

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
OCALA DIVISION**

**NATIONWIDE JUDGMENT  
RECOVERY, INC.,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**Case No: 5:21-mc-8-JSM-PRL**

**TODD DISNER, TRUDY GILMOND,  
TRUDY GILMOND, LLC, JERRY  
NAPIER, DARREN MILLER,  
RHONDA GATES, DAVID  
SORRELLS, INNOVATION  
MARKETING LLC, AARON  
ANDREWS, SHARA ANDREWS,  
GLOBAL INTERNET FORMULA,  
INC., T. LEMONT SILVER,  
MICHAEL VAN LEEUWEN,  
DURANT BROCKETT, DAVID  
KETTNER, MARY KETTNER,  
P.A.W.S CAPITAL MANAGEMENT  
LLC, LORI JEAN WEBER and  
ZEEKREWARDS.COM,**

**Defendants.**

---

**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on the motion of Plaintiff, Nationwide Judgment Recovery Inc., as assignee of Matthew Orso, as successor trustee to Kenneth D. Bell in his capacity as court-appointed receiver for Rex Venture Group, LLC, for issuance of a writ of garnishment as to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (“Garnishee”). (Doc. 37). On April 19, 2021, Plaintiff registered the judgment with this Court. (Doc. 1). There remains due and owing

\$39,443.33, plus post-judgment interest.<sup>1</sup> Now, Plaintiff moves for a continuing writ of garnishment and suggests that the Garnishee may have in its possession and control certain monies or property belonging to Defendant Rovenger sufficient to satisfy the judgment in whole or in part. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 69, the Court must follow state law regarding garnishment procedures. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 69. Chapter 77 of the Florida Statutes prescribes the procedure for issuance and enforcement of writs of garnishment.

Accordingly, upon due consideration, Plaintiff's motion for issuance of writ of garnishment (Doc. 37) is **GRANTED**, and the Clerk is directed to issue the Writ of Garnishment (including the Notice and Claim of Exemption) attached to the motion. (Doc. 37-1). Plaintiff must fully comply with all notice requirements of § 77.041, Florida Statutes.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** in Ocala, Florida on September 25, 2023.



---

PHILIP R. LAMMENS  
United States Magistrate Judge

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record  
Unrepresented Parties

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff represents that the writ of garnishment entered by this Court against the Garnishee (Doc. 7) automatically dissolved due to an oversight six months after its June 14, 2021, issuance, by operation of Fla. Stat. § 77.07. (Doc. 37 at ¶¶ 6–8). Thus, Plaintiff moved for this second post-judgment writ of garnishment.