UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

ALBERTO D. BTESH as Guardian of Ronald S. Btesh,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

Case No. 6:10-cv-71-Orl-19DAB

CITY OF MAITLAND, FLORIDA a Florida Municipal Corporation, REBECCA DENICOLA individually and in her official capacity as a City of Maitland Police Officer, AMANDA PAYNE individually and in her offical capacity as a City of Maitland Police Officer, and GARY CALHOUN, individually and in his official capacity as a City of Maitland Police Officer,

Defendants.

ORDER

This case comes before the Court on the following:

- 1. Motion to Dismiss Count VIII of the Second Amended Complaint by Defendant Amanda Payne (Doc. No. 75, filed Jan. 10, 2011); and
- 2. Response to Defendant Amanda Payne's Motion to Dismiss Count VIII of the Second Amended Complaint by Plaintiff Alberto D. Btesh (Doc. No. 76, filed Jan. 12, 2011).

This case arises from the shooting of Ronald S. Btesh, a man who allegedly has the mental capacity of a nine year-old and suffers from a number of mental illnesses, by Rebecca Denicola, a police officer for the City of Maitland, Florida. (Doc. No. 72 ¶¶ 20, 65, filed Jan. 5, 2011.) On January 3, 2011, the Court entered an Order granting Plaintiff Alberto D. Btesh leave to file a

Second Amended Complaint, and on January 5, 2011, Plaintiff filed a Second Amended Complaint. (Doc. Nos. 71-72.)

Defendant Amanda Payne now moves to dismiss Count VIII of the Second Amended Complaint, arguing that it is identical to Count VII of the First Amended Complaint, which was dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. (Doc. No. 75 at 7.) In his Response to the Motion, Plaintiff represents that Count VIII was inadvertently included in the Second Amended Complaint and consents to the dismissal of that Count. (Doc. No. 76 at 1-2.)

The Court agrees with Payne that the battery claim in Count VIII of the Second Amended Complaint is identical to the battery claim in Count VII of the First Amended Complaint previously dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. (Doc. No. 41 at 6-7.) Accordingly, Count VIII of the Second Amended Complaint will be dismissed.

Conclusion

Based on the foregoing, it is **ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED** that the Motion to Dismiss Count VIII of the Second Amended Complaint by Defendant Amanda Payne (Doc. No. 75) is **GRANTED**. Count VIII of the Second Amended Complaint is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. **DONE** and **ORDERED** in Chambers in Orlando, Florida on January 13, 2011.

PATRICIA C. FAWSETT, JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record