Bell v. Woods Doc. 73

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA OCALA DIVISION

NATIONWIDE JUDGMENT RECOVERY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No: 5:20-mc-10-JSM-PRL

NATHANIEL WOODS,

Defendant.

## ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the motion of Nationwide Judgment Recovery, Inc. as assignee of Plaintiff Matthew E. Orso, in his capacity as court-appointed successor receiver for Rex Venture Group, LLC ("Plaintiff") for issuance of a writ of garnishment as to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (Doc. 72). On August 14, 2017, Plaintiff obtained a judgment in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina against each member of a Defendant class, including Defendant Nathaniel Woods in the amount of \$\$642,844.62.

Plaintiff registered the judgment with this Court. (Doc. 1). The judgment remains unsatisfied. Now, Plaintiff moves for the writ of garnishment and suggests that the Garnishee may have in its possession and control certain monies or property belonging to Defendant sufficient to satisfy the judgment in whole or in part. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 69, the Court must follow state law regarding garnishment procedures. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 69. Chapter 77 of the Florida Statutes prescribes the procedure for issuance and enforcement of writs of garnishment.

Accordingly, upon due consideration, Plaintiff's motion for issuance of writ of garnishment (Docs. 72) is **GRANTED**, and the Clerk is directed to issue the Writ of Garnishment (including the Notice and Claim of Exemption) attached to the motion. Plaintiff must fully comply with all notice requirements of §77.041, Florida Statutes.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** in Ocala, Florida on January 11, 2024.

PHILIP R. LAMMENS

United States Magistrate Judge

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record Unrepresented Parties