UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION COMPANY, LLC,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

Case No. 6:10-cv-1266-Orl-18DAB

2.324 ACRES OF LAND (MORE OR LESS) LOCATED IN ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA, ORANGE COUNTY, COOPERATHA-BARR CO. a/k/a is a dissolved Florida corporation also known as The Cooper-Atha-Bar Real Estate and Mortgage Company, ET AL,

Defendants.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

This cause came on for consideration without oral argument on the following motion filed herein:

MOTION: MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST

CERTAIN DEFENDANTS (Doc. No. 109)

FILED: December 15, 2010

THEREON it is **RECOMMENDED** that the motion be **DENIED** without prejudice.

Plaintiff has filed a Complaint for Eminent Domain pursuant to the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. § 717 *et seq.*). As part of its Complaint, Plaintiff has identified numerous parties as interested or potentially interested parties and has served a Notice of Condemnation upon these parties. Following

entry of a clerk's default as to some of these parties (Doc. No. 108), Plaintiff now moves for a default

judgment of condemnation against these Defendants, finding that any interests that the Defendants

have in the subject property is condemned by Plaintiff. The Court respectfully recommends that

the motion be denied, without prejudice.

As set forth in prior papers filed by Plaintiff, this action named numerous parties, some of

whom have appeared and answered, some of whom have disclaimed interest, some of whom Plaintiff

has agreed to dismiss, and some of whom have either been defaulted or have not been served. See

Doc. No. 26. In the instant motion, Plaintiff seeks a judgment against the defaulted defendants which,

in effect, would condemn the property only as to their interest. The motion cites no authority

supporting such a piecemeal approach, which is disfavored by rule, see Rule 54(b), Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure (2010), nor does Plaintiff identify whether any actual property interest is being

effected by the condemnation judgment sought and, if so, whether compensation is due, as pled in the

Complaint. As there is no benefit that the Court can discern in proceeding in this fashion, and no

party will be prejudiced by waiting until the completion of the litigation with respect to all rights in

the property, it is respectfully recommended that the motion be denied, without prejudice to

reassertion at the conclusion of the suit.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations contained in

this report within fourteen (14) days from the date of its filing shall bar an aggrieved party from

attacking the factual findings on appeal.

Recommended in Orlando, Florida on December 17, 2010.

David A. Baker

DAVID A. BAKER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

-2-

Copies furnished to:

Presiding District Judge Counsel of Record Unrepresented Party Courtroom Deputy