

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
OCALA DIVISION**

**DOROTHY ELIZABETH RAINEY,**

**Plaintiff,**

v.

**Case No: 5:17-cv-541-Oc-PRL**

**COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL  
SECURITY**

**Defendant.**

---

**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on the motion of Plaintiff's counsel, Richard A. Culbertson, for authorization to charge a reasonable fee pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §406(b) in the amount of \$10,295.92. In support of the motion, Mr. Culbertson has filed a signed fee agreement in which Plaintiff acknowledges a 25% fee award of past due benefits. (Doc. 32-1). Mr. Culbertson represents that the Commissioner has no objection to the requested fees.

**I. Background**

On August 13, 2018, this Court reversed and remanded the case to the Social Security Administration for further proceedings. (Doc. 25). On November 2, 2018, the Court entered an order awarding attorney's fees to Mr. Culbertson under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA") in the sum of \$3,187.83, representing 16.2 hours of attorney time for representing Plaintiff before this Court. (Docs. 27, 31.) Subsequently, on remand, Plaintiff was awarded past due benefits in the amount of \$53,935.00. (Doc. 32-2). Pursuant to the fee agreement, the attorney fee payable from Plaintiff's past-due benefits is \$10,295.92. This is 25% of the past due benefits—\$13,483.75—minus the previously awarded EAJA fees in the amount of \$3,187.83.

## II. Discussion


An attorney, as here, who successfully represents a Social Security claimant in court may be awarded as part of the judgment “a reasonable fee ... not in excess of 25 percent of the ... past-due benefits” awarded to the claimant. 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A). The fee is payable “out of, and not in addition to, the amount of [the] past-due benefits.” *Id.* As required by *Gisbrecht v. Barnhardt*, 535 U.S. 789, 808 (2002), courts should approach contingent-fee determinations by first looking to the agreement between the attorney and the client, and then testing that agreement for reasonableness. When called upon to assess the reasonableness of the award, a court should balance the interest in protecting claimants from inordinately large fees against the interest in ensuring that attorneys are adequately compensated so that they continue to represent clients in disability benefits cases. *Gisbrecht*, 535 U.S. at 805. In making this reasonableness determination, the *Gisbrecht* court highlighted several important factors including: (1) whether the requested fee is out of line with the “character of the representation and the results the representation achieved;” (2) whether the attorney unreasonably delayed the proceedings in an attempt to increase the accumulation of benefits and thereby increase his own fee; and (3) whether “the benefits awarded are large in comparison to the amount of time counsel spent on the case,” the so-called “windfall” factor. *Id.* at 808. In these instances, a downward reduction may be in order.

Here, the Court finds that the requested attorney’s fees are reasonable. The requested fee will not result in a windfall for counsel – i.e., that counsel is receiving compensation he is not entitled to and that payment of the compensation would be unfair or detrimental to Plaintiff. In this regard, Mr. Culbertson has submitted a signed fee agreement in which Plaintiff acknowledged that counsel would receive 25% of all past due benefits awarded on appeal. (Doc. 32-1). Moreover, Mr. Culbertson submitted records showing that he and his associate spent at least 16.2 hours on

this case before it was remanded, and it does not include time spent representing Ms. Rainey at the administrative level. (Doc. 27). The full award of 25% of the withheld past due benefits – \$10,295.92 – would translate to an hourly award of \$635.55. The Court is satisfied that this fee award is reasonable in comparison to the amount of time and effort Plaintiff's counsel expended on this case and given the risks in contingent litigation. *See e.g., Vilkas v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, 2:03-cv-687-FTM-29DNF, 2007 WL 1498115 (M.D. Fla. May 14, 2007) (approving fees translating to an hourly rate of \$1,121.86).

Accordingly, for these reasons, and in the absence of any objection by the Commissioner, Mr. Culbertson's motion for authorization to charge a reasonable fee pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 406(b) (Doc. 32) is due to be **GRANTED**. Section 406(b) fees are approved for Mr. Culbertson in the sum of **\$10,295.92**.

**DONE and ORDERED** in Ocala, Florida on February 8, 2019.

  
PHILIP R. LAMMENS  
United States Magistrate Judge

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record  
Unrepresented Parties