement, the management and operation of such a cable system."

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record Unrepresented Parties